

The  
Saxon  
Messenger

Edition 37 - 2014



# Editorial

Dear Reader



*Orthodox pilgrim in Kiev Pechersk Lavra, Ukraine. Photo by Petar Milosoevic*

## Ukraine, or Jewkraine?

Only a few White Christian nations have suffered as much under the heel of satanic treachery as has Ukraine. In the 20th century Ukraine was trampled under foot by Russian and German armies in two major wars. In between the two wars, it was ravaged in the aftermath of the Bolshevik Revolution, its population decimated by both the results of the victory of Jewish Communism and then by the famines of the Holodomor during the forced Soviet collectivization in the 1930's. The second German occupation was seen by many native Ukrainians as a liberation from their Soviet Jewish oppressors, although it was sadly short-lived. Today, many Ukrainians still hope for that same liberation.

Ukraine is about 78% Ukrainian, 17% Russian and the balance is made up mostly of Tatars, while there are some Poles, Romanians and others in the various border areas. But those general numbers are deceiving. The Russians and Tatars are concentrated along the eastern border, and mostly in the Crimea, which is perhaps 65% Russian and practically 100% Russophone. Russians in the Crimea may consider themselves as natives now, however most of them

settled there during the Soviet era when the region was an important asset to Soviet military interests. Basically, the Russians gained the Crimea through Tsarist and then Soviet imperialism, and the land is not even contiguous to Russia – at least presently. Therefore, since a Russian government gave Crimea over to Ukraine over 60 years ago, and it is contiguous to Ukraine, present Russian claims to the Crimea are of less substance than Mexican claims to southern California, although the results of a referendum in either place are inevitable. The pre-Soviet inhabitants of Crimea, who are ethnic Tatars, oppose pro-Russian political parties and have supported Ukrainian nationalists, although Tatars are certainly not Ukrainian.

Some may argue that Ukrainians and Russians have a common origin and history in the tribes of the ancient Rus, which is true. However it is only true of true Russians, and a great number of the inhabitants of the geographical area now known as Russia are not true Russians. Not even all eastern Slavs can claim to be of the ancient Rus. Regardless, Russian claims to any part of Ukraine based upon a common tribal ancestry would afford German claims to England, and the nations which sprung from English colonies, since the Angles and Saxons originally came from Germany! In other words, such claims are also absurd.

Yet the Russians in Ukraine have been of great service to the Russian government and certain other elements in Russia over the decades. They have been used as an excuse for Russian intervention in Ukrainian affairs, for the violation of Ukrainian sovereignty. But they have also been used to balkanize the nation, which does not have a free press, to keep it under the control of a cabal of politicians who also happen to be “businessmen”, and are popularly called “oligarchs”, most of whom are highly favorable to Russia and have close ties to other such “oligarchs” in Russia.

It is probably not possible to accurately determine the number of Jews in Ukraine, by either race or religion. However it is possible to tell how many of Ukraine's multimillionaires and billionaires are Jews, and that figure is very high. Here is some food for thought. Theoretically, with a communist government, the people themselves are the owners of all property, and therefore the use of that property is regulated by the State on behalf of the people as a whole. Therefore, when a communist government dissolves and the property of the people is privatized, the property would be expected to be divested or divided on behalf of its rightful owners, the people. Yet when Ukraine was transformed into a so-called democracy, a handful of Jews, Russians, and other alleged businessmen, some of them Ukrainian and many of them not, were transformed into multimillionaires and billionaires while the Ukrainian people were saddled with debt. All of this can be demonstrated to be true in many readily attainable books and articles. The names of Jews such as Semion Mogilevich, Dmytro Firtash, Hryhoriy Surkis, Vadim Rabinovich, Ihor Kolomoyskyi, Viktor Pinchuk, Vadim Novinsky, Yuriy Ivanyushchenko, Vasily Khmelnytsky, Andriy and Serhiy Klyuyev and Henadii Boholiubov are a good place to start.

Among other so-called Ukrainian oligarchs who are suspected of being Jews while claiming other non-Ukrainian origins are the Tatar Rinat Akhmetov and the "Latvian" Yulia Tymoshenko. Others who have Jewish ethnic roots claim to be of different religions, or admit to no religion at all, such as Arseniy Yatsenyuk and Petro Poroshenko. Even the super-rich among Ukrainians who are apparently Ukrainian are soiled with ostensibly foreign interests. For instance, it is well known that Viktor Medvedchuk has had close ties to the KGB since attending law school in the early 1970's, where he failed to meet the requirements on his own and was admitted after KGB intervention.

Many of these super-rich so-called Ukrainians were first involved in politics in the days of the old Soviet bureaucracy, and then suddenly became wealthy and successful "businessmen". Many of them also actively hold seats in the nation's parliament, and have had such a role in running Ukraine ever since the Soviet Union dissolved. These are not businessmen who became active in politics out of civic concern, but rather they are former Communist party hacks who became businessmen because they were in a position to loot the nation as it should have been recovering from Communist economic stagnation. They stay involved in politics in order to ensure that they can keep their ill-gotten fortunes, and their direct involvement in the government of Ukraine assures that they can continue to pillage the country.

The first president of Ukraine was Leonid Kravchuk, a Communist Party politician all his life. After leaving office, Kravchuk joined a business and political group known as Kiev Holding or the Dynamo Group. This group, led by oligarchs Viktor Medvedchuk and Hryhoriy Surkis, was formally organized as the Social Democratic Party of Ukraine. Despite its formal centrist/social-democratic slogans, the party is widely associated with big business, organized crime, corruption, and media bias. This party has been marginalized since the Orange Revolution, but that does not mean that Medvedchuk and Hryhoriy Surkis are marginalized. Medvedchuk, who has personal ties to Vladimir Putin, is currently the chairman of another pro-Russian party, ironically called *Ukrainian Choice*. Surkis ran unsuccessfully for the parliament with another party in 2006 but remains an influential figure.

The second president of Ukraine was Leonid Kuchma, who was Prime Minister under Kravchuk. Viktor Medvedchuk, with whom Kravchuk was working, served as the head of the presidential office under Kuchma. His presidency was marred with allegations of criminality, including ties to a St. Petersburg company where Vladimir Putin was an advisor. There were allegations of dealings with mobsters, Colombian drug lords, and transcontinental money laundering, other forms of corruption and even murder. One Prime Minister under Kuchma, Pavlo Lazarenko, allegedly stole \$200 million dollars from Ukraine, did 6 or 7 years in prison in the United States for money laundering, wire fraud and extortion, and has last been reported to be sitting on a ranch in California.

None of the western governments ever try to return stolen oligarch monies to Ukraine. Under the thumb of politicians and foreign governments alike, with the Ukrainian media entirely in Jewish oligarch hands, Ukraine is held captive for the profits of a few. The oligarchs can safely keep their money abroad, and

remain in Ukraine while they bleed the nation dry. These things are never an issue in the western media. The EU especially does not want to face the threats of natural gas cutoffs from the Russians.

The so-called Orange Revolution occurred when election results regarding Kuchma's replacement were challenged. Viktor Yanukovich was Prime Minister under Kuchma. Yanukovich is also the president who was just run out of office in February. Running in 2004 to replace Kuchma, after the second round of voting on 22nd November there were indications that Yanukovich had won the election by fraud. This caused the opposition and independent observers to dispute the results, leading to the Orange Revolution. Kuchma was urged by Yanukovich and Viktor Medvedchuk to declare a state of emergency and hold the inauguration of Yanukovich. Kuchma denied the request, and Yanukovich publicly accused Kuchma of a betrayal. However Kuchma also refused to officially dismiss Yanukovich as Prime Minister after the parliament passed a motion of no confidence against the Cabinet on 1st December. Soon after, Kuchma left the country. He returned to Ukraine in March 2005.

In political retirement, Kuchma said in October 2009 that he would vote for Victor Yanukovich at the Ukrainian presidential election in 2010, the election that Yanukovich won. In a document dated 2nd February 2010 uncovered during a United States diplomatic cables leak, Kuchma in conversation with United States Ambassador to Ukraine John F. Tefft called the voters' choice between Yanukovich and Yulia Tymoshenko during the second round of the 2010 presidential election a choice between "bad and very bad" and praised Arseniy Yatsenyuk instead, who was a candidate eliminated in the first round of the election. Yatsenyuk has just been chosen as the new interim Prime Minister of Ukraine this February. As of September 2011, Kuchma still believed that Yanukovich was the real winner of the 2004 election. Many American NGO's were operating in Ukraine during the Orange Revolution, and many Ukrainian-Americans were involved. Russians, as well as some western commentators, believe that the CIA and other western agencies were responsible for the Orange Revolution because Yanukovich is strongly pro-Russian and America wanted a Ukrainian president who would stay on the course of bringing Ukraine into the EU.

What is plainly evident in all of this, is that Kravchuk, Kuchma, Yanukovich, and the oligarch- politicians Medvedchuk and Surkis are all political bed-fellows. These people have been running Ukraine for nearly all of the time since it gained independence in 1991. For the short period of time that they were not running Ukraine, they were still holding offices in the parliament, and many of them and their allies were still holding cabinet positions under the so-called opposition government of the next president, Viktor Yushchenko.

After the Orange Revolution succeeded in forcing new elections, Yushchenko defeated Yanukovich by a margin of 52-to-44, becoming Ukraine's third president. He was poisoned and disfigured after an assassination attempt in 2004. Running for re-election in 2010, he only received about 5% of the vote. Yushchenko was governor of Ukraine's central bank from 1993, and Prime Minister under Kuchma from 1998 to 2001, but he was Kuchma's surprise second choice, since the first candidate was not ratified by the parliament. Yushchenko began his banking career in 1976 and he was a career Soviet banking bureaucrat from 1983 until 1991. Pandering to Jews in the 2004 election is a good indication of Jewish power and influence in Ukraine. It is admitted by Wikipedia that "Yushchenko built his campaign on face-to-face communication with voters, since the government prevented most major television channels from providing equal coverage to candidates. Meanwhile, his rival, Yanukovich, frequently appeared in the news and even accused Yushchenko, whose father was a Red Army soldier supposedly imprisoned at Auschwitz during the war, of being 'a Nazi,' even though Yushchenko actively reached out to the Jewish community in Ukraine and his mother is said to have risked her life by hiding three Jewish girls for one and a half years during the Second World War."

So even though Yushchenko was supposed to be an alternative, he was also an old-time Soviet Party operative who was in most respects no different from his predecessors. However Yushchenko represented the liberals among Ukraine oligarchs, and his Prime Minister was Yulia Tymoshenko, another liberal who

is herself one of the oligarchs. Among his political objectives were proposals to implement IMF reforms and to join the EU, both representing a surrender of sovereignty, but neither of which were accomplished during his presidency.

Yushchenko also had close ties to another oligarch, David Zhvaniya, who is the former head of the Agitation and Propaganda department in the USSR Communist Party Central Committee (a part of the Soviet bureaucracy where the new president, Turchinov, also has some experience). Yushchenko accused Zhvania, who is even the godfather of one of Yushchenko's children, of involvement in his dioxin poisoning. But Zhvania boldly claimed that Yushchenko falsified his poisoning, which was scientifically documented in Switzerland and elsewhere. The case has never been settled.

In 2002, future president Yushchenko, his later Prime Minister Yulia Tymoshenko, Oleksandr Moroz of the Socialist Party of Ukraine and Petro Symonenko of the Communist Party of Ukraine issued a joint statement concerning "the beginning of a state revolution in Ukraine". The communists later stepped out of the alliance, however the other three parties remained allies until mid 2006. This is one plain indication of the political sentiments of Yushchenko and Tymoshenko. Among Yushchenko's first major appointments was Petro Poroshenko, the oligarch and billionaire businessman, to Secretary of the Security and Defense Council. The coalition called the Party of Regions, the party of the just-ousted President Yanukovych, was founded by that same Petro Poroshenko.

In 2005 there was a political crisis in which Yushchenko sacked the cabinet, including Tymoshenko and Poroshenko. One ousted minister, Svyatoslav Piskun who was General Prosecutor of Ukraine, claimed his dismissal by Yushchenko was because he refused to institute criminal proceedings against Tymoshenko, and refused to drop proceedings against Poroshenko. Tymoshenko became Prime Minister again in 2007. Since February 2007 Poroshenko has sat on the Council of Ukraine's National Bank. Yushchenko later appointed Poroshenko, who favors NATO and the EU, as Foreign Minister, and also reappointed him to the National Security and Defense Council, although when Yanukovych took office as president he was dismissed in 2010. That same year, former president Kravchuk accused exiled Russian tycoon Boris Berezovsky of financing Yushchenko's presidential election campaign, and provided copies of documents showing money transfers from companies controlled by Berezovsky to companies controlled by Yushchenko's official backers. Berezovsky confirmed that he met Yushchenko's representatives in London before the election, and that the money was transferred from his companies, but he refused to confirm or deny that the money was used in Yushchenko's campaign. In August 2006, Yushchenko appointed his onetime opponent in the 2004 presidential race, Viktor Yanukovych, to be the new Prime Minister, after his Party of Regions won the Parliamentary elections. This is the same candidate and party accused of cheating the 2004 elections. So much for the "Orange Revolution", because all of these businessman-politicians are in bed together in one way or another.

The fourth president of Ukraine was that same Viktor Yanukovych, the president just ousted this February. He defeated both Yushchenko and Tymoshenko, among other rivals in the election. In mid-2009, Tymoshenko accused Viktor Yushchenko, Arseniy Yatseniuk and Viktor Yanukovych, all fellow candidates in the 2010 presidential election, of having the same campaign headquarters which she claimed was financed by Dmytro Firtash.

As a youth, Yanukovych had a couple of criminal convictions which he was more recently charged with illegally having expunged, and even his numerous academic credentials are all said to be fraudulent. He has the military rank of major, and there is no known record of any military service. He was governor of Donetsk Oblast from 1997 to 2002, and he was a Prime Minister under both Kuchma and Yushchenko. Historically Yanukovych's foreign policy objectives gave lip service to the idea of Ukraine as a member of the EU, while on the other hand he is a Russophile, wanting even to have Russian accepted as a second state language in Ukraine. He resisted NATO membership and insisted upon mixed military cooperation with both NATO and Russia.



*Map of Ukraine showing estimated percentages of Russian population for four eastern Oblasts*

Recently, however, Yanukovich rejected a pending EU association agreement in favor of a Russian loan bailout and closer ties with Russia. His party, the Party of Regions, has much of its power base in the east and the Crimea, where Russian-language speakers are in the majority. As much as 35% of the population of Ukraine are said to be native Russian speakers, but not all Russian speakers are Russian, and they are concentrated in those regions. The closer relations with Russia were one of the causes igniting the Euromaidan protests, since to Ukrainians Russia has for so long been the leading cause of oppression and corruption. In the aftermath of the Yanukovich presidency, Dmytro Firtash, one of the richest Jewish oligarchs in Ukraine, has been arrested in Austria, and may face corruption charges in America.

For most of the time since 1991, Ukraine has been ruled by a diverse collection of oligarchs who favor Russia. Most of these oligarchs are either Jews or Russians. Notable among these are Medvedchuk, Akhmetov, Firtash, Novinsky, Ivanyushchenko and Yanukovich. For some of the time since 2004, Ukraine has been ruled by a diverse collection of oligarchs who favor the West over Russia, many of whom are also Jews. Notable among them are Yushchenko, Tymoshenko, Poroshenko and Yatsenyuk. However all of these oligarchs have had ties to each other both in business and in politics, and all are complicit in the looting of Ukraine. Most of them also have something else in common: they served in the government during the Presidency of Leonid Kuchma, and many of them began their political careers in the Soviet era.

The fall of Yanukovich this February was precipitated by the defection of many of the ministers from his Party of Regions, which had 128 seats of its own in the 450-seat parliament. The next largest party, with 88 seats, was the All-Ukrainian Union "Batkivshchyna (Fatherland)" Party, which came to be the largest party following the defections. Therefore the interim government of Ukraine consists primarily of leaders from this party. In 2010, Yulia Tymoshenko ran for president against Yanukovich with the All-Ukrainian Union "Batkivshchyna" Party. The party has a nationalist-sounding name but it actually has leftist roots, it is liberal and it leans strongly towards the EU and away from Russia. While Arseniy Yatsenyuk also ran for president in the 2010 election, it was for a different party and he had since joined the Batkivshchyna party.

Early in her political career, Tymoshenko and her husband had their own energy business, The Ukrainian

Petrol Corporation, which was founded in 1991. She was later the president of a company called United Energy Systems of Ukraine, a privately owned middleman company that became the main importer of Russian natural gas to Ukraine. Related to this time she was accused of being involved in corruption with Pavlo Lazarenko, who was at one time Prime Minister under Kuchma. She was also Minister of Fuel and Energy under Kuchma from 1999 to 2001. Ostensibly, it is the Ukrainian energy industry which has produced the most multimillionaires among the oligarchs.

Oleksandr Turchynov is the new president of Ukraine, and old ally of Tymoshenko, and also a member of the All-Ukrainian Union "Batkivshchyna" Party. He is also an old name dating back to the Soviet era. From 1987 to 1990 he served as head of the Agitation and Propaganda division of the Dnipropetrovsk Oblast Communist Youth League Committee, which was led by Serhiy Tihipko. Tihipko and Turchynov became political advisers of Leonid Kuchma, then head of Dnipropetrovsk-based Pivdenmash missile manufacturer and soon-to-be president of Ukraine. Kuchma and his entire team, including Tihipko and Turchynov moved to Kiev in 1992, after Kuchma was appointed Prime Minister. In 1993 Turchynov was formally appointed an advisor on economic issues to Prime Minister Kuchma.

The official website of Yatsenyuk, the new Ukrainian Prime Minister, states he was born into a family of ethnic Ukrainians. But other official and academic sources state that he was born to a family of ethnic Jewish-Ukrainians. [The Guardian](#) has reported that Yatsenyuk "has played down his Jewish-Ukrainian origins, possibly because of the prevalence of antisemitism in his party's western Ukraine heartland." Yatsenyuk was the man whom former President Kuchma had wished would have won the 2010 elections, over both Yanukovich and Tymoshenko.

Kuchma at one time favored Yatsenyuk for president and had also once been a patron of Turchynov. Therefore while the All-Ukrainian Union "Batkivshchyna" Party seems to favor the West, it is really just business-as-usual for Ukraine. Since all of these characters have been in positions of control in government in the past, since all of them are just as associated with one another in business as they are in politics, and since all of them are millionaires who stay in government for their own interests more than for the interests of the people of Ukraine, little is bound to actually change as long as any of them are in power.

The Western media is promoting the idea that the primary objective of the Euromaidan protestors is EU membership. That is not true. While many of the liberals taking part in the protests seek EU membership, just as many were nationalists who want Ukrainian independence from both Russia and the EU. The most common sentiment, however, is an end to the corruption of the Soviet-era bureaucracy, and the virtual control of the country by a handful of corrupt and mostly Jewish oligarchs. The oligarchs themselves are the primary opponents of the demonstrators, although a few oligarchs were in favor of them, meaning those that favor the West.

A general account of what led to the demonstrations is this: Russia bribed Yanukovich not to sign an association agreement with the European Union, promising a \$15 billion loan in return for a policy of repression in Ukraine. After accepting the loan, Yanukovich illegally forced legislation through parliament that was closely modeled on similar laws in Moscow restricting freedom of speech and assembly. The Euromaidan protests were indeed quite peaceful, up until Yanukovich moved to enforce his new laws. Right after the Kremlin freed up a \$2 billion installment Yanukovich ordered the shooting of protestors. This infuriated many citizens, and especially the nationalists, and led to increased and more militant protests. The governments of the West are trying to characterize the reasons for the protests as Yanukovich's refusal to sign the agreement with the EU, however that is only a side issue. Oppression and corruption in Ukraine are the real reasons for the protests. In the meantime, the Russians are accusing the protestors of being "fascists" and "nazis", none of which are true.

For 25 years, Ukraine has been a cadaver and the same congress of ravens has been feeding on it. The ravens will sometimes unite and chase off other parasites, but once the threat passes the ravens will go

back to competing with one another for whatever is left of the putrid flesh. In truth, the cadaver is tired of being eaten. The pressing question is whether the cadaver can ever again have the mind and will to attempt actually ridding itself of the ravens. And if in ridding itself of the ravens, it can also avert being trampled under foot in war once again.

As of February, another All-Ukrainian Union party, the "Svoboda (Freedom)" party, had 36 seats in the parliament. While it is not perfect, Svoboda is the only true nationalist party which also, from what we have perused of their literature, understands that the Jews are an alien people whose interests are not common to those of Ukraine. Svoboda leans towards the West, because Ukrainians rightfully view themselves as Europeans and because they are anti-Russian, understanding that Russia has not been good for Ukraine. Yet they are for Ukrainian independence rather than EU membership, and they are truly an ethnic nationalist party.

There are many other parties with representation, but aside from Svoboda and the largest two already mentioned, there is only one other party with significant representation: the centrist Ukrainian Democratic Alliance for Reform party, which holds 42 seats and is headed by the boxer, Vitali Klitschko. This party has an anti-corruption platform but it is generally favorable towards the West and is in favor of the Association Agreement with the EU. It is not a nationalist party by any means.

While Svoboda had by far the largest Euromaidan presence, and has obtained six seats in the new interim cabinet for its efforts, the party is still an opposition party and hopes to make large gains in the upcoming parliamentary elections. However there are several hurdles.

One obstacle is another, relatively new party which has arisen recently and which had a large presence in the Euromaidan demonstrations: the Pravyi Sektor (Right Sector). This party is not truly nationalist, but is often confused for being nationalist because of the Russian propaganda against it. They are more anti-leftist and in favor of Ukrainian independence, but they are not nationalist in the ethnic sense, and they have openly condemned anti-semitism. However if they hurt any other party at the polls, it would most likely be Svoboda. One can only wonder who is really behind a party which is favorable to Jews while pretending to a watered-down and broader-based non-ethnic nationalism that emerges in a short time and with sufficient funding, all while a real nationalist party is on the rise in Ukraine. At the same time, there are other lesser-known nationalist parties in Ukraine. One example is Spilna Sprava, often translated as Common Cause but which is better understood to mean Union of the Right. Members of this party, however, had displayed as much antipathy during Euromaidan for the Svoboda party as they had for the party in power. If nationalist parties remain fragmented and cannot unite at the polls, they will never be able to defeat the larger parties which have the Ukrainian oligarch-controlled media fully in their grasp.

The second and largest obstacle to any political independence and justice for Ukrainians is Russia. If Svoboda could win in Ukraine, and actually get to implement any of its nationalist policies, what would Russia do? There is already talk that Russia may invade Ukraine, under the pretense of supplying needed provisions and defense to its newest acquisition, Crimea. The eastern regions of Ukraine, which have large Russian minorities, would provide a land connection to Crimea if Russia could have them. While it may be possible that further Russian sabre-rattling is only for the hopes of scaring Ukrainians away from the nationalist parties in the upcoming elections, they have already wrested Crimea away from Ukraine. Therefore they could easily gamble on Donetsk or Luhansk Oblasts, which are said to be 48% and 42% Russian respectively, paving the way towards a land connection to Crimea. To this day, Russians derive much of their own national pride from their defeat of the evil "nazis". That is why Russian propaganda outlets are pushing the "nazi" label onto Ukraine so fervently now. If real nationalists such as Svoboda came to power in Ukraine, the Russians would not need much more of a pretense for an invasion. And how could the West defend such anti-semitic "nazis"?

As an afterthought, there are serious misgivings concerning Vladimir Putin in the minds of many western nationalists. It must therefore be stated that Putin is not a nationalist, nor is he a friend to nationalists. If



Putin were friendly to nationalists, he would appreciate Ukrainian nationalism and self-determination, yet he does not. Rather, they are branded as “nazis” and derided by Russian media. It seems that Putin is more concerned with Ukraine because he wants to defend the illicitly attained property of the Jewish and Russian oligarchs who have pillaged Ukraine since the end of the Soviet empire.

While Putin's good reputation among western nationalists comes from a lop-sided assessment of his dispute with one Jewish oligarch, Boris Berezovsky, Putin has been quite the friend of many other Jewish oligarchs, especially Roman Abramovich. One small example is the license which Putin has given Abramovich to completely [redevelop the famous Russian landmark, Gorky Park](#), into a high-priced tourism mecca. Putin, who was nominated for his position by Boris Yeltsin, has never reversed the sacking of Russia which occurred during the Yeltsin administration, in the form of the transfer of resources and industry owned by the State into the hands of a small number of Jews, at a fraction of the actual value of those assets.


There are hundreds of Jewish oligarchs in Russia and Ukraine, and Vladimir Putin is their defender. Most of them have Israeli citizenship, and travel freely back and forth to Israel from Eastern Europe. Putin meets with Chabad annually, and has gone so far as to wear the Yarmulke at the Wailing Wall in Jerusalem. At the same time, [thousands of young Russian and Ukrainian women are lured to Israel](#) each year with the promise of jobs, and when they get there they are forced to work as sex slaves in the many hundreds of Jewish whorehouses in Palestine. The trade is well-documented, and Putin has done nothing to stop it. At the same time, as many as [six million illegal Asian immigrants](#) have flooded into Moscow, and Putin has done nothing to stop that. Russian [immigration laws](#) are also quite amenable to aliens, and have encouraged an influx of cheap labor into Russia much like the Mexican immigrants who are flowing into America, or the Turks and Africans into Europe. At every turn, Vladimir Putin's domestic policies are clearly anti-nationalist - Russian or otherwise, and the more one investigates, the more Putin looks like just another globalist Western politician.

There has recently been some bad publicity concerning Svoboda, in which some of the party's members were taped roughing up a television newsman. There are rumors among the common folk in Ukraine, that just as the oligarchs had paid for ruffians from the east to pose as nationalists and purposely commit crimes and incite other troubles in order to make nationalists look bad during Euromaidan, that likewise the oligarchs may have corrupted some of the Svoboda members to commit criminal acts for that same purpose. Officially, the party has condemned the incident. There was no news in the West, however, of the fate of Dmytro Cherniavsky, the Svoboda press secretary, who was murdered in Donetsk. He was attending an anti-war meeting, and killed by pro-Russian thugs.

White nationalists everywhere should be concerned of the fate of the real nationalists in Ukraine.

**William R Finck**  
**Publisher**  
**christogenea.org**





## *C o n t e n t s*

### *Editorial - Ukraine or Jewkraine*

White Nationalist Delusions about Russia - E Durand	11
The Prophecy of Amos - Part Seven - W R Finck	18
The Dire Consequences of Race Mixing - S Longshanks	25
The War Against Whites: Hidden Agenda Behind Cultural Diversity	30
A Hundred Years War - South African Contributor	34
The Jewish Gas Chamber Hoax Film - E Hunt	37
Cape Town, South Africa Black ANC Councillors Trash City Hall	38
Albino Blacks sought by Witchdoctors for Ritual Murder	39
South African University - Honorary Degrees to Witchdoctors	40
Tell Mama – Silencing the Critics of Islam - P A Murphy	41
Secret Surveillance - L Darkmoon	45
Britain to Create Three Parent Babies	50
Human Health & the GMO Industry - C Todhunter	53
What is Christian Identity?	55
Announcements	



Map of Eurasia from 1771. Much of present-day Russia is called Great Tartary

## White Nationalist Delusions About Russia

Émile Durand

The crisis in Ukraine is unfolding at full speed, and White Nationalist circles in the West are closely following the events. A lot has already been said about the deep cultural and historical divide separating the western, Europe-oriented part of Ukraine from the eastern part, which has strong ties with Russia. With Russia's military moves in the Crimea, some are even prophesying the onset of the Third World War.

The majority of White Nationalists in the West nowadays cherish strong hopes in Russia and Putin in particular, which have reached a crescendo with the ongoing Ukraine crisis. However, I want to argue that these hopes are grave delusions. Not because Putin is also controlled by Jews, as is being countered by some White Nationalists; he may or may not be, but that makes no difference for us. And alternatively, even if Putin's Russia is not controlled by the Jews as strongly as the West (which is indeed not true – see below), even if Russia receives the full wrath of the Jewish-controlled media, it still doesn't make Putin's Russia our friend or a natural ally.

Nevertheless, I concede that at the moment Russia is the lesser evil for the white race compared to the Jew-led West. But still it has to be understood that Russia is also an evil, an essentially anti-white system. Hence, at most, it could be only a temporary ally.

White Nationalists seek power to enable our race to fulfill its destiny. To gain power, however, our movement has to have a firm grasp on reality. We also need a thorough knowledge of its enemies and (potential) allies. Therefore, it is of utmost importance for White Nationalists to have a clear understanding of who the Russians are. This understanding will be

especially crucial if White Nationalists seek an alliance with Russia in the future against a common enemy.

Somehow when reflecting upon Russians, White Nationalists, who in all other instances are manifestly sober and realistic with regard to race and ethnicity, suddenly lose their common sense. When one speaks of German or French identity, it is understood that the Germans and the French are white, European peoples, regardless of recent non-white immigration. Thus German and French nationalism are not *necessarily* opposed to wider white racial interests, although they often have been. Let's call German, French, and similar identities *ethnic*, because they denote a core ethnic group.

Brazilian identity, however, does not have such unambiguous racial connotations. Brazil has many Europeans, but it also has blacks, Amerindians, and many individuals of two or more races. Thus Brazilian nationalism is, by its nature, inconsistent with white racial interests. American identity used to connote whiteness, even though America had non-white minorities. But the American identity has been transformed into a commitment to the idea of freedom and equality for all. Thus American nationalism is, by its nature, opposed to white racial interests. Let's call American, Brazilian, and analogous identities *trans-racial*, since they both transcend and transform races.

Russian identity is more analogous to American and Brazilian trans-racial identity than German or French ethnic identity. There are many Russians who are entirely European. But there are also many Russians

of Near Eastern and Mongoloid ancestry, and many of mixed race. But even the whitest of Russians, to the extent that he thinks of himself *as a Russian*, is committed to a *trans-racial identity*, an identity whose racial “substratum” includes not just European, but also Mongoloid and Near Eastern (e.g., Caucasian) elements, as well as mixtures of all three.

A Russian is not, therefore, just another kind of European. Thus it is with good reason that Russians have always felt that their identity, interests, and destiny are distinct from those of Europe. European White Nationalists need to recognize this as well.

White Nationalists are also well aware that a country’s developmental index, its per-capita GDP, the average income of its citizens, the level of corruption, etc. are directly correlated with average levels of many heritable traits within its population. In terms of corruption and many other parameters Russia is far closer to a country like Brazil than to any European country. In some areas, Russia is not even within Asian or Latin American ranges but rather competes with sub-Saharan Africa.

Moreover, blaming the backwardness of Russia on Communism would be like blaming slavery for the backwardness of Africa. Firstly, Russia has always been backward in comparison to the West, and secondly most of the former Eastern bloc and USSR countries in Europe managed to create functional and prosperous societies shortly after freeing themselves from the Russian yoke. Belarus and Ukraine have been exceptions, mainly due to the fact that even after gaining independence they could never properly throw off Russian influence.

If the identity, interests, and destiny of Russia are not European, the fact that Putin has passed some traditionalist laws and that Russian society is allegedly moving in a more traditionalist direction does not help European White Nationalists in any way.

If we are to rejoice at Russian traditionalism and see them as allies simply because of that, then why don’t we see Muslims as allies and see hope for the West in their traditionalism as well? After all, they are even more hardcore than Russians.

Traditionalism serves the well-being and promotes the survival of every different ethnic group, but just because different ethnic groups choose similar methods and values to promote their own survival

does not automatically make them allies.

Therefore the stronger traditionalism of Russians, their patriotism and pride in their heritage, do not advance White Nationalist interests any more than the racial pride and patriotism of Indians, Chinese, Middle Easterners, Latin Americans, or Africans. Indeed, it might make them more formidable enemies of our interests.

### **The Grand Duchy of Moscow as the Forerunner of the Russian state**

Racially and culturally, the forerunner of Russia was the Grand Duchy of Moscow (1263–1547) which later became the Tsardom of Russia under Ivan IV (The Terrible), and not Kievan Rus as has been claimed by Russian historians since the time of Catherine the Great (who, by the way, was not Russian).

Already by the end of the 15th century, the Grand Duchy of Moscow was populated mostly by Christianized Tatar-Mongols who in the course of the previous two centuries had gradually adopted Orthodox Christianity and taken Slavic names. The indigenous Slavic population had become a minority in a relatively short period of time due to the large influx of these Christianized Asiatic nomads, and due to mixed marriages between Christianized Mongols and indigenous Slavs.

The expansion of the Grand Duchy of Moscow further to the east, into the lands of Ugric peoples (e.g., Mordvins, Udmurts), increased the Asiatic component of the population even further. Later, under Ivan the Terrible, the Kazan and Astrakhan Khanates were conquered and incorporated into the Tsardom of Russia. The vast majority of the Volga Bulgars populating those states (whose descendants from the 19th century on were falsely called “Tatars,” not to be confused with the nomadic Tatars mentioned above), were forced to adopt Christianity and Slavic names. And finally, beginning with the conquest of Siberia in the 17th century, there was a gradual Christianization and Russification of the mostly Turkic peoples populating those lands.

The only Eastern Slavic state not affected by the Mongol invasions and hence able to retain its white Slavic/Nordic composition was the Novgorod Republic. Its customs, its culture, and the mentality of its inhabitants differed from those of Muscovy. As race realists should realize, these differences relate to

differences in racial composition of those two states. The most glaring difference is in the system of government: although Asiatic despotism reigned in Muscovy, Novgorod was governed by a popular assembly, the “Veche,” which was similar to the Norse “thing” or Swiss cantonal assembly.

However, as a result of two wars in 1471 and 1477–78 Novgorod was conquered and destroyed by Muscovy. The city was devastated, and most of its inhabitants were massacred in the cruelest (i.e., Asiatic) manner. The Great Novgorod that was spared from the invasion by nomadic Mongols suffered destruction at the hands of Slavs and Christianized Tatar-Mongols. With the complete victory of Muscovy over Novgorod, the destiny of the future Russian state was determined.

The people of Muscovy identified themselves solely as Orthodox (Pravoslavnye), and the term “Russian” was indeed completely unknown to them. Beginning with Ivan III, the grand dukes and later the tsars saw themselves as the heirs of the Orthodox Christian Byzantine Empire (hence the term “Third Rome” coined by them), and the champions of Orthodox Christianity. They were never guided by any kind of ethnic or racial identity or an idea of an ethnic state. The Orthodox Church, like all Christian churches, accepted converts of all races and blessed interracial marriages and their offspring.

From the start, then, the Russian identity was not of a white European people, but of a white, Asiatic, and mixed-race population professing Orthodox Christianity and ruled from Moscow.

Interestingly, however, at that time there were people who had long called themselves “Ruskie” (with one “s”) and most importantly were identified as such in Western Europe. They were the descendants of the people of Kievan Rus. At that time, they were the subjects of the Grand Duchy of Lithuania, where they formed by far the largest demographic and linguistic group. Their ethnic and linguistic descendants are present-day Ukrainians and Belarusians. Later historians began calling them Ruthenians. Modern Ukrainian and Belarusian developed from the Ruthenian language. Therefore, it is the Ukrainians and Belarusians who are the rightful heirs (both racially and culturally) of Kievan Rus.

There were dramatic differences between Ruthenia and Muscovy. Slavish servility towards rulers, a

typical Oriental trait, was characteristic of the Muscovites, whereas in Ruthenia the Magdeburg Law, completely unknown in Muscovy, operated in the towns, and the Ruthenians were as conscious of their rights and free in spirit as their western European counterparts. Therefore, from the very beginning, the inhabitants of Ruthenia were aware that Muscovite-Russians were a very different people. Even today the word “Muscovite” (Moskal) is used in Ukrainian as a derogatory term for Russians.

Thus the opposition of Ukraine towards Russia has deep historical roots. The recent conflict in Ukraine, as well as the Orange Revolution in 2004, have to be seen primarily not in terms of geopolitics, as many White Nationalists are inclined to do, but rather as a deeper confrontation — as the struggle of European Slavs against an alien, non-European power.

### **The Europeanization and De-Europeanization of Russia**



*Tzar Peter the Great*

There was, however, a considerable infusion of European blood and European culture into Russia beginning in the 18th century when Peter I (the Great) proclaimed the Russian Empire and oriented the Russian state toward the West. Many European (mostly German, but also French, Italian, and Swedish) engineers, craftsmen, artists, and state and army officials were invited to Russia to develop the infrastructure, to modernize the army and state apparatus, to educate the local population, and to introduce western art.

This process accelerated when large numbers of German settlers were invited by Catherine II (the Great), herself also German, to cultivate large swathes of Russian territory. The German newcomers settled

especially around the Volga River basin, and their descendants later became known as Volga Germans. It was mostly thanks to the efforts and contributions of Russian Germans that Russia became Europeanized and assumed her position among the main European powers.


Indeed, it is only beginning in the 18th century that the terms “Russia” (Rossiya) and “Russian” (Russkiy) came into widespread use, and historians of the Russian Empire actively promote the idea of Russia being the rightful heir of Kievan Rus in order to ideologically justify the past and future conquests under the motto “gathering the ancient lands of Rus.”

This “Europeanization,” however, occurred only on the surface, and in its essence Russia remained a distinctly non-European society, in which a European minority elite ruled over a Slavic, Asiatic, Near Eastern, and mixed-race population. However, this process of “Europeanization” was drastically reversed, both culturally and more importantly racially, with the Bolshevik Revolution.

It is a common misconception to regard the imposition of communism in Russia as a merely a political change. First and foremost, the Bolshevik Revolution was a revolt of the culturally and/or racially non-European masses against the European elite. In short, this critical event in history has to be primarily comprehended not in social-political but rather in *racial* terms. The ideals of communism served only as a façade, as a tool through which the spiteful non-European masses expressed their deep and long-held hatred and resentment towards their European masters and everything European. This was

already at that time clearly observed and eloquently pointed out by Oswald Spengler.

Most importantly, communism drastically and irreversibly changed the racial makeup of the Russian population. The Communist regime targeted mostly the intellectual and political elites for destruction, who were primarily descendants the



indigenous Slavic population and later European immigrants. Millions were murdered, and the luckier ones escaped to Europe, never to return. And since the de-Europeanization of Russia was first and foremost *racial*, it would be highly misleading to conclude that Russia returned to the European world after the fall of communism.

### Putin’s “New” Russia

Indeed, the majority of the current Russian population reveres the Soviet past. The official ideology of Putin’s Russia rests upon its glorification. And, as expected, Putin’s Russia takes inspiration from the Soviet past rather than from the Russian Empire, which can be regarded as the only (quasi) European period in Russian history. Putin once even called the collapse of the Soviet Union “the greatest catastrophe of the 20th century.” Not the *creation* of the Soviet Union, mind you, but rather its *collapse* is the great catastrophe for Putin and his supporters, who are the vast majority of Russians.

Most importantly, every year Russians joyfully celebrate their “victory” in the Second World War (which they call “Great Patriotic War”), without regard to the fact that this “victory” was the gravest event in the history of the white race. These celebrations are accompanied by military parades on the Red Square in Moscow. This cult of victory is the main pillar upon which the national identity of Putin’s “new” Russia rests. They venerate their “veterans of the Great Patriotic War” who were the rapists of millions of white women, murderers of millions of white men and children. Basically this veneration of the barbarian hordes, and the pride that they feel in the barbarian invasion of Europe, underlines their ethnic identity. This alone is enough to conclusively demonstrate that the identity and nationalism of Russians are in conflict with white ethnic identity.

Even the slightest attempt to shed light on the crimes of the Red Army and to revise the official WWII narrative is met with the same kind of hysteria that characterizes Western liberals. At every opportunity, the Russian media demonize Estonia, Latvia, or Ukraine when they commemorate their heroes who fought alongside Germany against the Red Army defending their homelands, or when they remove the monuments to Red Army soldiers installed in their cities by the Soviet government. For example, in 2007, when Estonian authorities removed the Red

Army monument in the center of Tallinn, the official Russian media went into hysterics, and “youths” from pro-Putin organizations surrounded the Estonian embassy in Moscow and threatened the ambassador.

There are a lot of Russians living in Estonia and Latvia (around 30% of the population). Their ancestors were settled there by Stalin in a deliberate attempt to change the demographics of those two small Baltic states. The social profile, behavior, and attitudes of these Russians closely resemble those of non-white Third World immigrants in western countries.

One simple and glaring example illustrates this point. The removal of the Red Army monument in the center of Tallinn coincided with the presidential elections in France which resulted in the victory of Nicolas Sarkozy. As is well known, after the elections the black/Arab population rioted. Around the same time, the Russians rioted in Tallinn, and they did everything they could to earn the name “Arabs of Estonia” — e.g., in Tallinn, as in Paris, burning cars and vandalism were widespread. But that did not stop Russian news sources from branding the Estonian government and police evil “fascists.”

In addition, many western White Nationalists may be surprised to hear that the Russian inhabitants of Crimea have recently shown their solidarity with Russia by waving the banners of the USSR and the Ukrainian Communist Party. They also have vilified and demonized the leaders of the Ukrainian resistance fighters who fought alongside the Germans against invading Soviet hordes — i.e., Stepan Bandera and Roman Shukhevich.

### **Putin’s Russia is Nearly as Anti-White as the Liberal West**

It is also a widespread delusion among western White Nationalists that Russia is free of Jewish influence and is an antidote to the Jew-led New World Order. Firstly, at every opportunity, Putin pays homage to the official “holocaust” narrative promulgated by the Jews. On many occasions he said that the holocaust was the most abominable atrocity in history, and the Red Army put an end to this horror. Since the cult of victory in WWII and the glorification of the Soviet past are the main pillars of national identity in modern Russia, this implies that Russian identity and patriotism are not only *not* opposed to Jewish interests but, on the contrary, are *directly in line with them*.

Secondly, anti-Semitism is very weak in Putin’s Russia, and Jews feel quite comfortable and welcome. Jewish life is flourishing at a level comparable only to the early aftermath of the Bolshevik Revolution. A popular Russian-Jewish crooner, Iosif Kobzon, said not so long ago that “Jews are currently experiencing a Renaissance in Russia.” Furthermore, before the presidential elections in 2012, the chairman of the Council of Russian Jews proclaimed his full support to Putin and expressed his confidence that all Jews in Russia will vote for him. He even said that he doesn’t know a single Jew in Russia who would be against Putin.



*Putin Meets Abramovich in Kremlin*

Moreover, again contrary to the hopes of many White Nationalists, neither Putin’s opposition to U.S. military intervention in Syria nor his passing legislation against some of the currents actively promoted by Jews in the West (e.g., homosexuality) is enough to qualify him as our ally or as anti-Jewish. For one thing, the attitude of international Jewry towards the Syrian crisis is not monolithic. While neocons promote military intervention, the Left, which is also led by Jews, strongly opposes it. Therefore, one cannot say that the failure of the campaign against the Assad regime is the failure of a specifically Jewish issue.

Regarding Putin’s anti-gay legislation, Uganda has also recently passed a law against homosexuality. Blacks and Muslims have been staunchly homophobic from time immemorial. But is it enough to make Uganda or Muslims and blacks in general our allies?

Finally, and most importantly, *real* Russian White Nationalists, who are as much a minority in Putin’s Russia as their counterparts are in the West, are vilified as “Nazis” and are persecuted far more harshly than in the liberal west.

## The Ukrainian Revolution from White Nationalist Point of View

Many western White Nationalists lament that Ukrainian nationalist organizations receive support from and maybe try to court favor from Jews. Others reproach Ukrainians for their petty nationalistic separatism, which is allegedly incompatible with the common fight against the perilous situation of whites worldwide. However, Ukraine is currently in a historical stage which western nations passed through long ago — i.e., the acquisition of a national identity. This step is a precondition for Ukrainians to acquire a broader sense white identity in the future.

Therefore, any consistent and honest White Nationalist should support the current Ukrainian revolution. If Ukraine has any chance to join the larger white European family, this is the time. White Ukrainians are breaking free from the Russian (non-European) yoke and joining their white brethren in the West.



*Yulia Tymoshenkow First Woman Prime Minister of Ukraine. Her Communications Minister described her as half Jewish in 2005*

It is simply a given that Jews will interfere with and try to profit from every upheaval, and they hedge their bets by playing both sides. Putin also has Jewish friends and advisers. So Jewish involvement in Ukrainian affairs is not in itself evidence that Jews are running the show, any more than Jewish involvement in Russian affairs is evidence that they control Putin.

Moreover, western White Nationalists should not underestimate Ukrainians (and by extension other Eastern Europeans), and they should not overestimate the brainwashing power of the Jew-led EU. Having

closer ties with the West and even joining the EU does not automatically mean Ukraine will be flooded by hordes of non-white immigrants or gays will be marching on the streets of every big city.

Many Eastern European countries, including the post-Soviet republics of Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania, joined the EU in 2004. However, those countries remain predominantly white. So far, they have been completely spared the demographic changes experienced by western countries through non-white mass immigration. Eastern Europeans are sufficiently traditionalist not to permit their countries to be flooded by non-whites.

In addition, non-white immigrants find relatively poor Eastern European countries unattractive destinations. They look for easy money, welfare, preferential treatment, an opportunity to behave insolently with impunity, etc. If they feel that the local white population will not provide these opportunities to them, they will simply avoid those places.

Non-white immigrants also avoid relatively ethnocentric societies. Eastern Europe (especially Poland and Ukraine) already has a widespread image as “racist” terrain, to be avoided. The same incentives can be observed within Germany. The former East German territories have the reputation of being populated with “racists” and dangerous for immigrants. As a result, Turks, blacks, Arabs, etc. are simply afraid to go there. Thus even large cities like Leipzig and Dresden continue to have almost exclusively white populations.

### Concluding Remarks

I wish to repeat and emphasize that I recognize that there are many white people in Russia, just as there are many whites in Latin America and the US. And I recognize all whites as potential allies of White Nationalism. However, Russian White Nationalists have to understand that white identity is in conflict with Russian patriotism and Russian ethnic identity, just as white Mexicans recognize that White Nationalism is incompatible with Mexican identity and patriotism, and white Americans recognize that White Nationalism is incompatible with the universalistic, multiracial concept of American identity that Jews promote.

But those who think of themselves as Russians first and whites second have an identity, interests, and



destiny opposed to Europe and White Nationalism.

The same is true of a white American who thinks of himself as an American first, thereby adopting an identity, interests, and destiny opposed to White Nationalism. Being a French or a German patriot does not *necessarily* conflict with larger white interests, since France and Germany are integrally white countries. But being an American or Brazilian or Russian patriot does. Vladimir Putin is a Russian patriot. For a White Nationalist, that should not be a compliment.

To be consistent, White Nationalists should support Svoboda and Right Sector and *not* Putin's Russia. A movement that aims at power has to possess a clear and sober understanding of its friends, potential allies, and enemies.

First and foremost, it has to consolidate all of its adherents before considering an alliance with an alien power against a common enemy. It might well be expedient to ally ourselves with Russia on some occasions. But that alliance should not entail the betrayal of our racial and ideological brethren in Eastern Europe.

To conclude, western White Nationalists need to awaken from their Russophile dreams and face reality. Rather than fawning over Russia and Putin, they should be more concerned with maintaining their

credibility with their Eastern European brothers and sisters. There are many devoted Ukrainian, Estonian, Latvian, and other Eastern European nationalists reading western White Nationalist sites. When they encounter naïve, childish, and frankly hysterical Russophilia, they are rightly appalled.

Russian identity is as trans-racial as American identity, Christianity, Islam, liberalism, and Marxism. Russian imperialism, like American imperialism, Christianity, Islam, etc., is a mighty engine of miscegenation, an engine that has been chugging away since the Middle Ages. Like the first Rome and the second Rome, the Third Rome is not a nation but a *machine* that


liquidates every nation it captures, including its own founders.

Thus Russian imperialism is not an alternative to globalization, but just another form of it. Thus Russia is not the future of the white race, but one of its graveyards. White Nationalists should, therefore,

sympathize first and foremost with those white Russians and all captive peoples who wish to free themselves of that machine and its master, Vladimir Putin.


*[The author does not understand that Christian churches were co-opted by Jews in Middle Ages, and that original Christianity is racist, not multi-racial - Editor]*

## Growth of the Svoboda party



Source: Article printed from Counter Currents

<http://www.counter-currents.com/2014/03/white-nationalist-delusions-about-russia/>



*Our ten-part series on the prophecy of Amos continues*

## **The Prophecy of Amos - Part 7**

**William R Finck**

**I**n the last segment of this presentation of the prophecy of Amos we spent a considerable amount of time examining the phrase “all the families of the earth”, which appears in Amos 3:2, in the light of the context of Scripture. We shall not again dwell at length on that phrase here, however we shall summarize a few things from the last presentation, and then proceed with the rest of Amos chapter 3.

**Amos 3:1 Hear this word that the LORD hath spoken against you, O children of Israel, against the whole family which I brought up from the land of Egypt, saying, 2 You only have I known of all the families of the earth: therefore I will punish you for all your iniquities.**

The phrase “all the families of the earth” can only refer to all of the White Genesis 10 nations of the Adamic oikoumenê, which is the Biblical context provided by Genesis chapters 5, 10 and 11, which is also the way the phrase was understood in both Deuteronomy 32:8 and in Acts 17:26:

Genesis 5:1: “This is the book of the generations of Adam. In the day that God created man, in the likeness of God made he him; 2 Male and female created he them; and blessed them, and called their name Adam, in the day when they were created.”

Genesis 10:32: “These are the families of the sons of Noah, after their generations, in their nations: and by these were the nations divided in the earth after the flood.”

Genesis 11:1, 8-9: “1 And the whole earth [that whole land of Shinar] was of one language, and of one speech.... 8 So the LORD scattered them abroad from thence upon the face of all the earth: and they left off to build the city. 9 Therefore is the name of it called Babel; because the LORD did there confound the language of all the earth [of all of that land]: and from thence did the LORD scatter them abroad upon the face of all the earth.”

Genesis 12:1-3: “1 Now the LORD had said unto Abram, Get thee out of thy country, and from thy kindred, and from thy father's house, unto a land that I will shew thee: 2 And I will make of thee a great nation, and I will bless thee, and make thy name great; and thou shalt be a blessing: 3 And I will bless them that bless thee, and curse him that curseth thee: and in thee shall all families of the earth be blessed.”

Deuteronomy 32:8-9: “8 When the most High divided to the nations their inheritance, when he separated the sons of Adam, he set the bounds of the people according to the number of the children of Israel. 9 For the LORD'S portion is his people; Jacob is the lot of his inheritance.”

Acts 17:26-28, where was addressing the Athenians, who were Japhethites: “26 And hath made of one blood all nations of men for to dwell on all the face of the earth, and hath determined the times before appointed, and the bounds of their habitation; 27 That they should seek the Lord, if haply they might feel after him, and find him, though he be not far from

every one of us: 28 For in him we live, and move, and have our being; as certain also of your own poets have said, For we are also his offspring.”

The children of Adam, who is the son of God, are the offspring of God (Luke 3:34). Genesis chapter 5 tells us that “this is the book of the generations of Adam”, and all of the races which are not Adam are not even under consideration here. All of the descendants of Adam through Noah were originally White people, and that can indeed be established in history.

Deuteronomy 32:8 tells us not that Israel was a people elected by Yahweh out of all the races on the planet, but that Israel was elected by Yahweh God out of all the Adamic families of Genesis chapters 10 and 11. Yet it is to these people, and none others, that Yahweh God refers where he tells Abraham in Genesis chapter 12 that “I will bless them that bless thee, and curse him that curseth thee: and in thee shall all families of the earth be blessed.”

For the reason that Yahweh had known only Israel out “of all the families of the earth”, did Christ state that He came “but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel”, at Matthew 15:24. It can be established that Paul of Tarsus understood this as well, and therefore he used the Greek term οἰκονομία, the *management of a household* or *family*, in order to describe his ministry. This he did in Ephesians chapter 3, Colossians chapter 1, and in 1 Timothy chapter 1.

Ephesians 3:1-2: “1 For this cause I, Paul, captive of Christ Yahshua on behalf of you of the Nations, 2 if indeed you have heard of the management of the family of the favor of Yahweh which has been given to me in regard to you...” and Ephesians 3:9: “and to enlighten all concerning the management of the household of the mystery which was concealed from the ages by Yahweh, by whom all things are being established.”

For this same reason Paul said in Galatians chapter 6 that “10 So then while we have occasion we should work at good towards all, but especially towards those of the family of the faith”, meaning all of the Genesis 10 nations and especially towards the children of Israel.

One other question that this discourse might raise, since it relates to the promise of Genesis 12:3, is just how all of the other Genesis 10 nations could be blessed in Abraham's seed. Paul answers this, albeit indirectly, in Romans chapter 5. First we must be

mindful that the first promise of restoration to eternal life found in the Bible is in Genesis 3:22, right after the fall, where it is written: “And the LORD God said, Behold, the man is become as one of us, to know good and evil: and now, lest he put forth his hand, and take also of the tree of life, and eat, and live for ever: 23 Therefore the LORD God sent him forth from the garden of Eden, to till the ground from whence he was taken. 24 So he drove out the man; and he placed at the east of the garden of Eden Cherubims, and a flaming sword which turned every way, to keep the way of the tree of life.” The flaming sword does not prevent Adamic man from attaining the tree of life. Rather, it is a symbol assuring that Adamic man shall indeed attain it, that the way to it shall be kept. The east is where the sun rises. The Son is the Light of the World, He is the Way, and He is the Tree of Life.

Therefore Yahshua Christ says in Luke chapter 11, for instance, that the men of Nineveh shall rise in the judgment. They are descendants of Ashur in Genesis 10. He also says that the Queen of the South shall rise in the judgment. This queen who once visited King Solomon is a descendant of Sheba in Genesis 10. Job, who was also apparently not an Israelite, said: “26 And though after my skin worms destroy this body, yet in my flesh shall I see God: 27 Whom I shall see for myself, and mine eyes shall behold, and not another; though my reins be consumed within me.” (Job 19:26-27) The apostle Peter explained in his first epistle that Christ descended to Hades and preached the Gospel to those souls who had died before the flood, and neither were they of Israel. Finally Paul, in Romans chapter 5, says: “12 For this reason, just as by one man failure of purpose entered into the Society, and by that failure of purpose death, and in that manner death has passed to all men, on account that all have done wrong: 13 (for until the law fault was in the Society; but fault was not accounted, there not being law; 14 but death reigned from Adam until Moses, even over those who had not committed an error resembling the transgression of Adam, who is an image of the future. 15 But should not, as was the transgression, in that manner also be the favor? Indeed if in the transgression of one many die, much greater is the favor of Yahweh, and the gift in favor, which is of the one man Yahshua Christ, in which many have great advantage. 16 And not then by one having committed error is the gift? Indeed the fact is that judgment of a single one is for condemnation, but the favor is from many transgressions into a judgment of

acquittal. 17 For if in the transgression of one, death has taken reign through that one, much more is the advantage of the favor, and the gift of justice they are receiving, in life they will reign through the one, Yahshua Christ.) 18 So then, as that one transgression is for all men for a sentence of condemnation, in this manner then through one decision of judgment for all men is for a judgment of life. 19 Therefore even as through the disobedience of one man the many were set down as wrongdoers, in this manner then through the obedience of One the many will be established as righteous.”

Therefore, ostensibly, the seed of Abraham was chosen to continue and to carry out the will of Yahweh our God in the world, and in their redemption are all of the Genesis 10 Adamic nations blessed.

**3 Can two walk together, except they be agreed?**

Fro, Exodus 19:3-8: “3 And Moses went up unto God, and the LORD called unto him out of the mountain, saying, Thus shalt thou say to the house of Jacob, and tell the children of Israel; 4 Ye have seen what I did unto the Egyptians, and how I bare you on eagles' wings, and brought you unto myself. 5 Now therefore, if ye will obey my voice indeed, and keep my covenant, then ye shall be a peculiar treasure unto me above all people: for all the earth is mine: 6 And ye shall be unto me a kingdom of priests, and an holy nation. These are the words which thou shalt speak unto the children of Israel. 7 And Moses came and called for the elders of the people, and laid before their faces all these words which the LORD commanded him. 8 And all the people answered together, and said, All that the LORD hath spoken we will do. And Moses returned the words of the people unto the LORD.”

There is a Greek word, ὁμολογέω, *homologeō*, which is literally *to be of the same word* or *to agree*, which in the King James Version of the New Testament is usually translated as *confess*. The children of Israel are commanded to be in agreement with their Creator, or they cannot walk with Him.

Matthew 10:32-33: “32 Therefore each who shall agree with Me before men, I shall also agree with him before My Father who is in the heavens. 33 But he who should deny Me before men, I shall also deny

Therefore, ostensibly, the seed of Abraham was chosen to continue and to carry out the will of Yahweh our God in the world, and in their redemption are all of the Genesis 10 Adamic nations blessed

him before My Father who is in the heavens.

Romans 10:8-9: “8 But rather what does it say? “The word is near to you, in your mouth and in your heart:” that is to say, the word of the faith that we proclaim, 9 that if by your mouth you were to agree with Prince Yahshua, and trust in your heart that Yahweh has raised Him from among the dead, you shall be delivered.”

Disagreement with Christ is tantamount to denial of Christ. He is God incarnate. God is not going to agree with us, we are going to have to agree with Him. We of the children of Adam are formed in His image: we cannot form Him in our image. Therefore Paul said at

Romans 8:29: “For whom he did foreknow, he also did predestinate to be conformed to the image of his Son, that he might be the firstborn among many brethren.”

**4 Will a lion roar in the forest, when he hath no prey? will a young lion cry out of his den, if he have taken nothing?**

The words of Yahweh are not vain. He tells Israel “I will punish you for your iniquities”, and if He roars (as He says in verse 8), He will indeed take a prey, which here is the children of Israel.

**5 Can a bird fall in a snare upon the earth, where no gin is for him? shall one take up a snare from the earth, and have taken nothing at all?**

The analogy is clear in the 124th Psalm, said to be a “A Song of degrees of David”: “1 If it had not been the LORD who was on our side, now may Israel say; 2 If it had not been the LORD who was on our side, when men rose up against us: 3 Then they had swallowed us up quick, when their wrath was kindled against us: 4 Then the waters had overwhelmed us, the stream had gone over our soul: 5 Then the proud waters had gone over our soul. 6 Blessed be the LORD, who hath not given us as a prey to their teeth. 7 Our soul is escaped as a bird out of the snare of the fowlers: the snare is broken, and we are escaped. 8 Our help is in the name of the LORD, who made heaven and earth.” However here Yahweh is against Israel, and the nation would indeed soon fall prey to the Assyrians. This time the snare shall not be broken.

**6 Shall a trumpet be blown in the city, and the people not be afraid? shall there be evil in a city,**

## **and the LORD hath not done it?**

The trumpet is the sound of war, blown by those on watch as a call to arms for the defense of a city. Nehemiah, in chapter 13 of his book, is addressing those who profane the Sabbath where he says “17 Then I contended with the nobles of Judah, and said unto them, What evil thing is this that ye do, and profane the sabbath day? 18 Did not your fathers thus, and did not our God bring all this evil upon us, and upon this city? yet ye bring more wrath upon Israel by profaning the sabbath.” If evil comes upon a city of Israel, that evil is a punishment from Yahweh.

**7 Surely the Lord GOD will do nothing, but he revealeth his secret unto his servants the prophets. 8 The lion hath roared, who will not fear? the Lord GOD hath spoken, who can but prophesy?**

Hosea, Isaiah and Amos all had their ministries around the same exact time. All three men were contemporaries, and they were all publicly forewarning of the destruction to come upon Israel. The lion is Yahweh, through His prophets He has roared, and therefore the prey would be taken.

Amos prophesied in the days of Jeroboam II, the king of Israel. Of this king, from a historical perspective 2 Kings 14:25-28 says: “25 He restored the coast of Israel from the entering of Hamath unto the sea of the plain, according to the word of the LORD God of Israel, which he spake by the hand of his servant Jonah, the son of Amittai, the prophet, which was of Gathhepher. **[We do not have a record of this in Jonah, however these things were also prophesied in some degree by Elisha, as it is recorded at the beginning of 2 Kings 13.]** 26 For the LORD saw the affliction of Israel, that it was very bitter: for there was not any shut up, nor any left, nor any helper for Israel. 27 And the LORD said not that he would blot out the name of Israel from under heaven: but he saved them by the hand of Jeroboam the son of Joash. 28 Now the rest of the acts of Jeroboam, and all that he did, and his might, how he warred, and how he recovered Damascus, and Hamath, which belonged to Judah, for Israel, are they not written in the book of the chronicles of the kings of Israel?” Therefore this should have been a time of hope and restoration for Israel. And while in the historical perspective of 2 Kings 14 the account of Jeroboam displays such hope, at the very same time the prophets of Yahweh are pronouncing an impending judgment of doom upon

Israel.

**9 Publish in the palaces at Ashdod, and in the palaces in the land of Egypt, and say, Assemble yourselves upon the mountains of Samaria, and behold the great tumults in the midst thereof, and the oppressed in the midst thereof. 10 For they know not to do right, saith the LORD, who store up violence and robbery in their palaces.**

As it has been elucidated from the Assyrian inscriptions in earlier segments of this presentation, that the armies of the neighboring states which were already subject to Assyria would join in the conquest of other states, which include Israel. After the Assyrian king Sennacherib had subjected the cities of the Philistines, the Philistines in turn had joined him in his campaigns against both Israel and Judah. After that, Philistine kings were rewarded with portions of Israelite territory for their booty. [See the notes at [Amos 1:6-8](#) and [Amos 2:4-5](#) in parts 2 and 4 of this presentation.]

**11 Therefore thus saith the Lord GOD; An adversary there shall be even round about the land; and he shall bring down thy strength from thee, and thy palaces shall be spoiled.**

The Septuagint version of this verse is quite different: “Therefore thus saith the Lord God; O Tyre, thy land shall be made desolate round about thee; and he shall bring down thy strength out of thee, and thy countries shall be spoiled.” The first part of the verse is apparently wanting in the Dead Sea Scrolls.

Now it must be asked, should we accept the contents of this verse as it is found in the Septuagint? Or in the Masoretic Text? Why should Tyre be referenced in a prophecy which is against the children of Israel, when there has already been an oracle against the Tyrians in Amos chapter 1? That question may be answered in the following verse, in Amos 3: 12, where we see Damascus mentioned in this prophecy against Israel, although there has already been an oracle against Damascus in Amos chapter 1.

There is one other occurrence in the prophets where such a discrepancy is extant. In Micah 7:12, the King James Version reads: “In that day also he shall come even to thee from Assyria, and from the fortified cities, and from the fortress even to the river, and from sea to sea, and from mountain to mountain.” Yet in Micah 7:12 in the Septuagint reads: “And thy cities

shall be leveled, and parted among the Assyrians; and thy strong cities shall be parted from Tyre to the river, and from sea to sea, and from mountain to mountain.” The days of Micah's prophesying were not long after those of Amos. Like the verse here in Amos, the questionable portion of this verse in Micah is also somehow wanting in the Dead Sea Scrolls.

In the cases of Amos 3:11 and Micah 7:12, I must trust the Septuagint. The Septuagint translators sometimes confused Canaanites for Phoenicians, translating the Hebrew word in that manner on occasion. However it is clear that many of the inhabitants of Phoenicia in the comparatively late time of the Septuagint translators were actually Canaanites. Those translators had nothing to lose nor to gain by claiming Tyre as an Israelite city if it were truly a Canaanite city. No certain motive could be ascertained if they were trying to pervert the truth in these instances. However the much later Masoretic Text editors, who lived long after the time of Christ, would have everything to gain in maintaining the false identity of those who claim to be Judaeans - but are not, if they could obscure history by disconnecting the relationship between the children of Israel and their former great city of Tyre, from which so many European colonies were launched in ancient times. In fact, in ancient Greek myth Tyre was said to be the birthplace of Europa, the mythical figure for whom the continent is named, and something which is certainly not a coincidence.

At this point it may be fitting that we read a lengthy passage from Josephus, *Against Apion*, Book 1, lines 161-171. Here we shall see that Josephus practically took it for granted that the ancient Tyrians were Israelites, and neither did he have anything to gain by doing such a thing. However note that in this passage, Josephus uses the term *Judaeans* (which was originally mistranslated as *Jews* by Whiston) to describe both ancient and contemporary (to him) Judahites and Israelites, and therefore it seems to be a religious designation as much as a tribal one:

“161 But now it is proper to satisfy the inquiry of those who disbelieve the records of barbarians, and think none but Greeks to be worthy of credit, and to produce many of these very Greeks, who were acquainted with our nation, and to set before them

such as upon occasion have made mention of us in their own writings. 162 Pythagoras [**A famous and early Greek Philosopher who is esteemed to have lived from about 570 to 495 BC**], therefore, of Samos lived in very ancient times, and was esteemed a person superior to all philosophers, in wisdom and piety toward God. Now it is plain that he did not only know our doctrines, but was in very great measure a follower and admirer of them. 163 There is not, indeed, extant, any writing that is owned for his; but many there are who have written his history, of whom Hermippus is the most celebrated, who was a person very inquisitive in all sorts of history. 164 Now this Hermippus, in his first book concerning Pythagoras, speaks thus: 'That Pythagoras, upon the death of one of his associates, whose name was Calliphon, a Crotoniate by birth, affirmed that this man's soul conversed with him both night and day, and enjoined him not to pass over a place where an ass had fallen down; as also not to drink of such waters as caused thirst again; and to abstain from all sorts of reproaches.' 165 After which he adds thus: 'This he did and said in imitation of the doctrines of the Judaeans and Thracians, which he transferred into his own philosophy.' For it is very truly affirmed of this Pythagoras, that he took a great many of the laws of the Judaeans into his own philosophy. [**Not only Pythagoras, but later philosophers such as Plato, received many of their ideas from the Hebrew Scriptures.**] 166 Nor was our nation unknown of old to several of the Greek cities, and, indeed, was thought worthy of imitation by some of them. 167 This is declared by Theophrastus, in his writings concerning laws; for he says that 'the laws of the Tyrians forbid men to swear foreign oaths.' Among which he enumerates some others, and particularly that called Corban : which oath can only be found among the Judaeans, and declares what a man may call 'A thing devoted to God.' 168 Nor, indeed, was Herodotus, of Halicarnassus, unacquainted with our nation, but mentions it after a way of his own, when he says this, in the second book concerning the Colchians. 169 His words are these: – 'The only people who were circumcised in their privy members originally, were the Colchians, the Egyptians, and the Ethiopians; but the Phoenicians and those Syrians that are in Palestine, confess that they learned it from the Egyptians; 170 and for those

In fact, in ancient Greek myth Tyre was said to be the birthplace of Europa, the mythical figure for whom the continent is named, and something which is certainly not a coincidence.

Syrians who live about the rivers Thermodon and Parthenius, and their neighbours the Macrones, they say they have lately learned it from the Colchians; for these are the only people that are circumcised among mankind, and appear to have done the very same thing with the Egyptians; but as for the Egyptians and Ethiopians themselves, I am not able to say which of them received it from the other.' 171 This, therefore, is what Herodotus says, that 'the Syrians that are in Palestine are circumcised.' But there are no inhabitants of Palestine that are circumcised excepting the Judaeans; and, therefore, it must be his knowledge of them that enabled him to speak so much concerning them.

[Aside from Pythagoras, early Greek society certainly did have many things in common with the Hebrew, which can be demonstrated in the Epic Poets which preceded Pythagoras, and in the Tragic Poets who were closely contemporary to him. That was discussed here in a June, 2010 program entitled [Greek Culture is Hebrew](#), which is available at Christogenea.]

In the paper at Christogenea entitled [Classical & Biblical Records Identifying the Phoenicians](#) we find this, speaking of this very same passage from Josephus: "Surely Tyre was an Israelite city, and the historian Josephus acknowledges as much again in his *Against Apion* (1:22), where he quotes a Greek writer Theophrastus and his writings concerning laws: 'the laws of the Tyrians forbid men to swear foreign oaths', and Josephus tells us that he was speaking of Israelites, and then goes on to cite Herodotus (from *Histories* 2:104), who stated that the Phoenicians and the 'Syrians of Palestine' (which is what Herodotus called the Judaeans - cf. 2:159, 3:5 and 7:89) were circumcised, and Josephus points out that 'there are no inhabitants of Palestine that are circumcised excepting the Judaeans [meaning Israelites]; and therefore it must be his knowledge of them that enabled him to speak so much concerning them.'"

While there are many other evidences in Scripture and in archaeology that the ancient Tyrians were indeed Israelites, the final proof that this is so rests in the words of this prophet at Amos 1:9: "Thus saith the LORD; For three transgressions of Tyrus, and for four, I will not turn away the punishment thereof; because they delivered up the whole captivity to Edom, and remembered not the brotherly covenant." Canaanites were accursed, and never could be a part of such a covenant, recognized by Yahweh Himself.

Tyre was not destroyed by the Assyrians, but it was under tribute to them. However the mainland city, called Ushu by both the Assyrians and Babylonians, was besieged and destroyed by the Babylonians in the time of Nebuchadnezzar II. In an inscription of the Assyrian king Ashurnasirpal II, who presumably reigned from 883-859 BC, it is evident that Tyre, along with the Phoenician cities of Sidon, Byblos, Arvad, and other cities of the coast, were under tribute to Assyria in his time (*Ancient Near Eastern Texts Relating to the Old Testament*, Princeton University Press, J. Pritchard, editor, 1969 [hereinafter *ANET*], p. 276). Tyre and Sidon, along with Israel, are again listed as being under tribute to Shalmaneser III, who ruled from 858 to 824 BC (*ANET*, p. 280). Adad-nirari III, who ruled from 810 to 783 BC, also had Tyre and Sidon, and Israel, as tributaries (*ANET*, p. 281). Tiglath-pileser III, who ruled from 744 to 727 BC, lists Tyre as a tributary, along with Menahem of Samaria, the king of Israel (*ANET*, p. 283). Tyre is not found mentioned in the surviving inscriptions of Sargon II, who ruled from 721 to 705 BC. However Sennacherib, who ruled from 704 to 681 BC, mentions a rebellion by the king of Sidon which had been joined by the other cities. He restored Ushu, the mainland part of Tyre, to Assyrian rule (*ANET*, p. 287). Esarhaddon, who ruled Assyria from 668 to 660 BC, mentions having conquered the island city of Tyre once again and subjecting it to Assyria. Under his rule Tyre revolted and was subjected several times, as his inscriptions relate (*ANET*, pp. 291, 292). Ashurbanipal, who reigned from 668 to 633 BC, also subjected Tyre to Assyria, after the island city had once again rebelled against his rule (*ANET*, p. 295). Later, Ushu revolted yet again and was conquered by this king, who deported a large number of its inhabitants to Assyria (*ANET*, p. 300). The inhabitants of Accho, another seaport town of Asher south of Tyre, were also either slain or deported in large numbers by Ashurbanipal at this time (*ANET*, p. 300).

While Nebuchadnezzar's destruction of Ushu, the mainland portion of Tyre, is not evident in surviving Babylonian inscriptions, it is evident in Ezekiel chapter 29, although in the Bible Ushu is not distinguished from the island portion of the city. Ezekiel 29:18-21 reads thus: "18 Son of man, Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon caused his army to serve a great service against Tyrus: every head was made bald, and every shoulder was peeled: yet had he no wages, nor his army, for Tyrus, for the service that

he had served against it: 19 Therefore thus saith the Lord GOD; Behold, I will give the land of Egypt unto Nebuchadrezzar king of Babylon; and he shall take her multitude, and take her spoil, and take her prey; and it shall be the wages for his army. 20 I have given him the land of Egypt for his labour wherewith he served against it, because they wrought for me, saith the Lord GOD. 21 In that day will I cause the horn of the house of Israel to bud forth, and I will give thee the opening of the mouth in the midst of them; and they shall know that I am the LORD.”



The mainland city was totally destroyed by Nebuchadnezzar II, which is the service that he had performed for Yahweh whereby he was rewarded with Egypt for his payment. After a thirteen-year siege the island agreed to pay tribute, and in that manner it survived for nearly another 250 years. In 332 BC, Alexander the Great used the rubble from the destroyed city of Ushu for a rampart out to the island, taking it and destroying it totally after a siege of about seven months.

**12 Thus saith the LORD; As the shepherd taketh out of the mouth of the lion two legs, or a piece of an ear; so shall the children of Israel be taken out that dwell in Samaria in the corner of a bed, and in Damascus in a couch.**

These verses are clearly an oracle against Israel, yet in the Septuagint version of Amos 3:11 there is a mention of ancient Tyre, and here in verse 12, along with Samaria Amos also mentions Damascus. While Damascus was still populated to some degree with the people of Aram, it was a city subjected to Israel in the days of David, in which many Israelites had dwelt (2 Samuel 8:6), and which Jeroboam II had retaken for

Israel, something Amos must have witnessed. Tyre was an Israelite city, and here Amos attests that many Israelites also dwelt in Damascus.

**13 Hear ye, and testify in the house of Jacob, saith the Lord GOD, the God of hosts, 14 That in the day that I shall visit the transgressions of Israel upon him I will also visit the altars of Bethel: and the horns of the altar shall be cut off, and fall to the ground. 15 And I will smite the winter house with the summer house; and the houses of ivory shall perish, and the great houses shall have an end, saith the LORD.**

The words *winter* (2779) and *summer* (7019) in Hebrew are words which describe different harvest-times. The phrases “winter house” and “summer house” appear only here in the Bible. However where we read *winter* in the King James and in Brenton's English, the Greek of the Septuagint has a word, περίπτερος, which means flying round about, or according to Liddell and Scott in the 9th edition of their *Greek-English Lexicon*, was also used as an architectural term describing a certain type of temple. Both references may be appropriate here. Bethel was in the southern part of the territory of the sons of Joseph, near the border of Benjamin. Dan was to the north, far above Galilee. Therefore the terms *winter house* and *summer house* may be allegorical references to the northward and southward towns of Dan and Bethel, which are the locations of the golden calves of Jeroboam.

Christogenea



New Testament

Christogenea Books:

Visit our page at [Lulu.com](http://Lulu.com).





## The Dire Consequences of Race-Mixing

Sven Longshanks

**Y**ou would think that educating people about the negative consequences of race-mixing would be gratefully received and seen as similar to giving warnings about the dangers of smoking or fast food. And yet, when the subject does get brought up and you do try to warn people, they don't thank you for it, but accuse you of 'hating' bi-racial people instead. If people knew the facts about race and the differences between them, they would realize that it is actually the parents of bi-racial people that are hating them, by forcing this genetic status on them in the first place.

Herein, I hope to give people a better understanding of the real life consequences of race-mixing. There is very little around on the internet that is specifically devoted to the subject and most people I find have never even really thought about it before. The fact is, one quick fumble between the sheets can have a lasting negative effect on all successive generations.

### Genetic Similarity Theory

There are two genetically directed instincts within us regarding choosing a mate.

The most powerful one is explained by 'Genetic Similarity Theory.' This is the drive that makes you

seek out a partner with a large amount of the same hereditary genes as you. This is to ensure that the features your combined genes contribute to in your children, physical and mental, are the same as the ones your ancestors had. This is the reason why there are people that look like you from far back in your family past, like grandparents or great grandparents. This is not just because you are related to them, it is because their parents choice of partner was similar to your own parents choice of partner. Your hereditary genes will have stayed the way that they were in your ancestors, being continually passed down from generation to generation, molding descendants in the likeness of their predecessors. This is why you get musical families, where each generation has musicians in it. The parent instinctively finds a partner with the same genes that their parents had and the talent for music can be passed on. It is also why people say that husbands pick wives who are similar to their mothers. If there were no drive to find partners with similar hereditary genes then there would be no distinct races. If you are mixed race, then there will be no-one in your family past that you resemble. They will all have been prevented from having an unobstructed influence on your form and character.

The second, lesser instinct is for variety, and this is

there to ensure that you don't mate with an extended family member, encouraging you to look for someone who is unlike you. This will add novel DNA to your offspring's genome, leading to less chance of genetic disease. It is the source of the phrase 'opposites attract,' and works well when you are living among your own race, creating a healthy, disease-resistant population. Unfortunately, if other races are living among you, it can turn into a genetically destructive desire to mate with them and will result in a weakening of disease resistance. Different races are susceptible to different diseases and this susceptibility will then be passed on to the mixed race child, giving them both races' weaknesses instead of just the one.

### Mendelian Inheritance

In a mixed race relationship between two pure types, the children will not have a smooth blend of characteristics from each parent, but the characteristics will each separate out into their individual racial components forming a patchwork of features in the offspring. This is true for all hybrids, even ones produced from breeds of the same species, and is why plant breeders do not generally create seed from [F1 hybrids](#); the finished plants will show individual features from each parental type, rather than a harmonious blend, with the majority of the plants showing more of the dominant type's characteristics. If you crossed a recessive small leaf plant with a dominant big leaf plant, you would not get a medium leaf plant, but a mainly big leaf one, with a few small leaves here and there. If you bred the hybrid back in with the pure types, you would no longer be able to predict quite how the plants would turn out, as each formerly pure type would have been adulterated and every so often, it would produce a plant with wildly differing characteristics to the parent's type. The same happens with animals; you cannot get back to a pedigree once you have been left with a mongrel.

Hybridization shatters the form entirely into separate units of characteristics, and these units get jumbled up again each time they get passed on. People see attractive half-castes and think that the children will inherit the same characteristics, but it is impossible for them to be passed on like that, as they separate out again into the individual races' features ensuring there is no unity of form to be passed on. Since Black genes are dominant and White genes are recessive, Mulattoes will always look more Black than White.

This is why the mixed race 'Seal' (Black/Mestizo) and the White Heidi Klum's two children look nothing whatsoever like either parent: they do not have a harmonious brown blend of each parents features, but have predominantly Black features instead.




### Species, Subspecies and Breeds

According to the evolutionary definition of race, races started out as the same species, but have diverged far enough apart from one another over time, to now almost be classified as separate species. In all defining categories man is composed of subspecies at least and by many standards, the variations are enough for the races to be classed as different species entirely. For example, if you saw two animals, one with feathers and one with fur, you would call them different species. The difference between Caucasian hair and Negro 'hair' is nearly as big a difference as between fur and feathers. The reality is that Negro 'hair' can be felt as it lacks the natural oil glands of real hair. It actually has far more in common with wool than it does hair and was defined as such up until the last century.

The differences between the races of man show more variance between them than even different breeds of dog do, which is why races are not called breeds. Human breeds are the separate strains within the race, Caucasian for example has Nordic, Alpine, Slavic, Mediterranean and others. Breeding between the

human races is like [breeding bulls with mares](#) or goats with sheep; both of these produce viable offspring, so

being able to produce offspring together is no guarantee of being the same species.




Why would anyone desire *less* intelligent children?

### Differences Between the White and Black Races

People like to say that Caucasian man has only 0.5% difference in his DNA from a Negro, implying that there is only a very small difference between them; yet, there is only 1.5% difference in DNA between a Caucasian and a chimpanzee. A very small amount of change in the DNA makes for huge differences between species, due to the many different ways that the genes themselves can be combined. Unlike the Cultural Marxist lie that race is only skin deep, [just about every part of the body](#) [Race, Evolution, and Behavior by J. Philippe Rushton] is uniquely different among the races. They have different genital size, position and placing, different shape and sized feet, legs and arms, different amounts of teeth, eggs and muscle, different skull shape and thickness, in fact the bones themselves are so entirely different from each other that forensic scientists can tell what race someone is just from a tiny scrap of bone from anywhere in the body, by sight alone.

Blood transfusions are not possible between the races

(yet they are possible between cats and dogs) and neither are bone marrow transplants. A mixed race person stands little chance of finding a donated organ that will not reject their mismatched body, since the organs are irreconcilably different as well. Obviously, the skin is different (the largest organ of the body), but so are the lungs, testicles, brain and kidneys.



Caucasoid brains are larger than Negroids and the frontal lobes have deep fissures associated with complex problem solving, whilst Negroid brains are smaller and have shallow fissures. Consequently the average Negro IQ is 15 points lower than the average

Caucasian IQ. This result is found regardless of geography and social status. Negroes adopted into affluent White families and Negroes in Africa and in America all produce the same averaged IQ results. Obviously not all people have their average racial IQ and there are always exceptional individuals at either end of the scale, yet exceptions serve to prove the rule, rather than to negate it.

### **Different Rates of Hormones**

From [The Biology of The Race Problem](#) using breeds of dog as an example:

‘It may be assumed that in two behavioural types the genetics of each system is different, and the interaction between the genetic factors and the glandular processes also differs. Within the pure behavioural types there is a harmonious relationship between behavioural systems and the other bodily organs. This holds both for the inactive and active types. Among the hybrids however, in which there is mixed physical form, there is also a disharmonious relationship between the bodily organs and the reaction systems. The factors which influence behaviour become mixed and varied, just as those which determine physical form. In the mixed types, the harmonious relationship found within each pure behavioural type is BROKEN UP, and the result is DISHARMONY among the systems.’

One of the most important differences between the races is the rate of hormones that each produce. Hormones control our emotions, our pain control, our sensitivity – all the different feelings that affect our behavior are correlative with various secretions from different glands. Mixed race individuals can have a different rate of secretions to that needed for the particular organs that they have ended up with, which can cause highly unstable temperaments. This is caused by the same conflicting racial characteristics that result in things like having a Negro skull but Caucasian skin, or a Caucasian skeleton with Negro skin. Rather than having each organ being partially Caucasian and partially Negro in a harmonious blend of the two, some of the organs will be Negro but some will be Caucasian. So you might get White testicles in

a predominantly Black body for instance, or a Black brain in a White body. In a mixed race person who appears to be mainly Negro, there is no way to predict whether all his organs are too. Negroes have higher testosterone rates than Whites and are more aggressive and less emotionally-balanced because of this, but at least their organs are intended for that amount of testosterone. Hormone irregularities are also linked with depression and not surprisingly there is a very high rate of depression, as well as all other health problems, among mixed race individuals when compared to non-mixed individuals. There is also a much higher suicide rate among mixed race children – they are five times more likely to commit suicide than someone of a singular race.

### **Women, Children and Marriage**

Children like to look like their parents. Little girls especially like to look like their mother. It must be very upsetting for mixed race children to see someone who looks nothing like them, that they will not be growing up to look like. It must also be very upsetting to know that this was knowingly done to you, by your own parents. Beauty products to make Black people look White are a massive industry – from wigs to skin whitening creams, Blacks desperately want to make themselves look White. A mixed race child has to live with the knowledge that they could have been born happy with their skin color and content with their hair type, if only their parents hadn’t deliberately deprived them of this. Some people like to think that they ‘own’ their bodies, but you can only really own something that you have made yourself or that you have purchased.

The human body is formed according to it’s genes and you are just its trustee. The beneficiary is the race, and the genes are relying on you to replicate them in a way that they can continue to grow in the form nature chose for them. You are just the current captain of your gene-chariot, not the owner of it, and the consequent drivers are all counting on you to be a careful pilot. Women who commit miscegenation are giving away something that is not theirs to give, since they owe their very existence to their ancestors, who laid down their lives to protect them and provided everything that they now benefit from.

As well as the permanent alteration to your posterity’s genes, mixed race relationships are also more likely to split up and even more alarmingly, [White women are](#)

12 times more likely to be killed by a Black husband than a White one, and White men are 21 times more likely to be murdered by a Black wife than a White.



*“Femicide, the homicide of women, is the leading cause of death in the United States among young African American women aged 15 to 45 years. Yet Black males are 33% more likely to kill their spouse if she is white instead of black.*

**Conclusion** Race is more fundamental than gender, and yet gender confusion is acknowledged as a disorder that modern medicine thinks is so drastic that it requires physical mutilation to attempt to put right. How much more of a disorder is being mixed race? Does anyone seriously think a child would choose to have no ancestors, no people, no community? These unfortunates do the best they can with a bad lot, trying not to end up hating life and despising those who do have a family tree behind them. And there is nothing whatsoever that they can do about it.

Even three month old babies know more about race than most adult Europeans; they show a preference for the faces of their own race, even when they are of people they have never seen before. They instinctively know what race they are. Which race’s face is it that 3 month old mixed race babies find comforting? The

natural state of babies and children is racist, and this lasts until they are old enough to understand and succumb to the liberal programming that all Whites are now subjected to.

Prior to the advent of Cultural Marxism, race-mixing was always seen as the ultimate sin against nature in our societies. We can see that this is, objectively, due to an in-born, biological drive to preserve the genetics of our ancestors, and prevent a decline in future generations. Historically, there were rapes and unlawful marriages, as part of slavery, war and necessity, but genetic similarity theory shows that desiring people of a different ethnicity as a partner to produce children with is an unnatural aberration, and those who have engaged in this behavior in modern times prove that it simply does not work.

Currently, there is a massive brainwashing operation underway to encourage the races to mix with each other and blend each other out. I object to this because I cannot think of anything more selfish and destructive than breaking an unbroken line of an immortal type of man, for the sake of what is essentially a fetish – a fetish that will still be affecting all your offspring long after you are gone.

Since the basic purpose of all life, and in fact the gift given to all natural life, is to be able to recreate itself in the perfect form that God and nature chose for it, to willfully destroy that template and think you know better than nature is an active defiance of the basic order of the universe. To forcefully take away from your children the right that God and nature gave you, the right to pass on that divinely formed genetic torch, is a crime against life itself.

The highest duty of any living [God created] living creature is to pass on its existence to the next generation. There is nothing worse that a man can do in this life than to extinguish that flame of our ancestors.

Also see Sven's websites <https://aryanisrael.wordpress.com/>  
<http://fascovereign.blogspot.co.uk>

*Diversity is a code word  
for Genocide and White Replacement*



## **The War Against Whites: The Hidden Agenda Behind Cultural Diversity**

I was forced to take the Cultural Diversity class at Washington State Penitentiary (WSP). I was already familiar with ‘political correctness’ in education, but this went way beyond that. The racial make-up of the class gave me the first clue to what was going on: about half of us were racially conscious whites, awakened by our prison system’s bizarre hostility toward any degree of white solidarity. The other half of the class seemed to be merely token minorities, who were there to teach us “tolerance.” It soon became clear to us that as whites we were targeted for deracination (fn.1).

The Cultural Diversity class teacher, Mrs. Archer, was a black Marxist from the Virgin Islands. Her Afro-French accent lent a surreal feeling to the class – it seemed like a Caribbean communist guerrilla training camp. The class curriculum reflected the Marxist-viewpoint in civil rights and education.

When the Marxist civil rights movement of the 1960’s (fn.2) failed to give nonwhites equal results, due to their unequal ability, “affirmative action” was required to enforce equal outcomes. Affirmative action has taken jobs, university admissions, and financial opportunities away from whites, and given these to nonwhites, without regard for their ability. This has had the effect of lowering America’s gross national product, lowering academic standards so nonwhites can compete, and driving up the cost of providing financial services. These all combine to lower a standard of living that has always been much higher in

less “diverse” white societies.

The passage of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) in 1965 was a Marxist coup. A necessary corollary to the Marxist civil rights movement, this changed American education from academics into behavior modification. Behavior change was directed toward whites to prevent them from speaking and acting against the invasion and overthrow of their society.

The behavior modification aspect of WSP’s Cultural Diversity class was demonstrated by catchy little phrases scrawled on the classroom chalkboard. At the beginning of the first class, Mrs. Archer asked us to read aloud, and all together, “All things good to know are difficult to learn.” In the second class, “What you see in the world is what you see in yourself.” Pondering the substance of these statements in the context of Marxist cultural diversity, I openly accused Mrs. Archer of using what’s called “cognitive dissonance” to brainwash us. The look on her face told me she knew what she was doing. She brought her husband to the third class. Mr. Archer, a black “statistician” with a degree in sociology, glowered over us. He opened the third class by blowing a whistle to startle and intimidate us. He forcefully demanded we read a third incongruous statement from the chalkboard.



Just as forcefully, I interrupted Mr. Archer, and developed my point, defining cognitive dissonance, so that everyone understood. Cognitive dissonance is the confusion resulting from holding incongruous (conflicting) thoughts. For instance, it is good to know, and easy to learn, that jumping off a bridge can kill you. By accepting the obvious untruth that 'all things good to know are difficult to learn,' your mind enters a state of mild confusion in which you will accept even more outrageous lies. For example, after successive stages of the application of cognitive dissonance, you may even believe that six million Jews died in a Nazi-perpetrated holocaust.

Cognitive dissonance is an opinion molding (brainwashing) tool. The Department of Corrections (DOC) has no ethical business using it to blunt white prisoners' healthy and accurate racial instincts. After my class lesson on the subject, there were no more group readings from the chalkboard. As the class continued a pattern emerged where whites were blamed for all of society's injustice, while the nonwhite prisoners were agitated and radicalized against white, Western Civilization which was said to have somehow stolen their otherwise glorious destiny. Whites who stopped attending were given major misconduct charges and in three cases were placed in solitary confinement for it. Nonwhites who dropped out were never disciplined. Clearly, this was race war. Whites are losing this war because the propaganda they are bombarded with stigmatizes their embracing the reality that there is actually a war being waged for their extinction (fn.3). WSP's Cultural Diversity class was a front in this same war. America's prisons are front lines in the war against whites.

Several years in prison, unable to avoid human weirdness, stupidity and moral degeneracy, cures whites of any delusions that cultural diversity is a strength. You come to realize that no well-meaning person would push for cultural diversity within one

society. Prisons are microcosms of our larger society, amplified by the close proximity prisoners are housed in, and further intensified by the vastly different behavior which the different races exhibit. Because of this, prisons are valuable resources for the study of social problems related to cultural diversity. A thoughtful prisoner pays close attention and thereby gains a wealth of wisdom. The wisdom of race realism gained in the modern prison experience – first hand – runs counter to what the public, legislators, prison administrators, and even guards, believe.

The further removed a person is from what a prisoner actually experiences, the less realistic they are about cultural diversity in a corrections setting. The most innocent officials are merely ignorant of how incompatible the races are, in an integrated living situation. They haven't lived with "Magoomba the serial pederast." They don't seem to realize that diversity means DIFFERENCE: differences in hygiene, neatness, comfort zones of personal space, acceptable limits in interpersonal relations, values. Differences in prison breed conflict. Some prison managers know this, and deliberately promote this conflict. They recognize the value of promoting "low intensity conflict" (fn.4) among the prison population, to keep us quarreling among ourselves, and unable to put up a united resistance to tyranny and privation as a result. Most cell block staff blindly follow the wishes of their bosses, despite knowing that integrating prisoners causes mostly white victimization. Others still, Marxists and Jews, promote the forced integration and deracination of white prisoners, while supporting the racial pride and separatism of all nonwhite prisoners in race-based "cultural" programs.

Everywhere it has ever existed within a single society, cultural diversity has resulted in violence and victimization. So common sense requires racially segregating prisoners when they request it. Voluntary segregation of the races in prison would give us controlled environments for studying behavioral differences among the different races. Left to their own devices, people everywhere separate along racial lines and revert to racially characteristic behavior. Prison is perhaps the best place to observe this reality of cultural diversity.

This is the same reality that causes "urban decay" in our inner cities. Given equal resources, when a black-run prison block becomes a ghetto, and

an Hispanic a barrio, even the most naïve whites will stop feeling guilty about silly claims of “keeping anyone down.” Maybe then we can all stop feeling offended by race realism. And if we snap out of the delusion that cultural diversity is some sort of benefit, despite our inability to show one good thing that it produces, we will begin to notice that Jews, remarkably, are behind the integration of people against their will (fn.5). Outrageous as it may sound to the skeptical now, behind every diversity program is a Jew, somewhere!

Karl Marx was a Jewish economic philosopher. His communist doctrine was equated with Judaism by Rabbi Stephen Wise (fn.6). At the root of Marxism lies a hypocritical materialism that views nonjews as expendable, interchangeable parts in a vast machine for transferring the world’s wealth to the cooperative body of Zionist Jewry. In communist governments a Jewish ruling class forces a “goyim” middle class into giving the fruits of their labor to the state, with unnatural notions of multicultural “brotherhood.” This cynical parasitism is THE characteristically Jewish behavior. Cultural diversity is the low intensity conflict Jews promote to distract nonjews from Jewish parasitic behavior.

Programs like cultural diversity classes are what is called Marxist “agitprop”: WSP’s Cultural Diversity class ‘agitated and propagandized’ its students, through the techniques of cognitive dissonance and dialectical materialism, with Jewish, anti-white values.


Dialectical materialism is the Marxist form of philosophical debate. The philosopher, Hegel, applied the term to the process of reasoning through what he called a thesis and antithesis, to guide the debate to a desired ‘synthesis.’ As applied to the manipulation of public opinion, it goes something like this: (1) create a crisis, (2) enact highly repressive restrictions on civil liberty to remedy the created crisis or prevent its recurrence, and (3) end up with a pre-planned status quo of enslavement of the people. You can observe dialectical patterns in the reporting of current events by the Zionist media. Jewish interests are always promoted, while even the most well-founded criticism is stigmatized as “hate.” But if you look closely, you will notice that the only hate is directed against the interests of whites, especially when the political and economic energy of nonwhites can be harnessed

against white genetic interests.

Jews view the body of racially sound whites (a.k.a. ‘the body of Christ’) as their mortal enemy – and their only obstacle to world Zionist domination. Powerless over us themselves, we have to willingly, or negligently, submit to the vices and corruption they promote. Whites who resist their corruption with nationalist self-discipline are confronted with the Jew’s mercenaries: agitated and radicalized nonwhites. One of the key goals of world Jewry is to pervert the culture and value system of white societies, and to pit nonwhites against them whenever possible. Economic class warfare is what the Jewish Bolsheviks were seeming to practice at first glance, stirring up Russia’s proletariat against its Aryan bourgeoisie. Once in power, according to Nobel Prize-winning author Alexander Solzhenitsyn, the Soviet Jew communist leadership murdered thirty million whites as “class enemies”.

Modern Marxists are more brazen and more honest. Like the leftist professors Ashley Montagu and Noel Ignatiev (both Jews), they openly advocate war against whites. The choice of WSP’s Cultural Diversity class textbook, *A Different Mirror*, by Takaki, followed their race war’s party line in its “non-Anglo perspective” (quote from the book’s cover). Why else would you openly exclude the perspectives of half a student body from a class curriculum? Think about it.

When cornered with overwhelming proof, Jews justify their promotion of anti-white racism by pointing out that their race has suffered in the past, at the hands of whites, so they need to curb white nationalism. Their claims to suffering are false. Impartial sources like the International Red Cross, and even Jewish census figures, prove no “holocaust” of Jews was ever carried out by the Nazis (fn.7). So, why promote racism for nonwhites while savagely suppressing every hint of racial distinction among whites? It ONLY makes sense within a context of war AGAINST whites. Now you know why there is a double standard.





“Racist!” is merely an accusation meant to excite emotion and silence criticism. It is used to shame whites away from promoting their group interests. To a Jew, you are racist unless you are advancing Jewish interests in their war against whites. This is the same double-standard mentality behind their diversity code word, “inclusive” (fn.8): placing nonwhites in positions of influence over the destinies of whites; giving them a veto of moral superiority over the slightest expression of white ethnic self-determination.

During the last session in WSP’s Cultural Diversity class, a representative from a “local Jewish community center” (read: Rabbi) came in to talk to us. On little slips of paper, our rabbi wrote numbers and placed them in a hat, asking us to draw one. I drew the number 6. He told us we would be changing our seating arrangement to the numerical order we’d drawn from the hat, to force our association with others we would naturally avoid. I wrote MILLION MORE, beneath the 6, in reference to the fictitious figure of ‘Six million Jews’ dying in a Nazi holohoax during World War Two. I showed the slip to nearby classmates, who understood its significance immediately. Word of mouth spread across the

classroom. The shocking yet irrepressible humor of the situation freed the students at once from their jew-daze-ation (their blinding by a lifetime of propaganda).

As the last student was drawing his number from the hat, the rabbi was getting ready to rearrange our seating. Everyone’s attention was fixed on my slip of paper, which I held up, while raising my hand to get the Jew’s attention. This stalled the move, temporarily. When he called on me, in response to my raised hand, I handed the slip of paper back to him. Stifled laughter among the students erupted into a pandemonium while he read the “6 MILLION MORE”. We never did change our seats.

Before the rabbi could regain his composure, I asked if we could talk about the Khazar origins of Jewry (they’re not the Israelites of the Bible). He claimed not to know what I was talking about, but the look of sheer terror on his face told me he was within a hair’s breadth of running out of there, screaming. Cultural Diversity class had been overthrown.

(The Cultural Diversity class was cancelled after I wrote the original version of this story, and distributed it to Washington’s Legislature, and DOC Headquarters.)

#### Footnotes:

1. Deracination is the uprooting or displacement from a person’s own natural environment or perspective; especially the lessening of healthy racial instincts.

2. The “civil rights” movement’s aims were revealed in Volume 103, page 8559, of the *Congressional Record*: “We must realize that our party’s most powerful weapon is racial tension. By propounding into the consciousness of the dark races that for centuries they have been oppressed by the whites, we can mould (sic) them to the program of the Communist Party.”

3. “The goal for which we have striven so concertedly for three thousand years is at last within our reach.... I can state with assurance that the last generation of white children is now being born.... Thus the white race will disappear.... Our superior intelligence will easily enable us to retain mastery over a world of dark peoples.” Rabbi Emanuel Rabinovich, speech to Council of European Rabbis, Budapest, Hungary, January 12, 1952.

4. Low intensity conflict is low to moderate levels of social unrest deliberately orchestrated, or permitted to exist, as a general distraction from larger, more important issues.

5. “Our Control Commissions will, in the interests of peace and wiping out racial tensions, forbid the whites to mate with whites. The white women must cohabit with members of the dark races, and the white men with black women.” (From Rabinovich’s speech, cited above. Also see: ‘From ADL Report: *“Breaking the Cycle of Hate: The Final Stage”*’ at [www.vanguardnewsnetowrk.com](http://www.vanguardnewsnetowrk.com)) FIND: "Volume 103, page 8559, of the Congressional Record"

6. The American Bulletin, May 15, 1935. See also, *National Geographic Magazine*, May 1907, p. 314.

7. Butz, Arthur. 1976. *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*. Institute for Historical Review. Newport Beach, CA.

8. “Inclusive” always applies to the forced entry of nonwhites into white social institutions, never the other way around. To insist that whites be “included” on diversity committees, or that white celebrations be “included” among diversity functions, is somehow “racist,”

# A Hundred Years of War

## from Kindred in South Africa

There are people alive today who will look back to the genesis of The century of War, which people mistakenly date from 1914, and when in fact, the Boer War II had already been raging at the turn of the 20th Century.

Multiplied millions of dead and damaged humans, lost in political adventures in a hundred years of warfare, is the price we paid for the racial, and social wreckage to which our nations have been reduced. They spoke of valour, duty, heroism and gave out medals while our fathers and sons slaughtered our kindred fathers and sons while they grew fat in the talking places.

We looked for the benefits from all this human sacrifice and discovered instead the rising power of a "Third Force" had taken control of our culture and economic integrity. Folk of my generation marvel at the site of a foreign-born non-White president governing the most powerful nation on earth; the once White ruled South Africa now in the hands of shiftless Blacks. We watch the decline of Great Britain, the moral depravity and reckless racial integration with our beloved folk; we note how the religion of Christ is now in the hands of Blacks and other weirdoes, and how God's Laws are trampled underfoot.

For many decades Zionists had practiced their deceits upon the credibility of our unwitting, simple-hearted, trusting folk, regarding German treatment of the Jews in the concentration camps. They told us that Six Million died. There were horror stories of gas chambers, starvation, sub-human cruelty.

But, an unsuspected source of real evidence came to light via the records of the International Red Cross whose documentary evidence is beyond question. The ultimate facts are there in black and white. These worthy and wonderful people told things exactly as they found them. Their records disprove the fiction of the Holocaust fraud.

The following article is Chapter 9 of *Did Six Million Really Die* by Charles Biedermann. Mr. Biedermann



# ICRC

who was a delegate of the International Committee of the Red Cross and Director the Red Cross International Tracing Service, also testified under oath at the Zundel Trial (Feb. 9,10,11 and 12<sup>th</sup> 1988)]

"The Red Cross Report is of value in that it first clarifies the "legitimate" circumstances under which Jews were detained in concentration camps, i.e. as "enemy aliens", In describing the two categories of civilian internees, the Report distinguishes the second type as "Civilians deported on administrative grounds (in German, "Schutzhaftlinge"), who were arrested for political or racial motives because their presence was considered a danger to the State or the occupation forces" (Vol. 111, p.73)'. These persons, it continues, "were placed on the same footing as persons arrested or imprisoned under common law for security reasons." (P.74).

The Report admits that the Germans were' at first reluctant to permit supervision by the Red Cross of people detained on grounds relating to security, but by the latter part of 1942, the ICRC obtained important concessions from Germany. They were permitted to distribute food parcels to major concentration camps in Germany from August 1942, and "from February 1943' onwards this concession was extended to all other camps and prisons" (Vol. 111,p.78) The ICRC soon established' contact with camp commandants and launched a food relief program which continued to function until the last months of 1945, letters of thanks for' which, came pouring in from Jewish internees.

### **Red Cross Recipients Were Jews'**

The report states that" As many as 9,000 parcels were

packed daily. From the autumn of 1943 until May 1945, about 1,112,000 parcels with a total weight of 4,500 tons were sent off to the concentration camps" (Vol.111,p.80). In addition to food, these contained clothing and pharmaceutical supplies. "Parcels were sent to Dachau, Buchenwald, Sangerhausen, Sachsenhausen, Oranienburg, Flossenburg, Landsberg-am-Lech, Floha, Ravensbruck, Hamburg-Neuengamme, Mauthausen, Theresienstadt, Auschwitz, Bergen- Belsen, to camps near Vienna and in Central and Southern Germany. The principal recipients were Belgians, Dutch, French, Greeks, Italians, Norwegians, Poles and Stateless Jews," (Vol 3,p.83)



In the course of the war, "The Committee was in a position to transfer and distribute in the form of relief supplies for over twenty million Swiss francs, collected by Jewish welfare organizations throughout the world, in particular by the American Joint Distribution Committee of New York" (Vol.I.p.644). This latter organization was permitted by the German Government to maintain offices in Berlin until the American entry into the war. The ICRC complained that obstruction of their vast relief operation for Jewish internees came not from the Germans but from the tight Allied blockade of Europe. Most of their purchases of relief food were made in Rumania, Hungary and Slovakia.

The ICRC had special praise for the liberal conditions which prevailed at Theresienstadt up to the time of their last visits there in April 1945. This camp "where there were about 40,000 Jews deported from various countries was a relatively privileged ghetto" (Vol.III,p.75). According to the Report, "The Committee's delegates were able to visit the camp at

Theresienstadt (Terezin) which was used exclusively for Jews and was governed by special conditions. From information gathered by the Committee, this camp had been started as an experiment by certain leaders of the Reich ... These men wished to give the Jews the means of setting up a communal life in a town under their own administration and possessing almost complete autonomy ... two delegates were able to visit the camp. on April 6 1945. They confirmed the favourable impression gained on the first visit" Vol.I,p.642). "

The ICRC also had praise for the regime of Ion Antonescu of Fascist Rumania where the Red Cross Committee was able to extend special relief to 183,000 Rumanian Jews until the time of the Soviet occupation. The aid then ceased, and the ICRC complained bitterly that it never succeeded "in sending anything whatsoever to Russia." (Vol.II,p.62). The same situation applied to many of the German camps after their "liberation" by the Russians. The ICRC received a voluminous flow of mail from Auschwitz until the period of the Soviet occupation, when many of the internees were evacuated westward. But the efforts of the Red Cross to send relief to internees remaining at Auschwitz under Soviet control were futile. However, food parcels continued to be sent to former Auschwitz inmates transferred west to such camps as Buchenwald and Oranienburg.

### **No Evidence of Genocide**

One of the most important aspects of the Red Cross Report is that it clarifies the true cause of those deaths that undoubtedly occurred in the camps towards the end of the war. Says the report: "In the chaotic condition of Germany after the final months of the war, the camps received no food supplies at all and starvation claimed an increasing number of victims. Itself alarmed by this situation, the German Government at last informed the ICRC on February 1st, 1945. In March 1945, discussions between the President of the [CRC and General of the S.S. Kaltenbrunner gave even more decisive results. Relief could henceforth be distributed by the ICRC, and one delegate was authorized to stay in each camp ... " (Vol. 111, p.83)

Clearly the German authorities were at pains to relieve the dire situation as far as they were able. The Red Cross are quite explicit in stating that food supplies ceased at this time due to the Allied bombing of German transportation, and in the interned Jews they had protested on March 15, 1944

against the barbarous aerial warfare of the Allies" (Inter Arma Caritas, p. 78). By October 2, 1944, the ICRC warned the German Foreign Office of the impending collapse of the German transportation system, declaring that starvation conditions for people throughout Germany were becoming inevitable.

In dealing with this comprehensive, three volume Report, it is important to stress that the delegates of the International Red Cross found no evidence whatever at the camps in Axis occupied Europe of a deliberate policy to exterminate the Jews. In all its 1,600 pages the Report does not even mention such a thing as a gas chamber. It admits that Jews, like many other wartime nationalities, suffered rigours and privations, but its complete silence on the subject of planned extermination is ample refutation of the Six Million legend. Like the Vatican representatives with whom they worked, the Red Cross found itself unable to indulge in the irresponsible charges of genocide, which had become the order of the day. So far as the genuine mortality rate is concerned, the Report points out that most of the Jewish doctors from the camps were used to combat typhus on the eastern front, so that they were unavailable when the typhus epidemics of 1945 broke out in the camps (Vol. 1, p.204 ff). Incidentally, it is frequently claimed that mass executions were carried out in gas chambers cunningly disguised as shower facilities. Again the Report makes nonsense of this allegation. "Not only the washing places, but installations for baths, showers and laundry were inspected by the delegates. They had often to take action to have fixtures made less primitive, and to get them repaired or enlarged." (Vol. 111, p. 594).

### **Not All Were Interned**

Volume 111 of the Red Cross Report, Chapter 3 (1, Jewish Civilian Population) deals with the "aid given to the Jewish section of the free population," and this chapter makes it quite plain that by no means all of the European Jews were placed in internment camps, but remained subject to certain restrictions, as part of the free population. This conflicts directly with the "thoroughness" of the supposed "extermination program", and with the claim in the forged Hoss memoirs that Eichmann was obsessed with seizing "every single Jew he could lay his hands on."

In Slovakia, for example, where Eichmann's assistant Dieter Wisliceny was in charge, the Report states that "a large proportion of the Jewish minority had

permission to stay in the country, and at certain periods Slovakia was looked upon as a comparative haven of refuge for Jews, especially for those coming from Poland. Those who remained in Slovakia seem to have been in comparative safety until the end of August 1944, when a rising against the German forces took place. While it is true that the law of May 15th, 1942, had brought about the internment of several thousand Jews, these people were allowed to do paid work on terms almost equal to those of the free labour market" (Vol. 1, p. 646).

Not only did large numbers of the three million or so European Jews avoid internment altogether, but the emigration of Jews continued throughout the war, generally by way of Hungary, Rumania and Turkey. Ironically, post war emigration from German occupied territories was also facilitated by the Reich, as in the case of the Polish Jews who had escaped to France before its occupation. "The Jews from Poland who, whilst in France, had obtained entrance permits to the United States were held to be American citizens by the German occupying authorities, who further agreed to recognize the validity of about three thousand passports issued to Jews by the consulates of South American countries". (Vol.1 p. 645).

As future U.S. Citizens, these Jews were held at the Vittel camp in southern France for American aliens. The emigration of European Jews from Hungary in particular proceeded during the war unhindered by the German authorities. "Until March 1944," says the Red Cross Report, "Jews who had the privilege of visas for Palestine were free to leave Hungary" (Vol. 1, p. 648). Even after the replacement of the Horthy Government in 1944 (following its attempted armistice with the Soviet Union) with a government more dependent on German authority, the emigration of Jews continued.

The Committee secured the pledges of both Britain and the United States "to give support by every means to the emigration of Jews from Hungary," and from the U.S. Government the ICRC received a message stating ... now specifically repeats its assurance that arrangements will be made by it for the care of all Jews who in the present circumstances are allowed to leave". (Vol.1, p. 649).


Once the big lie is factually exposed, the other parts of the puzzle tell the story of "The century of war" from a very different perspective.

# the White network

Whites talking to Whites about White interests

Carolyn Yeager on her Saturday Afternoon Program, recently discussed with Eric Hunt his brand new film, just released to the public via its official Internet web site [gaschamberhoax.com](http://gaschamberhoax.com)

- **The Jewish Gas Chamber Hoax** concentrates on [survivor testimony](#) about the alleged “extermination” [camp at Treblinka](#), revealing that thousands of Jews were transported through there to another camp to work;
- The heads of Yad Vashem and the USHMM both maintain that every one of the claimed one million Jews sent to Treblinka were immediately gassed, burnt and buried – that less than 200 survived;
- The irony is that the means used to keep people alive and healthy – showers and Zyklon B disinfectant – are accused of being murder weapons;
- Germar Rudolf agreed that people need to financially support Eric to provide a real film studio set up for his creative talents.



<http://thewhitenetwork.com/2014/03/08/interview-with-eric-hunt/>



## **Cape Town, South Africa Black ANC Councillors Trash City Hall**

In yet another example of South Africa's pitiful slide into Third World chaos, a recent Cape Town city council meeting held to discuss that metropolis's annual budget dissolved into chaos as African National Congress (ANC) councillors trashed the chamber while dancing, singing, and waving mock AK47s.

The ANC "protest" as they called it, was held in the council chamber of the only city of the country not controlled by South Africa's ruling party.

In expressing their objection to the new budget—which dares to ask "consumers" to start actually paying for services such as electricity and other utilities (instead of making the—largely white—taxpayers of the city continue to pay for everything), the ANC councillors chanted slogans, banged on desks, dumped documents on the floor of the city council chamber, and then began dancing the "toyi-toyi" dance.

At least one ANC councillor, Kuthula Mamba, danced with a mock AK47, a weapon favored by the ANC during its war against the previous white government of the country.

The disruption lasted for hours after the ANC members refused to vote on the 2014/2015 draft budget, which has an operating budget of about R28 billion and a capital budget of just over R6 billion. The budget proposed a 6 percent increase in rates, 7.6 percent for electricity, 8 percent for water and sanitation, and a 5.9 percent hike for solid waste.

Chanting and singing from the ANC benches continued and members later threw the draft budget documents onto the floor in the middle of the chamber, and then proceeded to dance around them in a circle.

The ANC is becoming increasingly radical as it attempts to fight off a serious challenge from the overtly anti-white "Economic Freedom Fighters" (EFF) party set up by former ANC youth leader Julius Malema.

The EFF has taken that part of the ANC's original founding policy—which called for the nationalization of the mining industry and the banking sector—and combined it with a demand for the forced redistribution of land to the black peasantry, using Zimbabwe as the example to follow.

## **Albino Blacks Sought by African Witchdoctors for Ritual Murder “Medicine”**



A recent demonstration last week in the Tanzanian capital of Dar es Salaam has refocused attention on an ongoing problem in that African country: that of the ritual murder of Albino blacks by witchdoctors to use their body parts in “medicine.”

Albinism is a genetic disorder that impairs normal skin pigmentation and afflicts more than 200,000 Tanzanians. Albinos, with their pinkish skin and light blue eyes, stand out among Tanzania’s population.

They are called “muzungu”, Swahili for “white man”, or “zeru zeru”, meaning “ghost”—but most of all, they are sought for their body parts: hair, eyes, internal organs, and skin by the ever-present African witchdoctors for use in primitive “medicine.”

The phenomenon is not limited to Tanzania, of course, All over Africa, in varying intensity, Albinos are often hunted down and killed by locals on the instruction of witchdoctors—sometimes for “revenge” for natural misfortunes, but most often for use in medicine.”

Albinos have been attacked in West Africa, Kenya, Burundi, and South Africa—all have reported a renewed rush on albino murders.

In South Africa, Albino-generated “medicine” is viewed as a cure to all manner of problems, which vary from impotency to becoming “invisible” to the police.

A few months ago, when South African Police shot a number of miners in an internationally publicized event at Marikana, it emerged that the striking blacks were convinced that they were invisible and impervious to the police because they had all been “treated” by a witchdoctor for that purpose the night before.

The taking of body parts is called “muti”, or potion.

People of light complexion are believed to make strong “muti.”

This practice in South Africa was first recorded by the early European settlers during the seventeenth century when small European groups were attacked and their sexual organs cut off by black attackers.

It is believed that if a body part is cut while the victim is still alive, the increased pain makes the “muti” all the more powerful.

The mistaken belief that albino body parts have magical powers has driven thousands of Africa’s albinos into hiding, fearful of losing their lives and limbs to unscrupulous dealers who can make up to \$75,000 selling a complete dismembered corpse.

The surge in the use of albino body parts as good luck charms is a result of “a kind of marketing exercise by witch doctors,” the International Federation for the Red Cross and Crescent societies said.

The report says the market for albino parts exists mainly in Tanzania, where a complete set of body parts—including all limbs, genitals, ears, tongue and nose —can sell for \$75,000. Wealthy buyers use the parts as talismans to bring them wealth and good fortune.

For example, a recent report from Tanzania revealed that nine people had been arrested for chopping off the hand of a nine-year-old albino. When arrested, the men were trying to sell the limb.

Another report, issued recently by the United Nations, said that albinos “are regarded as ghosts and not human beings who can be wiped off the global map,” and that “[p]eople living with albinism [are] the target of many false and harmful myths in several countries, especially in the African region.”

“These are manifestations of the worst forms of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment and can never be justified,” the Special Rapporteur on torture, Juan E.

Méndez, said. “Under international human rights law it is the duty of the State to afford protection to persons with albinism against such barbaric acts.”

People with albinism are not only brutally mutilated and tortured, but also killed or buried alive with deceased tribal chiefs so as not to leave them in the grave alone. “These acts must be stopped and the perpetrators must be brought to justice without delay,” said Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary, or arbitrary executions, Christof Heyns.

---

Meanwhile an African witchdoctor from the country of Lesotho was found boiling body parts belonging to a murdered white South African farmer when he was arrested, a court in South Africa has heard.

[As reported in the Afrikaans-language newspaper Volksblad](#), Moeketsi Hopolong Mokoena (34), a witchdoctor (a profession also called a “traditional healer”) was busy cooking the body parts of Jan van Wyk (82) in the farm[house] Jakkalsfontein, when a private security company swooped on the murder scene.

## South African University Gives Honorary Degrees to Witchdoctors

Posted [The New Observer](#)

In yet another indication of South Africa’s rapid descent back into the Third World jungle, a major university in that country has just awarded honorary degrees to more than 100 primitive witchdoctors in an official ceremony.

[The incredible news was carried with pride by South Africa’s national news broadcaster, the SABC](#), and, using the politically-correct term for witchdoctor (“traditional healers”), claimed that these people are actually comparable to western-trained doctors.

According to the SABC report, more than “100 traditional health practitioners from Limpopo have been honoured with academic certificates by the University of Limpopo.”

The aim of the charade, the SABC informed its listeners, was to “transform traditional health

medicine by incorporating health education.”



The SABC gushed on: “The traditional healers are being recognized by academia in their contribution to fighting diseases.

“The University of Limpopo and the African Religion, Culture and Health Organisation say educational backgrounds of traditional health practitioners serves as an important step towards transforming this form of healing.”

According to one Dr. Jan Ramothwala, as quoted by the SABC, the awarding of these certificates will boost the standing of these witchdoctors in their communities.

The SABC also quote a botanist, named as Dr. Phatlane Mokwala, as saying that “traditional healing is also about the preservation of natural resources.”

In the very next line, however, Mokwala went on to admit that these “natural resources” are in fact being plundered to extinction by these very same witchdoctors.

“Plants are becoming scarce, rare, or somewhat getting extinct. That is the challenge and what we need to know is how we make sure the plants are there whenever they are required,” Mokwala was quoted as saying.

As white influence in Third World nations such as South Africa declines, the culture slowly slips into a laughable but deadly parody of the First World.





## Tell Mama - Silencing the Critics of Islam

or Sharia Law by the back door

Paul Austin Murphy

Liberty GB

There's a British organisation and website called [Tell Mama](#) which is run by a man named Fiyaz Mughal. This organisation is a bit like the [Council on American-Islamic Relations \(CAIR\)](#) in America, though without – at least up until now – as much power and political influence. Indeed **Fiyaz Mughal has shared a platform with CAIR** and provides Internet links to CAIR on his website. He also re-tweets CAIR's material on his Twitter page.

And just like CAIR, Tell Mama uses accusations of 'Islamophobia' and 'racism' as tools to both protect and advance Islam.

(Actually, the [Muslim Council of Britain](#), or MCB, is the closest British equivalent of CAIR, to which it is also linked. And just like CAIR and Hamas, the MCB is part of the Muslim Brotherhood.)

Fiyaz Mughal's Tell Mama is, in legalistic terms, very proficient. Indeed Islamists and Islamic terrorists of all types quickly realised that many leftist lawyers and activists in the American/ British 'rights' and 'race' industry are not only very keen to defend Muslims *no matter who they are and no matter what they've done*; they also sympathise and agree with much of their destructive intent.

### Tell Mama: We aren't against the criticism of Islam

As is often the case with Tell Mama and other supposedly moderate Muslim websites, if you read

between the lines you can often find rare glimpses of honesty (or tactlessness). Actually, it's not even a case of reading between the lines because such accidental confessions are actually there in the text.

Ironically, and predictably, Fiyaz Mughal tells us that Tell Mama "[is not a project based on protecting any religion from scrutiny and debate](#)". This statement (which isn't followed up or defended) is no doubt a response to the many criticisms Mughal has received for doing precisely that: attempting to protect Islam from all scrutiny and debate.

Despite the half-hearted denials, Tell Mama goes straight ahead and explicitly tells us that "anti-Muslim prejudice" will "sometimes include opinions on Islam". Or to put that another way: "anti-Muslim prejudice" is often simply *prejudice against Islam*.

In the Muslim world, anti-Islamic prejudice is called blasphemy. Fiyaz Mughal is therefore simply agitating for sharia blasphemy law in the UK.

He cites some examples of blasphemy against Islam. These include

*"opinions on Islam such as that it has no common values with other cultures, is inferior to the 'West,' and is a violent political ideology rather than a religion"*.

Firstly, if people believe all or some of the above, then should they be convicted for racism or for 'hate crimes'? The problem is that literally millions of people do believe some or all of the above. Therefore,

according to Fiyaz Mughal's Tell Mama, thousands of non-Muslims could potentially be prosecuted.

Mughal is even more honest (or stupid) when he talks about "indiscriminate negative attitudes or emotions directed at ... Islam". He also talks about the "hate and prejudice [which] is targeted at ... Islam".

It's also ironic that Mughal objects to the "conflation of religion with the rights of the individual" when that's precisely what he does. The rights of individuals are already largely protected by British law, and there are laws against discriminating against someone simply because of his or her religion. That's exactly why he is relying on the conflation of the rights of the individual (Muslim) with the criticism of Islam. In fact it is Fiyaz Mughal who's the consummate conflater (or *taqiyya*-artist).

## The Runnymede Trust and Islamophobia

Tell Mama relies very heavily on one source for its legalistic pontifications. That source is The Runnymede Trust and its 1997 report: *Islamophobia, a challenge for us all*. (This is a very early use of the word 'Islamophobia' and it's clear that The Runnymede Trust noted its strategic and political value.)

Tell Mama tells us that it "focus[es] on and use[s] the term 'anti-Muslim prejudice'" primarily because of "the work of the Runnymede Trust on Islamophobia" (which it also deems to be "ground-breaking").


The Commission on British Muslims and Islamophobia was set up in 1996 by the Runnymede Trust; though this actual report is from 2004.

The Runnymede Trust in its fight against 'Islamophobia' describes itself as a "left-wing think tank". Why "left-wing"?

Take this example of the Runnymede Trust's Leftism: it doesn't believe that there is such a thing as racism towards whites – full stop! In 2009 it published a collection of essays which argued that whites "are not discriminated against because they are white". Clearly, there is one organisation which discriminates against whites: the Runnymede Trust itself! There are of course many others, such as Unite Against Fascism (UAF), *The Guardian*, Hope Not Hate, various local councils, the BBC, various universities and virtually

all leftist individuals and groups.

So why this leftist anti-white racism? Basically it's all down to Marxist theory. It has become an article of leftist faith that whites can only be racists, never the victims of racism.



## The semantics of 'anti-Muslim abuse' and 'threat'

Firstly we need to know what 'abuse' and 'anti-Muslim' mean.

It's clear that when Fiyaz Mughal's Tell Mama writes "anti-Muslim" it usually means *anti-Islam*. However, there is no law against blasphemy in the UK and hasn't been seen 2008. So what does Mughal think is the best way to deal with that problem? Simple. When a person criticises Islam, he thinks it best to pretend that he is really criticising a particular Muslim, or Muslims as a whole. Or, alternatively, pretend that the criticism of Islam is racial in nature because, of course, laws against racism already exist.

Perversely, if the criticism of Islam has been turned into 'anti-Muslim abuse' by Tell Mama, it has also gone one step further by turning that 'anti-Muslim abuse' into racial abuse. So we have moved all the way from:

- i) the criticism of Islam
- ii) to 'anti-Muslim abuse'
- ii) and then to supposed racism towards Muslims.

There's also ambiguity in Fiyaz Mughal's frequent use of the word 'threat' or 'threats'. For example, the Tell Mama website says that Muslims "may have suffered threats and abusive behaviour". However, it's clear that many Muslims will see *any* criticism of their

religion as a 'threat'. (There is a long tradition in Islam of using perceived or pretended threat as a reason for violent attack.) The logic here is simple: either the very criticism of Islam will be deemed to be a threat by Muslims; or that criticism of Islam, Muslims and leftists will argue, will lead to threats against Muslims.

Take another example. If a non-Muslim on Facebook tells a Muslim that he thinks the niqab or burka should be banned, the Muslim may take that as a direct threat against her 'religious rights'. Thus saying that the burka and niqab should be banned will be deemed a threat against Muslims.

Tell Mama freely admits that it's not always talking about threats against Muslims; it's also talking about threats against the 'Muslim faith'. For example, Tell Mama says that Muslims may "perceive that this [threat] has been because of your Muslim faith (perceived or real)".

Incidentally, there's an ominous statement at the end of this page on the Tell Mama website. Tell Mama tells its readers:

*"If you struggle to classify the incident yourself, our caseworkers will be happy to assist you with this."*

This will often mean that if the Muslim concerned doesn't say what Tell Mama wants him to say, then it will make sure that he does. For example, the individual may explicitly say that the 'threat' was against Islam, not against himself. However, considering what has already been said about creating sharia blasphemy law on the back of 'hate crime' and race relations law, Tell Mama may advise this Muslim to do one of the following:

- i) Claim that the criticisms/ threats were directed against himself.
- ii) Claim that the criticisms/ threats were racial in nature.
- iii) Claim that the threats/ criticisms were examples of 'hate crime'.

## Conclusion

It's clear that Fiyaz Mughal's Tell Mama has sought much advice from lawyers on all this. Mughal could have asked his lawyers the following question (though not in these precise words):

*How can I bring about sharia blasphemy law in the*

*UK?*

The lawyer might have replied (though not in these precise words):

*There is no blasphemy law in the UK. So it would be better, Fiyaz, if you relied on the British laws which already exist and which have nothing to do with blasphemy. I suggest, then, that you use race relations law and hate crime law if you want to silence the critics of Islam. As I said, since the UK got rid of blasphemy law in 2008, race relations and hate crime law are the only means presently available to criminalise the critics of Islam; as well as to silence the critics of Muslims – such as yourself – who speak on behalf of Muslims and Islam.*


On 8th April 2014 Liberty GB's Tim Burton will stand trial in Birmingham. His crime? Posting a tweet calling Mr Fiyaz Mughal a "**mendacious grievance-mongering taqiyya-artist**". If you value free speech then you can help Liberty GB to defend it."

\*\*\*\*\*

*The following*

*is taken from Liberty GB Press Release*

Tim Burton, the Radio Officer of the British party Liberty GB, will appear at Birmingham Magistrates' Court shortly, charged by the West Midlands Police with racially aggravated harassment for a few tweets about Fiyaz Mughal that called him "a mendacious grievance-mongering taqiyya-artist".




Fiyaz Mughal, founder and director of the organisation Tell MAMA (Measuring Anti-Muslim Attacks), came to public attention when he was [exposed by the Telegraph's Andrew Gilligan](#) as having massaged some facts and figures about 'anti-Muslim attacks' following the Woolwich murder.

**The Telegraph**

HOME NEWS WORLD SPORT FINANCE COMMENT BLOGS CULTURE TRAVEL LIFE FA

HOME » JOURNALISTS » ANDREW GILLIGAN

**Muslim hate monitor to lose backing**  
 Ministers end funding for body that claimed 'wave of attacks' against Islam.



Flyaz Mughal of Tell Mama. Photo: REX FEATURES

By Andrew Gilligan  
 7:00AM BST 09 Jun 2013

Print this article  
 Share 2K

ReligionNewsBlog.com

[Muslim deception to infidels for the good of Islam](#), particularly when Muslims are in a minority, as in Britain. This is a well-established and accepted doctrine in Islamic law. The Western public has been misled about Islam and believes that the latter is fundamentally similar to Christianity in its ethical outlook. Replace God with Allah, [Allah is consistently translated as God in all UK national news media] Jesus with Muhammad, and you still have the same moral commandments: love your enemies, be benevolent towards non-Muslims, and thou shall not lie or give false testimony. This is far from the truth.

Liberty GB, a newly-formed conservative and patriotic party, is trying to make taqiyya the keystone of this trial. Given the special position of taqiyya in the relationship between Muslims and non-Muslims, especially in non-Muslim-majority countries, if we, by making this case public, manage to make the British and Western people understand the meaning and nature of taqiyya, we will have managed to make them understand the whole nature of Islam in relation to us through it.

One particular issue that Liberty GB intends to bring to public attention is this: how can a Muslim's swearing on the Quran in court be accepted as declaration of the truthfulness of his testimony when the *very book he is swearing on gives him divine permission to lie?*

Source: Liberty GB Press Release

These revelations, along with previous discoveries of discrepancies between police official figures and those of Tell MAMA – which had inflated both rates of and increase in anti-Muslim crimes – led to the organisation's public funding being discontinued. By then it had already received £375,000 from the UK government in a period of just over 12 months.

[Taqiyya is the religious permission, indeed virtue, of](#)

**Sharia law 'promoted' by Law Society**

The Law Society has been accused of “promoting” Sharia law, after issuing guidance on wills that comply with the Islamic system.

The advice for solicitors in England and Wales covers Sharia-based wills, which discriminate against women.

Under Sharia, male heirs “in most cases receive double the amount inherited by a female heir of the same class”, the guidance says.

It adds that non-Muslims “may not inherit at all”, “only Muslim marriages are recognised” and adopted children should be ‘excluded’ from wills.



Campaigner Peter Tatchell said the Law Society “should withdraw its guidelines assisting or promoting Sharia Law in the UK”.

A secular legal group also criticised the Law Society for ‘wading into theology’, and said the guidance “normalises, legitimises and sanitises” Sharia law.

The Law Society released the practice note for solicitors earlier this month, saying: “This is the first time guidance has been published for solicitors to to assist them with the intricacies of Sharia succession



## SECRET SURVEILLANCE

Lasha Darkmoon

*Imagine a world in which you are being spied on 24/7 by an all-seeing Eye. And be aware that Big Brother is watching you, not only from the US and Britain but also from Israel.*

Mass surveillance is the new norm. Big Brother is watching you and listening to you always. With the help of microscopic cameras and wireless concealable microphones and precise location tracking devices, he is keeping his tabs on you twenty-four hours a day.

He is randomly searching you on trains. He is groping you at airports. He is flagging you down in remote country locations and ordering you to open the trunk of your car so that he can inspect it for drugs, stolen children, and human heads in plastic bags. If you're a woman, he can taser you and rape you and then put you in handcuffs for resisting arrest.

Orwell never imagined it could be quite so bad.

According to whistleblower Edward Snowden, we now have "the largest program of suspicionless surveillance in human history".

NSA official William Binney, who resigned from the agency in protest over its systematic violation of privacy laws, has revealed that the US government has "assembled on the order of 20 trillion transactions about US citizens. The data that's being assembled is about everybody. From that data, they can target anyone they want."

Guardian correspondent Glenn Greenwald confirms this. "No human communication can be allowed to take place without the scrutinizing eye of the US government," he tells us. "This is the animating

principle of the US Surveillance State. Mass surveillance is the hallmark of a tyrannical political culture."

Another famous whistleblower, Thomas Drake, is equally candid. "There is no constraint," he points out grimly. "The United States is now a surveillance state. "This is the new normal."



No digital or electronic communication you make is secure. Many of your secrets are already known to someone. Your private life is subject to the minutest and most persistent scrutiny. You may be interested to know that Snowden is so paranoid about his privacy that wherever he is—in airports, hotels, libraries—he puts a large red hood over his head and laptop when entering his passwords to prevent any hidden cameras from detecting them."

All your emails—past, present and future—are there for someone to read. Every day the NSA records trillions of emails and phone calls. Your calls are not only being recorded but sophisticated voice recognition devices enable some geek in a cubicle to pluck your voice out of a million other similar-sounding voices. Your online chats, your calls over Google Voice, Skype, and other voice-over-internet systems, are all on record. Every website you visit, someone knows you've been there and how long you've lingered on each item.

Did you know that the Internet giants who said to you, “Trust us, we will never betray your secrets to anyone!” have deceived you and let you down? Did you know that Google has betrayed you to Big Brother? That Microsoft has betrayed you, that Facebook and Apple have betrayed you, that AT&T and Verizon have betrayed you?

You probably heard the shocking news recently that Broadband and telecommunications giant Verizon is now offering its customers hard-core porn titles with child and incest themes. (See *Verizon Defends Pimping Child Porn*).

Lurid titles include “I Banged My Stepdad,” “Mom, Daughter and Me,” and “Pigtail Teens Pounded”.

Verizon’s Associate Director for Advertising, John P. Artney, defended his company’s decision to promote incest and child porn. “Consumers today have extraordinary choice,” he enthused. “The explosion in choice is a tremendous benefit to consumers.”

This is bad enough, but it gets worse.

Did you know that Israeli security and surveillance company, Verint Systems, has for years had back-door access to all the traffic passing through Verizon? This means that anyone who uses Verizon to access incest and child porn has all his porn-viewing history automatically transmitted to Israel.

Not only do the spooks in Utah (NSA) and the spooks in Cheltenham, England (GCHQ), have their tabs on internet users at all times.

Big Brother is also watching you from Tel Aviv.



How is most of our personal data gathered? Well, it passes under the oceans through fiber-optic cables which Big Brother has learned to tap. The tiny filaments inside the cables utilize pulsating flashes of light to transmit up to 100 billion bits of data per second.

If Big Brother is based in America, Big Sister hangs out in the UK. The Government Communications Headquarters (GCHQ) is located in Cheltenham, England. It is now commonly acknowledged that Britain is the world’s intelligence superpower. This is partly due to Britain’s advantageous Atlantic location between the US and Europe.

“The UK has a huge dog in this fight,” Snowden told

the Guardian recently. “They [GCHQ] are worse than the US.” By ‘worse’ he means better at invading the privacy of people, without any moral qualms or legal constraints.



We learned recently that GCHQ (pictured) was tapping into 600 million telephone calls each day. A huge number of these, being transatlantic calls, are naturally of intense interest to the Americans. These calls are accessed by tapping into more than 200 fiber-optic cables that snake their way across the Atlantic like slimy, seaweed clad serpents. Each of the cables carries data at a rate of 10 gigabits per second. This works out to roughly 21 petabytes a day – “equivalent to sending all the information in all the books in the British Library 192 times every 24 hours.”

According to this writer’s calculations, if a reader set out to read all the books in the British Library at the rate of one book a day—that means getting through 13,950,000 books—it would take him roughly 6.5 million years to complete his task.

Hoovering up all the secrets of the world every day is clearly an impossible task, even if the entire population of the world were engaged in full-time snooping.

Out of the vast “haystack” of data, it is necessary only to find the “needles”. The government keeps telling us they are not interested in the minnows, only the sharks and killer fish, i.e., the terrorists.

I don’t think we should believe them, since they lie to us on principle.

Understand this: everyone, no matter how insignificant, is of potential interest to Big Brother, for anyone who has a guilty secret can be blackmailed.

Listen to Orwell who knew all about these shady characters:

**“The old civilizations claimed that they were founded on love or justice. Ours is founded upon hatred.”**



*George Orwell's 1984*

The object of persecution is persecution. The object of torture is torture. The object of power is power.

Power is in inflicting pain and humiliation. Power is in tearing human minds to pieces and putting them together again in new shapes of your own choosing.”

Yes, that's the New World Order for you: hatred, persecution, torture, power for power's sake. This is what Big Brother wants. He wants power. Omnipotence. One of the prerequisites of omnipotence is omniscience.

And this is why Big Brother wants to know everything about you.



The powers that be say they're only interested in catching the big fish, i.e., the “terrorists” who are ceaselessly plotting new 9/11s. They are not interested in you and me: in our private lives, our sordid little affairs, our telephone flirtations, our Skype romances or amorous emails. Things like that don't interest them. False! The Petraeus affair proves the contrary.

We offer the Petraeus affair as proof that terrorism is not the only thing that interests these people.

They tell you that you have nothing to fear. Not if you're a law-abiding citizen. Did they tell that to General Petraeus, a law-abiding citizen and pillar of the community?

Remember this: Petraeus was careful. Mega careful not to be caught doing anything he shouldn't. All he was guilty of was a little extramarital flutter.


He didn't even send his mistress, Paula Broadwell, any emails. He kept them hidden in his drafts folder, hundreds of them, so that pretty Paula, his official biographer, could access them there through a password.

The general thought he was being clever, playing the old “draft folder trick”, letting Paula read his unsent emails and then deleting them later.

In spite of all these precautionary measures to maintain his privacy, the spooks hacked into his computer and sniffed out his secret love life, forcing him to resign.

General Petraeus was not engaged in terrorism. He was not planning a military coup or an attack on the White House. He was not thinking of bombing Dimona or the Israeli Knesset. All he was doing was sending billets-doux to his mistress Paula Broadwell, saying silly things like “I love you.” And for this he was ruined and forced to resign.

Petraeus had been critical of Israel. And so the people on Israel's payroll went into attack mode. Many Zionist Jews were delighted at his downfall.



*General Petraeus and his mistress, Paula Broadwell*

Foremost among General Petraeus's enemies, his chief nemesis, was US Representative Eric Cantor, highest-ranking Jewish member of Congress in its history, and, incidentally, a co-sponsor of legislation to cut off all US taxpayer aid to the Palestinians.

It was into the hands of this arch-Zionist, Eric Cantor

that General Petraeus' private emails fell. Allegedly, they had been passed on to him by a whistleblower at the FBI. This story is unconvincing and has never been proved.



Cantor, pictured above, who is a personal friend of Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, probably got his information straight from the horse's mouth – in Israel.

Given that President Obama himself knew nothing about Petraeus's emails, how can one explain the uncanny coincidence of the private correspondence of a high-ranking American general, seen as hostile to Israel, falling into the hands of America's most preeminent Israel Firster?

It cannot be easily explained.

Was the fall of Petraeus a Jewish plot? What else could it have been? The entire Petraeus affair is a cautionary tale whose moral is: Don't cross Big Jewry.

The Petraeus affair demonstrates beyond a reasonable doubt that even our sex lives are of interest to Big Brother – especially to Big Brother in Israel.



The idea that we are all being spied on in a responsible way, and that we have nothing to fear unless we are terrorists, will not bear scrutiny. The famous Latin tag *Quis custodes custodiet?* — Who will watch the watchers? — says it all. We are referring here to Israeli infiltration.

The fact that everything known to the NSA (US) and GCHQ (UK) is also known to Israeli intelligence, through Israeli internet giants like Verint and Narus, is a cause for extreme concern.

Apart from Jonathan Pollard, a long list of American Jews have spied for Israel. Surprisingly, none of these Jews have been prosecuted and punished. Paul Wolfowitz, Richard Perle, Steven Bryen, Douglas Feith, Michael Ledeen, Steven Rosen, Keith Weissman, Jane Harman—the list goes on—have never been brought to justice.

They cannot be indicted, no matter what crimes they commit, because they appear to be beyond the law. The law applies only to ordinary citizens — to you and me. The ruling elite, now disproportionately Jewish, remains untouchable.

James Petras puts it like this:

Because of the power and influence of the Presidents of the 52 Major American Jewish organizations, Justice Department officials have ORDERED DOZENS OF ISRAELI ESPIONAGE CASES TO BE DROPPED.

Israel is a key overseas ally of the National Security Agency, as has been documented in the Israeli press (*Haaretz*, June 8, 2013). Two Israeli high tech firms, Verint and Narus, WITH TIES TO THE ISRAELI SECRET POLICE (*Mossad*), have provided the spy software for the NSA.

And this, of course, has opened a window for Israeli spying in the US against Americans opposed to the Zionist state.

So here we have two Israeli companies, Verint and Narus, with unlimited access to the private data of the entire population of America. Verint taps the communication lines at Verizon. Narus does the same at AT&T. And both companies, we learn, “have extensive ties to Israel, a country with a long and aggressive history of spying on the US.”

It doesn't take a genius to connect the dots.



*Jacob “Kobi” Alexander  
Verint Systems, fugitive  
from Justice now in  
Israeli State*



## FUGITIVE FROM JUSTICE

Then there is Jacob “Kobi” Alexander, a former Israeli intelligence officer who founded Verint Systems, the Israeli company that monitors all the telephone calls at Verizon. He is now a fugitive from justice.

Former head of Comverse, parent company of Verint, Kobi Alexander is now a wanted man who fled US justice to Africa and is reportedly now hiding out in Israel.

“It is important to note,” Christopher Bollyn writes, “that Kobi Alexander and Comverse were closely connected to Odigo, the Israeli messaging service that was used to warn Israelis to stay away from the World Trade Center on 9-11.”

Wanted by the FBI on three dozen charges of fraud, theft, lying, bribery, money laundering and other crimes, Kobi Alexander’s exact whereabouts are now unknown. It comes as no surprise to learn that two of Tobi Alexander’s top associates at Comverse, Chief Financial Officer David Kreinberg and former General Counsel William F. Sorin, were charged with similar crimes. Both pleaded guilty and are now behind bars. Like Tobi Alexander, both are Israeli citizens.

**These are the criminal types who have access to the private details of millions of people not only in America but all over the world. It’s frightening. You might as well hand over the keys of your national security to the Jewish mafia.**



*“National security is a euphemism for Jewish rule.” – JB Campbell*

*By Deception we shall wage War - Mossad*

*This is an edited abridgement, brought up to date with the latest developments, of a long 2-part article published last year called “Mass Surveillance in the Orwellian Police State”.*



## **Britain is set to become the first country in the world to create babies with the DNA of three people after the government set out new draft regulations**

*Three parent babies could be created by using the DNA of a 'second mother' to fill in defective genetic material in an egg Photo: ALAMY*

**T**he first three-parent babies could be born by 2015 after the government set out new draft regulations which will allow donor DNA from a 'second mother' to be implanted into a defective egg.

The procedure, which was developed by British scientists, is currently banned, but ministers want to change the law to prevent children suffering debilitating conditions like muscular dystrophy.

The Department of Health has launched a consultation on draft guidelines which is due to end in May.

Under the new rules, IVF (In-Vitro Fertilisation) clinics will be able to replace a baby's defective mitochondrial DNA with healthy DNA from a female donor's egg.

It is controversial because it would result in babies having DNA from three people.

While many doctors and scientists applaud the move, pointing out that it could eliminate terrible diseases, critics argue "mitochondrial transfer" could lead to

designer babies.

Dr David King, director of the pressure group Human Genetics Alert, said: "If passed, this will be the first time any government has legalised inheritable human genome modification, something that is banned in all other European countries.

"The techniques have not passed the necessary safety tests so it is unnecessary and premature to rush ahead with legalisation.

"The techniques are unethical according to basic medical ethics, since their only advantage over standard and safe egg donation is that the mother is genetically related to her child. This cannot justify the unknown risks to the child or the social consequences of allowing human genome modification."

However most health experts and scientists have backed the government claiming it heralds a new era in genetic medicine.

Professor Peter Braude, head of obstetrics and

gynaecology at King's College London, said: "I am pleased that the Government has been brave enough to follow through on their promises given during the 2008 revision of the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Act, to bring before Parliament an option to help a small but deserving portion of society blighted with the spectre of transmitting mitochondrial disease to their children.

"Although rare, the effects of mitochondrial disease are devastating on those families, and the technology proposed will bring hope to those carrying the disorders.

"It is true that genetic alteration of disease risk is an important step for society and should not be taken lightly. However the proposed changes to the regulations ensure it will be limited to informed couples, who understand from sad personal experience the significant effects of their disease, and are best placed to balance the risks of the technology with the possibility of having children without mitochondrial disease."

Around one in every 200 babies born in the UK has a severe mitochondrial disease. Although rare, the disorders can be passed to future generations through the maternal line.

Examples of mitochondrial diseases include conditions that cause muscle wasting, nerve damage, loss of sight and heart failure.

Dr Jeremy Farrar, director of the Wellcome Trust, Britain's biggest research charity, said: "It is now almost a year since a major public consultation found broad support for the use of new IVF techniques for preventing mitochondrial diseases, so we are pleased that the Government has now published draft regulations that would permit this.

"Once further public consultation on the detail of these regulations is complete, we urge the Government to move swiftly so that Parliament can debate the regulations at the earliest opportunity and families affected by these devastating disorders can begin to benefit."

Doug Turnbull, Professor of Neurology at the University of Newcastle, said: "I am delighted that the Government has published the draft regulations. This is very good news for patients with mitochondrial DNA disease and an important step in the prevention of transmission of serious mitochondrial disease"

Robert Meadowcroft, chief executive of the Muscular Dystrophy Campaign, said: "News that the wait for proposed amendments to genetic research regulations to be shared with the public is over will be welcomed by many families living with mitochondrial disease.

"We have supported the Government's review of the mitochondrial transfer IVF technique throughout, in the firm belief that open, thorough and transparent dialogue is critical. However, it will soon be two years since the initial consultation with the public was announced and three since the review began.

"There have been lengthy waits at every stage, and we now call on the Government to ensure that regulations are passed before the next general election, so that the technique can be moved towards clinical trials as soon as possible."

He added: "Encouragingly, we have seen that, when given in-depth information, the majority of people in the UK are broadly supportive of this technology. We now need to see a prompt, efficient discussion with the public on the rules that will govern how it is taken forward."

The new consultation is not to debate whether mitochondrial transfer should be allowed, but how it should be implemented.

Once the rules are brought in, it will be up to the fertility regulator, the Human Fertilisation and Embryology Authority (HFEA), to decide whether a treatment can go ahead on a case-by-case basis.

Mitochondrial transfer will only be allowed when there is a "significant risk" of disability or serious illness.

Children born after mitochondrial transfer will not be entitled to discover the identity of the "third parent" donor.

Liz Curtis, from the Lily Foundation, which funds research into mitochondrial diseases, said: "The publication today of the draft regulations is welcomed by The Lily Foundation. We meet too many families on a daily basis whose worlds have been turned upside down by the devastating effects of mitochondrial diseases.

"These IVF techniques will eradicate mitochondrial disease for some families, offering the opportunity to have a healthy child. We hope the approval will not take too long, so these families can benefit from this

as soon as possible and hopefully see a little light at the end of a dark tunnel."

source: [The Daily Telegraph](#)

\*\*\*\*\*

## Top science journal prints criticism of GM babies plan

Nature, a prominent science periodical, published an article saying the proposals crossed "a legal and ethical line" and were "premature and ill-conceived".

The article was written by Marcy Darnovsky, head of the Center for Genetics and Society in California – a public affairs group that encourages responsible use of human genetic technologies.

Marcy Darnovsky [pictured] said the entire international community holds that genetic-engineering tools "should not be used to modify gametes or early embryos and so manipulate the characteristics of future children".

She also pointed out that many secular scientists and bioethicists have voiced "grave and detailed concerns" about the safety of the technique, and about allowing the "intentional genetic modification of children and their descendants".

### Disease



Supporters of the technique say it will help avoid mitochondrial disease, which is passed on from mother to child.

Marcy Darnovsky said the "significant majority" of cases of the disease would not be helped by allowing the creation of three parent embryos.


### Eugenics

She argued that the technique could result in putting a "high-tech eugenic social dynamic into play".

Last year, Professor Lord Robert Winston warned that rapid advances in genetic technologies might lead to a form of child "eugenics".

In a lecture at the Roslin Institute in Edinburgh, he said that current controls will not be able to keep pace, and people could want to modify their children to enhance desirable characteristics.

Source: [The Christian Institute](#). UK



Editorial Comment - It has been pointed out that the affected mother's embryo is destroyed when its pronuclei are removed and transferred to the 'partially gutted' and identity-erased enucleated embryo of the donor mother. It involves the direct subjugation and destruction of two human beings who are in their early embryonic stages of growth to create a third human being who is a hybridized construct of cellular parts from each of the two. This is not a cure; it is side-stepping the issue, and delays our goal of finding a cure.

So it begins... The genetic age. What on earth are humans' set to become now that natural selection makes way for deliberate design?

# Human Health and the GMO Industry: Puppets in High Places

[Colin Todhunter](#) Global Research



<http://www.globalresearch.ca/human-health-and-the-gmo-industry-puppets-in-high-places/5370197>

*Anne Glover recently declared that there is no evidence pertaining to the adverse impacts of GMOs. This is an extremely disturbing statement. It's disturbing because it is not only patently wrong, but also because Anne Glover is chief scientific adviser of the European Commission.*

In an interview with EurActiv on 24 July 2012, she stated:

“There is no substantiated case of any adverse impact on human health, animal health or environmental health, so that's pretty robust evidence, and I would be confident in saying that there is no more risk in eating GMO food than eating conventionally farmed food.” (1)

In a letter to Anne Glover, which is posted on the GM Watch website, Dr Brian John says that her claim is a “lie”. John regards himself as a member of the global scientific community and has a background in environmental research and a long list of contacts in academia and within environmental, health, and consumer NGOs. In the letter to Glover, he states that many of his colleagues are directly involved in research in the GM field, and between them they have contributed hundreds of articles to the peer-reviewed literature.

Anne Glover wrote in 2012:

“If we look at evidence from [more than] 15 years of growing and consuming GMO foods globally, then there is no substantiated case of any adverse impact on human health, animal health, or environmental health.” (2)

In 2013, she wrote:

“There is no evidence that GM technologies are any riskier than conventional breeding technologies and this has been confirmed by thousands of research projects. Food produced with GM technology is very common in other

parts of the world, without any evidence that

this has been harmful to the people that consumed it or to the environment at large.” (3)

Brian John asks Glover:

“With all due respect, that is a repetition of the same lie. What literature do you read? And from whom do you obtain your scientific advice?”

John goes on to state:

“I wish to place on record that there is abundant and unequivocal published evidence, within and outside the peer-reviewed literature, of real harm to living organisms in the plant and animal kingdoms arising from the growing of GMO crops and the consumption of GMO foodstuffs. This material is freely available to any scientist who chooses to examine it, and many of the key publications are found within a list recently compiled and published by GMO Free USA.” (4)

John then lambasts Glover for her one-sided approach:

“Of course, there are others lists of publications, some purporting to demonstrate harm associated with GMOs, and others purporting to show that they are safe. You refer to “thousands of research projects” and pretend that they all reach the same conclusion. That is of course nonsense.”

Although Glover likes to argue there is a consensus within the ‘scientific community’ concerning the benefits and safety of GMOs, this is patently not the case.

John tells Glover:

“The fact of the matter is that there is a powerful case showing that GMOs are harmful, with the findings of many early papers substantiated and confirmed by subsequent research. To deny that case is to perpetrate a falsehood.”

He asks if Glover will now:

“... retract your 2012 statement and accept that there is abundant evidence showing adverse impacts (both direct and indirect) on human and animal health and on the environment arising from the growing and consumption of GMO products? And will you also issue an apology to those members of the research community whose publications (in peer-reviewed journals showing harm arising from the use of GMOs) you have so studiously ignored?”

In a December 2013 press release, the European Network of Scientists for Social and Environmental Responsibility (ENSSER) stated that Glover’s GMO propagandising is “irresponsible” as she “chooses to listen to one side of the scientific community only – the circle of GMO producers and their allied

scientists” (5). Based on a statement signed by 297 scientists and experts, Dr Angelika Hilbeck, chair of the ENSSER, which published the statement, said:

“We’re surprised and pleased by the strong support for the statement. It seems to have tapped into a deep concern in the global scientific community that the name of science is being misused to make misleading claims about the safety of GM technology.”

Glover has serious conflicts of interest that have led Member of the European Parliament and former French minister for the environment, Corinne Lepage, to call for her resignation. According to GM Watch, Glover is a shareholder in a biotech company (6), and her background is that of a business-savvy genetic engineer. Her track record includes setting up the firm Remedios, which was named Scotland’s “Best New Biotechnology Company” for Biotech Scotland by its industry peers (7).

Glover’s pro-GM propaganda mirrors that of Owen Patterson, British Environment Secretary (8). His British Conservative Party colleague Zac Goldsmith has called him a puppet of the biotech industry (9).

Although Glover’s views are of great concern, in many respects she is symptomatic of a much deeper malaise that appears to run deep, whereby a lack of independence from the biotech and food conglomerates is distorting regulatory practices and corrupting policies within the EU to the detriment of the public (10).

*Read the full transcript of Brian John’s letter to Anne Glover here:*

<http://gmwatch.eu/index.php/news/archive/2014/15308-eu-chief-science-adviser-s-gmo-safety-claims-are-a-lie>

#### Notes

- 1) <http://www.euractiv.com/innovation-enterprise/commission-science-supremo-endor-news-514072>
- 2) <http://www.euractiv.com/innovation-enterprise/chief-scientific-adviser-policy-p-interview-514074>
- 3) <http://www.euractiv.com/science-policymaking/eu-chief-scientist-unethical-use-interview-530692>
- 4) <http://gmofreeusa.org/gmos-are-top/gmo-science/>
- 5) <http://www.ensser.org/media/0713/>
- 6) <http://www.gmwatch.org/index.php/news/archive/2014/15303-paterson-and-glover-push-gmos-in-africa-at-taxpayers-expense-wed>
- 7) <http://www.nature.com/naturejobs/science/articles/10.1038/nj7100-326a>
- 8) <http://www.globalresearch.ca/the-realities-of-gmo-and-petro-chemical-agriculture-allergies-toxins-new-diseases/5367760>
- 9) <http://www.independent.co.uk/news/uk/politics/tory-mp-says-progm-environment-secretary-owen-paterson-is-industry-puppet-8686133.html>
- 10) <http://corporateeurope.org/efsa/2013/10/unhappy-meal-european-food-safety-authoritys-independence-problem>

# What is Christian Identity?

William Finck

**Christian Identity**, also sometimes called Israel Identity, is the only true conservative Christianity. It is true because it seeks to maintain the understanding - in accordance with Scripture - that the New Covenant was made only with those same people with whom the Old Covenant was made: the House (family) of Israel and the House (family) of Judah. These Israelite people are traceable through time to the Keltic and Germanic tribes of today. None of these people are Jews. The Jews are descended from a mere remnant of the old Kingdom of Judah along with assorted Edomite and other Arab who were mixed into the Roman province of Judaea during the Hellenic period. There are - at last count - at least sixteen detailed essays on this website which demonstrate this, and which are replete with Biblical, archaeological and historical citations.

**Christian Identity** is the belief that the Covenants of God are real and consistent. It professes that the people of the Old Testament were every bit as much Christian as the people of the New Testament. They were simply looking forward to the first advent of the Christ, while we today await His Second Advent. As the famous Christian bishop Ignatius said nineteen hundred years ago, Christianity did not come from Judaism: rather, Judaism is a perversion of Christianity.

**Christian Identity** is the belief that there is no disparity between the Word of God, His Creation, His prophecy, and world history. It is also the understanding that while Scripture was inspired by God when it was transmitted, men have certainly mistreated it since that time, and so every passage and every doctrine must be fully investigated from all of the most ancient sources possible. As it reads in the King James Version: Study to show thyself approved.

The audio file attached to this page is perhaps one of the best we have to offer for introducing Christian Identity to the uninitiated. [[It can be downloaded at http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames](http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames)] Please listen to it objectively, rather than regarding the slanders of the ADL and similar Jewish organizations - forever the enemies of Christ.

This paper is under development, and so are our websites - always. We pray that you consider the things written here, and also in all of our other papers. And if you are one of His called, May God favor your journey. You may also want to note What Christian Identity is Not at <http://christogenea.org/what-christian-identity-is-not>

# THE SAXON MESSENGER



## **A n n o u n c e m e n t s**

The Saxon Messenger can be contacted by email [editor@saxonymessenger.org](mailto:editor@saxonymessenger.org)

The Saxon Messenger Website is at <http://saxonymessenger.org/> where this issue as well as past and future issues will be archived.

Clifton A Emahiser's Non-Universal Teaching Ministries can be found at <http://emahiser.christogenea.org> including all writings produced by his ministry since its inception in February 1998

## **Christian Identity Radio**

Program notes and podcast archives are posted at <http://christogenea.org/podcasts>

Program schedules are usually posted at least a few days in advance at <http://christogenea.org/calendar-node-field-eventdate/month>

## **CHRISTOGENEA INTERNET RADIO 8 pm EST FRIDAY**

### **Biblical Exegesis and Commentaries**

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc>

Archives: <http://christogenea.org/podcasts/chr-radio>

## **CHRISTOGENEA SATURDAYS 8 pm EST**

### **Biblical, Historical and Social Issues**

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc>

Archives: <http://christogenea.org/podcasts/saturdays>

If you have not yet connected to the Christogenea Voice/Chat Server see <http://christogenea.net/connect>

RSS feeds for recent programs: <http://christogenea.org/audio/feed> these feeds can also be accessed from iTunes and similar services.

## **Christogenea 24/7 Internet Radio Streaming**

A listing of the radio streams can be found at

<http://christogenea.org/home/christogenea-internet-radio>

Traditional flash players can also be found at <http://christogenea.org/players.html> or Search for Christogenea in Winamp or at Shoutcast.com