

The
Saxon
Messenger

January 2013



Editorial

Dear Reader

Model Whores

We were recently affronted by an invitation to vote for a supposedly Christian woman going by the name of Starla Carmela in support of her endeavor to be "America's next top model". We were appalled, and here are our thoughts on the matter.

So the pretty young blonde, lithe and limber, wants to be a model, while at the same time she professes to be a Christian. The road to stardom is littered with the corpses of such women, crushed and crumpled. Those few who do make it are always compromised. The most fortunate among them, so it seems, ride the slower road to hell. Among these are women such as Heidi Klum, who has a beast for a husband and a brood of beasts as her posterity. Or Christie Brinkley, with three children from as many fathers, some of whom were Jews. Or Charlize Theron, who has been seen with a strangely ape-like creature swinging from her hip. The PETA activist has evidently confused animals for humans. Those models and actresses whose lives are not publicly corrupted nevertheless have such things as obscure pornographic movies or other obscenities buried in their pasts. Purporting to be both a Christian and an aspiring model does not lend credibility to a life spent in pursuit of worldly rewards exacted from worldly lust. One cannot succeed as a model or actress without compromising even the most basic Christian principles.

Keep a sure watch over a shameless daughter, lest she make thee a laughingstock to thine enemies, and a byword in the city, and a reproach among the people, and make thee ashamed before the multitude. Behold not every body's beauty, and sit not in the midst of women. - Sirach 42:11-12

As for modeling, acting, news and sports reporting and related vocations, at any level they are all a part of the media and entertainment industry: an industry controlled in its entirety by those eternal enemies of God and Christ. The experience of the former beauty pageant contestant and evangelical Christian Carrie Prejean very well summarizes the challenge a woman of any sort of Christian conviction faces when desiring to succeed in the world of the anti-Christ. It is not by chance that sexual deviants are set up as judges over such pageants by the people that control them. One such sexual deviant asked Prejean a question about the acceptability of certain deviant so-called "marriages". When her success in the pageant hinged upon her answer, Prejean chose to answer honestly. In her own memoir she describes the choice she faced: "I was being dared — in front of the entire world — to give a candid answer to a serious question. I knew if I told the truth, I would lose all that I was competing for: the crown, the luxury apartment in New York City, the large salary — everything that went with the Miss USA title. I also knew, or suspected, that I was the frontrunner, and if I gritted my teeth and gave the politically correct answer, I could be Miss USA."

Yet while Miss Prejean would not compromise her faith publicly, after she was fired by the pageant and filed the customary lawsuits it was revealed that prior to the pageant itself she had engaged in some very un-Christian behavior, ostensibly while endeavoring to further her modeling career. According to an article at CNN entitled [*Carrie Prejean 'sex tape' spurred pageant settlement*](#), Miss Prejean, who claimed that she was fired for her religious convictions, had been exposed as having once posed for a series of very racy lingerie-modeling photos. Pageant lawyers also brought forth a sexually graphic home video featuring the contestant. The video was said to be so graphic that the Jew Harvey Levin, managing editor for the celebrity gossip website TMZ, said that it was "too racy" to publish on his website. It was Levin who somehow came

to possess the video and make it known to the public and to the pageant lawyers. While the pageant had filed a counter-suit, the revelation of the video evidently persuaded Miss Prejean to settle with the pageant officials out-of-court. Before the settlement, however, at one point the pageant had demanded a return of \$5,200 they had given her to pay for breast implants.

Charms are false, and woman's beauty is vain: for it is a wise woman that is blessed, and let her praise the fear of the Lord. - Proverbs 31:30, Septuagint

Breast implants? Racy videos? Lingerie-modeling? Are these the things that a good Christian girl does to succeed in the world of beauty queens and modeling contracts? Well, they are a start, and popular culture is littered with tales of the sexual favors granted by aspirants in exchange for fame and fortune. Even male models and actors, such as John Travolta and Corey Feldman, have revealed similar things that they had undergone in order to satisfy the perverted lords of the media and entertainment industry.

O thou seed of Canaan, and not of Judah, beauty hath deceived thee, and lust hath perverted thine heart. - Susanna, 56

If it were not for her lawsuit, the compromises which Carrie Prejean made in order to succeed may never have come to light. However the aspiration to modeling or acting also drives young girls to many more subtle lapses of judgement, and the first thing many of them do while striving for acceptance is to emulate the models which the entertainment industry itself promotes. In Starla Carmela's case, she emulates the disgusting and perverted Sicilian generally known to the public as "Lady Gaga".

Below: two pictures of Lady Gaga, and a third of aspiring model Starla Carmela



This beast, Lady Gaga, commonly appears in public [nude or half-naked](#), and frequently includes graphic sexuality in her performances. She inspires headlines such as "Lady Gaga tells hermaphrodite truth on 20/20 with Barbara Walters" and "Lady Gaga comes clean on hermaphrodite rumours, and talk of her sexuality", in articles which recently appeared in papers such as the [Daily Telegraph](#) and the [Herald Sun](#). Lady Gaga, supposedly raised as a Catholic, is an outspoken activist for the rights of sexual deviants. And she has professed a desire to "turn the world gay", which was announced in a recent [Huffington Post article](#). She, or perhaps it, is certainly no role model for Christians.

For this cause God gave them up unto vile affections: for even their women did change the natural use into that which is against nature: And likewise also the men, leaving the natural use of the woman, burned in their lust one toward another; men with men working that which is unseemly, and receiving in themselves that recompence of their error which was meet. - Romans 1:26-27

Could Starla Carmela possibly be a Christian, and emulate Lady Gaga? Could a Christian woman even pursue a career in modeling or entertainment without compromising her faith? "These people honor Me with their lips, but their hearts are far from me." (Mark 7:6). Starla Carmela, professing to be a Christian and emulating Lady Gaga, is really only mocking God. We have no hesitation to label Lady Gaga a whore: for her lewd behaviour earns her the title, especially since her economic position benefits greatly from that behaviour. However the apostle Paul describes for us the punishment of those "Who knowing the judgment of God, that they which commit such things are worthy of death, not only do the same, but have pleasure in them that do them" (Romans 1:32), and therefore Starla Carmela shares in that same judgement.

The modeling business manufactures images which are idealized in order to sell products. The images are

often manufactured out of people. Those images adorn the world around us: on television, in the media, in posters and on computer screens. Those people who are the subjects of the images collect large sums of money for the use of the images, as do the people who create the images. Therefore, the people who see and have adoration for the images actually serve the images when they buy the products which the images are made to represent! It is not a coincidence that the subjects of these images are called idols. The Scripture says at Exodus 20:4-5: “Thou shalt not make unto thee any graven image, or any likeness of any thing that is in heaven above, or that is in the earth beneath, or that is in the water under the earth: Thou shalt not bow down thyself to them, nor serve them: for I the LORD thy God am a jealous God, visiting the iniquity of the fathers upon the children unto the third and fourth generation of them that hate me”. The consumer serves the image by choosing to buy those products because they are associated with the image. The model who provided the image, selling his or her body for profit, becomes a whore whether or not any sexual activity is performed, and abuses the beauty that was endowed by God.

Lest ye corrupt yourselves, and make you a graven image, the similitude of any figure, the likeness of male or female... - Deuteronomy 4:16

Modesty is a required component of good Christian behavior. The adornment of women in gold and pearls and in *Babylonish garments* is not acceptable to Christians. Both Peter and Paul agree on Christian modesty:

“Likewise, wives, be subject to your own husbands, so that even if some do not obey the word, they may be won without a word by the conduct of their wives, when they see your respectful and pure conduct. Do not let your adorning be external—the braiding of hair and the putting on of gold jewelry, or the clothing you wear— but let your adorning be the hidden person of the heart with the imperishable beauty of a gentle and quiet spirit, which in God's sight is very precious.” - 1 Peter 3:1-4

“Likewise also that women should adorn themselves in respectable apparel, with modesty and self-control, not with braided hair and gold or pearls or costly attire.” - 1 Timothy 2:9

Modeling, acting, the entertainment industry, these things are not only idolatry, they are all adverse to Christian modesty. The good woman is found working with her hands to help build her house, and not peddling images of her beauty. Such is evident from the Proverbs: “Who can find a virtuous woman? for her price is far above rubies. The heart of her husband doth safely trust in her, so that he shall have no need of spoil. She will do him good and not evil all the days of her life. She seeketh wool, and flax, and worketh willingly with her hands” (30:10-13). Models are chosen and hired as objects of lust. Who in today's world would adulate the obese, the scrawny or the otherwise ugly idol? The unattractive prospect would therefore be a failure in a venture which seeks to exalt idealized beauty. Therefore the Proverbs also state that “Favour is deceitful, and beauty is vain: but a woman that feareth the LORD, she shall be praised” (31:30). Christians are not to worship the ideals or the idols of the world.


As a jewel of gold in a swine's snout, so is a fair woman which is without discretion. - Proverbs 11:22

Christians are admonished to choose honest vocations in order to make their living. Modeling, acting, the things that lead men to lust and to sin, these are not honest vocations. In this manner Paul advised the Thessalonians: “And that ye study to be quiet, and to do your own business, and to work with your own hands, as we commanded you; That ye may walk honestly toward them that are without, and *that* ye may have lack of nothing” (1 Thessalonians 4:11-12). However by embracing the sins of the world, Christians become lovers of the world. Therefore James warned “Ye adulterers and adulteresses, know ye not that the friendship of the world is enmity with God? whosoever therefore will be a friend of the world is the enemy of God” (James 4:4). We pray that the young lady playing the role of Starla Carmela recognizes her sin, and repents. We also pray that those who call themselves Christians, but who are encouraging her, see the error of their ways and that they themselves also repent.

William R Finck

Editor

christogenea.org



Contents

Editorial - Model Whores

Child Porn and Pedophilia to be Legal Soon Pandora Pushkin	6
ChristReich Chapter 19 The Revelation of Yahshua Christ W R Finck	10
To All Who Deny Two Seedline Part 19 C A Emahiser	17
Witness to History Chapter 3 Michael Walsh	23
The Death of South Africa	31
The 40 th Anniversary of Britain's Worst Decision	33
Poem 'Euros Are Cheap Today' Tony Grace	34
Asian Gangs Target Young White Girls	35
Health Matters	37
What is Christian Identity?	41
Announcements	

Front Cover: illustrating the sexualization of a child with fatal results

Child Porn and Pedophilia to be Legal Soon

Pandora Pushkin

In which [Pandora Pushkin](#) discusses the latest push to legalize pedophilia and make it a trendy new “alternative lifestyle”.



If Jimmy Savile had lived a few more years—say ten at the most—he could have found himself living in a world in which pedophilia was legal. Instead of being vilified for his creepy sexual activities, Jimmy could have found himself a national hero.

Resorting to the same tactics used by gay rights activists, pedophiles have begun to seek a similar legal status, arguing that their sexual desire for children is just a natural “sexual orientation”. If homosexuals like going to bed with their own sex and that’s okay, why is it so wrong if a 70-year-old man wants to go to bed with a 7-year-old girl?

“If a guy offers the kid candy and she consents to sex, what’s the big deal?” it’s now being asked. It’s not like he’s *forcing* her, is it? Shouldn’t the child have a *choice* to say Yes or No?

Critics of the homosexual lifestyle have long claimed that once it became acceptable to identify homosexuality as simply an “alternative lifestyle” or

sexual orientation, logically nothing would be off limits. Gay advocates have taken offense at such a position, insisting this would never happen.

Well, it’s happened.

Pedophiles are using exactly the same brand of logic as homosexuals once used to get their preferred form of sex legalized. Sodomy is just an alternative lifestyle. Gay sex is cool. Sure, we all know that. Like the world is round, gay sex is cool. Nothing wrong with gay sex. Gays are *made* like that. They can’t help it.

It follows from this argument that if a guy in his seventies fancies a 7-year-old girl, or boy, there’s nothing wrong with that, *either*. Heck, that’s how he’s *made*! It’s his sexual orientation. And what harm is he doing if the 7-year-old girl (or boy) doesn’t mind being *special*ly nice to him for a little extra pocket money?

Calling him a “dirty old man” is *sooo* wrong! It shouldn’t be allowed. That’s *hate speech*, like calling someone a “kike” or “nigger”.

Come to think of it, what’s so wrong if this same guy is into corpses, [like Jimmy Savile](#) is reported to have been? Corpses can’t say “No”. So there’s no question about getting cadaveral consent.

Let’s consider necrophilia for a moment. This is not off-topic. It’s highly relevant. I guess it’s possible to argue that sex with corpses can also be pretty cool and awesome. Like it’s just an alternative lifestyle. I mean, if you happen to be born with a natural sexual orientation for sex with the dead, maybe society should be a bit more tolerant and not start making moral judgments.

Maybe necrophiliacs ought to put in for protected minority status, just like pedophiles and homosexuals...?

I guess one way to settle this vexed question about necrophilia, if it’s right or wrong, is to ask yourself: if YOU were a corpse, how would you like someone to make use of your dead body for sexual purposes? It can easily be argued that most people are not going to mind, for no other reason than this: *they’re going to be dead!* How can you mind if you’re dead? When you’re dead, what do you care? If you don’t mind being burned to ashes or put six feet under, why should you mind being used as a sex object that gives pleasure to a necrophiliac?

Thus the smooth casuist will be able to argue.

I foresee certain problems of course with legalized necrophilia, but I am sure these can be overcome easily enough with advertizing campaigns on TV assuring the public that sex with corpses is just fine and dandy — just like killing innocent people in Iraq and Afghanistan and Palestine is fine and dandy, if you can con people into thinking these people are very wicked.

I mean, if *torture* is okay — and torture IS perfectly legal in America and Israel — there’s absolutely no reason why necrophilia (or pedophilia with dead children) shouldn’t be legal too, is there?

I can understand that the *relatives* of the corpse might get a bit upset. That’s to be expected. Relatives can be like that. Uncooperative. But the responsible necrophiliac is never going to misbehave with a corpse, is he? If he’s got any self-respect, he’s going to check it out with the deceased’s relatives first. To ask if they mind. To get their compassionate consent.



Psychiatrists are now beginning to advocate redefining pedophilia in the same way homosexuality was redefined several years ago.

In 1973 the American Psychiatric Association declassified homosexuality from its list of mental disorders. A group of psychiatrists recently held a symposium proposing a new definition of pedophilia in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Health Disorders of the APA.

They decided that pedophiles were to be called “minor-attracted people” from now on. “Pedophile” was thought to be too harsh a word. It had negative connotations. So a clear attempt is now being made to “prettify” pedophilia and make it not only socially acceptable but a harmless and trendy “alternative lifestyle.”

Just like homosexuality.

The idea is this: if people can be brainwashed into thinking homosexuality is nice and normal, why can’t they be brainwashed into thinking pedophilia is nice and normal too?

In ten years time, it is hoped, the public will be ready for the legalization of pedophilia. In the meantime,

they need to be softened up. The stigma attached to pedophilia needs to be removed. Not only this, but the same stigma will need to be subtly transferred to those who *oppose* pedophilia and feel pedophilia is morally wrong and repugnant. These *child protectors* will need to be portrayed as desperately out-of-touch cranks, as reactionary crackpots hostile to the child's right to free sexual expression.

Will a new word of abuse and contempt, like “homophobe” and “anti-Semite”, have to be invented for the nasty people who disapprove of pedophilia? I don't think so. There's already a word that will do beautifully: PEDOPHOBE.

Loosely translated, this means “child hater”. By extension, it also means “pedophile hater”. The word can be applied to anyone who opposes pedophilia and thinks it is disgusting.

The word “pedophobe”, in other words, will soon be applied as a term of abuse to anyone who opposes pedophilia. If you don't like pedophilia, you will soon find yourself labeled a “pedophobe” — an object of disgust and contempt which will put you into the same category as a “homophobe” or “anti-Semite”.

As far back as 1998, the APA issued a report claiming that “the negative potential of adult sex with children was ‘overstated’ and that the vast majority of both men and women reported no negative sexual effects from childhood sexual abuse experiences.”

Only earlier this year two psychologists in Canada declared that pedophilia was a sexual orientation just like homosexuality. So there was nothing to worry about. It ought to be accepted.

Mr Van Gijseghem, psychologist and retired professor of the University of Montreal, told members of Parliament, “Pedophiles are not simply people who commit a small offense from time to time but rather are grappling with what is equivalent to a sexual orientation just like another individual may be grappling with homosexuality.”

Dr. Quinsey, professor emeritus of psychology at Queen's University in Kingston, Ontario, agreed with

Van Gijseghem. Quinsey said that pedophiles have a definite sexual preference for children. “There is no evidence that this sort of preference can be changed through treatment or through anything else,” he said. (See Northern Colorado Gazette [Pedophiles want same rights as homosexuals](#))

In July, 2010, Harvard Health Publications chipped in and gave pedophilia another boost. “Pedophilia is a sexual orientation and is unlikely to change,” they said. Harvard is now 25 percent Jewish, and the most influential part too, so one can expect a trendy contempt for Christian values to emanate from this ivory tower. Indeed, it was only last week that a sex bondage club, catering for student sadists and masochists, was set up at this august university. (See Huffington Post [Sex Club For Students](#) and The Atlantic Wire [Harvard to Embrace Kinky Sex](#))

Linda Harvey, of Mission America, said the push for pedophiles to have equal rights will become more and more common as LGBT [Lesbian Gay Bisexual Transgender] groups continue to assert themselves. “It's all part of a plan to introduce sex to children at younger and younger ages.” (See Northern Colorado Gazette, [Pedophiles want Same Rights as Homosexuals](#))

It's only a matter of time before Harvard university and its Ivy League imitators begin to set up student clubs for pedophiles and child porn enthusiasts, provided they can first give the whole enterprise a veneer of academic respectability—which of course they will be able to do, given all that Jewish talent at their disposal.

Milton Diamond, a University of Hawaii professor and director of the Pacific Center for Sex and Society, stated that child pornography could be beneficial to society because, “*Potential sex offenders use child pornography as a substitute for sex against children.*”

I am not surprised that a man with a name like “Milton Diamond” should put in a good word for child pornography. We know what ethnic group benefits most from the promotion of pornography. And Milton Diamond obviously belongs to this elite group of cultural Marxists who would like to see the entire world take up compulsive masturbation as a

hobby.

Dr Diamond is a distinguished lecturer for the Institute for the Advanced Study of Human Sexuality in San Francisco. The same institute lists, on its website, a list of “basic sexual rights” that include “the right to engage in sexual acts or activities of *any kind whatsoever*, providing they do not involve nonconsensual acts, violence, constraint, coercion or fraud.” Another thing they praise is “*the freedom of any sexual thought, fantasy or desire.*” The Institute also states, significantly, that “*no one should be disadvantaged because of age.*”

Dr Diamond makes it crystal clear what kind of brave new world he would like to see us all living in. It is reassuring to know that no one will be left behind — that “*no one should be disadvantaged because of age.*”

Dr Diamond sees the protection now extended to toddlers as a “disadvantage”. Why are these adorable little creatures, full of life’s promise, being denied the pleasures of sexual intercourse with wise old coots ten times their senior?

Just think what a 7-year-old child could learn from entering into intimate relations with a 70-year-old senior citizen! To deprive the child of the benefits of his superior wisdom is positively *cruel!*

Penis size will of course have to be taken into account, and men with exceptionally large penises will obviously have to be disqualified from intercourse with toddlers. Or maybe they can be encouraged to use vaseline and special lubricants which will help to anaesthetize the three sexual orifices likely to be utilized.

In any case, it’s early days. Not to worry. The experts will work it all out. Leave it to the boffins.

Here’s more good news for you if you happen to be a pedophile: Dr Diamond says he would like to legalize child porn so that you can enjoy the innocent pleasure of masturbating over children. Isn’t that kind of him? He thinks *this will stop you raping and killing children.*

Gosh, why didn’t someone think of this before? For more information on this fascinating topic, click on:

[Legalizing Child Pornography reduces child sex abuse crimes \(Scientific study by Dr. Milton Diamond, U. Hawaii\)](#)

Sex offender laws protecting children have been challenged in several states including California, Georgia and Iowa. Sex offenders claim that the laws prohibiting them from living near schools or parks, for example, are *unfair* because it penalizes them for life.

Let’s face it, friends: perversion is cool. I mean, anything can be *perceived* as cool — even eating shit. If you’re born a perv, you need respect and understanding from the community. You need special facilities laid on for you so that you can indulge your perversion as a *human right*.

Child porn is just a stepping stone toward even greater liberties. Child brothels, regulated by the state, will obviously be the next step on the Noble Eightfold Path. Even cannibalism and murder, provided you get the written consent of your victim, ought not to be ruled out.

As they say in New Zion or USreal, *every option should be kept on the table.*

Beautiful 6-year-old Jersey Bridgeman, from Arkansas, was found raped and murdered last month a few doors from her mother’s home. She is here seen wearing LIPSTICK. Why has this child been so needlessly *sexualized* and made to look like more like an adult? If her mother hadn’t bought her that lipstick, would she still be alive today?

If you have time to watch this 10-minute video about the sexualization of young children, see [Wm Pierce, Saxon Messenger](#)



PEDOPHILE VICTIM

ChristReich

The Revelation of Yahshua Christ

Chapter 19

W R Finck

XIX 1 After these things I heard like a great sound of many multitudes in heaven, saying "Praise Yah! Salvation and honor and power are of our God! Because His judgments are righteous and true, since He has judged the great whore who has ruined the earth with her fornication! And He has avenged the blood of His servants from her hand!"

Chapter 19 is a continuation of the vision of God's judgment on the great harlot and Babylon



dragon has always ruled through the cooperation of members of our own race whom it was able to compromise and corrupt. Adam's compromise was the second sin in the Garden of Eden, fornication being the first. All of the world empires that the dragon came to control, began as Adamic nations.

It says in this passage, speaking of God, that "He

3 Then they spoke a second time: "Praise Yah, that her smoke ascends for the eternal ages!" **4** And the twenty-four elders fell down, and the four living creatures, and they worshipped Yahweh who sits upon the throne, saying: "Truly! Praise Yah!" **5** And a voice came out from the throne, saying: "Praise our God, all His servants, and fear Him, small men and great men!"

It was said at the beginning of chapter 17, that the whore is Israel in apostasy from God, and that is true. But here the whore seems to be referring to the beast also – to Mystery Babylon, and that is also true. The whore, the people of Israel, having joined herself to the beast, has become "one flesh" with the beast. So while at the beginning of John's image of the whore, at the beginning of chapter 17, the whore and the beast are distinguished, now they are no longer distinguished. Paul wrote at Ephesians 5:31-32: 31 "For this reason shall a man leave father and mother and shall join to his wife, and the two shall be for one flesh." 32 This mystery is great, and I speak for Christ and for the assembly." Paul was talking about husband-wife relations, however Israel is supposed to be the wife of Yahweh, and a separate people not joined to any beast. It may be postulated, that one of the mysteries of iniquity is this: that without the whore, the beast would have no real power at all! The

has avenged the blood of his servants": Only the children of Israel are ever called the servants of God in the Bible, in either testament. The words of Yahweh through the prophet Isaiah concerning Israel, Isaiah 41:8-9: "But thou, Israel, art my servant, Jacob whom I have chosen, the seed of Abraham my friend. 9 Thou whom I have taken from the ends of the earth, and called thee from the chief men thereof, and said unto thee, Thou art my servant; I have chosen thee, and not cast thee away" This has never changed. The words of Jeremiah, 30:10-11: "10 Therefore fear thou not, O my servant Jacob, saith the LORD; neither be dismayed, O Israel: for, lo, I will save thee from afar, and thy seed from the land of their captivity; and Jacob shall return, and shall be in rest, and be quiet, and none shall make him afraid. 11 For I am with thee, saith the LORD, to save thee: **though I make a full end of all nations whither I have scattered thee**, yet will I not make a full end of thee: but I will correct thee in measure, and will not leave thee altogether unpunished." Yahweh used all of these other peoples – even the other Adamic peoples – as a rod with which to punish His servant Israel. Yet He promised to make a full end of them, and not to make a full end of the children of Israel. These same sentiments are expressed by Yahweh again through the prophet at Jeremiah 46:27-28. In the Gospel of Luke, the words of Mary as she stood before her expectant cousin

Elizabeth, from Luke 1:54-55: “54 He has come to the aid of His servant Israel, to call mercy into remembrance, 55 just as He spoke to our fathers, to Abraham and to his offspring for the age [or forever].” The New Covenant was made with none other than those who were party to the Old Covenant. At Ezekiel 28:25-26 Yahweh states: “25 Thus saith the Lord GOD; When I shall have gathered the house of Israel from the people among whom they are scattered, and shall be sanctified in them in the sight of the heathen [or nations], then shall they dwell in their land that I have given to my servant Jacob. 26 And they shall dwell safely therein, and shall build houses, and plant vineyards; yea, they shall dwell with confidence, **when I have executed judgments upon all those that despise them round about them**; and they shall know that I am the LORD their God.” Further on in this chapter, it shall be investigated exactly what that judgement entails.

6 And I heard like a sound of many multitudes and like a sound of many waters and like a sound of mighty thunders saying “Praise Yah! For Prince Yahweh the Almighty reigns! 7 We should be glad and rejoice and give honor to Him, because the wedding-feast of the Lamb has come, and His wife has prepared herself! 8 And it is given to her that she is wrapped in clean bright linen. For the linen is the vindications of the saints [or sanctified ones]!” 9 And he says to me: “Write! Blessed are those invited to the dinner of the wedding-feast of the Lamb!” [Only the children of Israel have ever been invited to this feast. Those without wedding garments are rejected and cast out.] **And he says to me: “These are true words from Yahweh!” 10 And I fell before his feet to worship him. And he says to me: “No, look! I am your fellow-servant and of your brethren who have the testimony of Yahshua! Worship Yahweh! For the testimony of Yahshua is the spirit of interpreting prophecy.”**

The children of Israel are the bride, and none other: for Yahweh only prophesied these things concerning Israel. In Revelation chapter 21, it is stated that the city which descends from heaven is the wife of the Lamb. However the term “Jerusalem” as it was used in the prophets of the future indicates the seat of government of the people of Yahweh wherever they happen to be, even long after the old city by that name had been destroyed – and permanently destroyed as is

evident in the parable of Jeremiah's broken bottle given at Jeremiah chapter 19. The city which descends from heaven represents the abode of Israel: for the gates of the city have on them the names of the twelve tribes of Israel, and no one else shall enter that city (Revelation 21:12)! John the Baptist said, from John 3:29: “He having the bride is the bridegroom, but the friend of the bridegroom who stands and hears him rejoices in joy because of the voice of the bridegroom! Therefore this, my joy, is fulfilled.” Yahweh said through the prophet, at Isaiah 54:5: “For thy Maker is thine husband; Yahweh of hosts is his name; and thy Redeemer the Holy One of Israel; The God of the whole earth shall he be called.” If He is still the Redeemer of Israel, then He is still the Husband of Israel. Yahweh is indeed Husband AND our Redeemer of Israel: for He is Yahshua Christ.

Likewise, Yahweh says through the prophet Hosea, at Hosea 2:7: “And she shall follow after her lovers, but she shall not overtake them; and she shall seek them, but shall not find them: then shall she say, I will go and return to my first husband; for then was it better with me than now.” And at Hosea 2:20: “I will even betroth thee unto me in faithfulness: and thou shalt know the LORD.” Hosea proves that Israel by accepting Christianity is returning to Yahweh as the husband, which can only be Christ. That is what the Wedding Supper of the Lamb here in the Revelation is all about. That is why John the Baptist referred to Christ as the bridegroom, and Christ often referred to Himself as the bridegroom. For these same reasons Paul said to the Corinthians, at 2 Corinthians 11:2 2: “For I am jealous over you with godly jealousy: for I have espoused you to one husband, that I may present you as a chaste virgin to Christ.” The return of Israel to Christ is a betrothal: the New Covenant and the wedding supper are not fulfilled until His return, which we are told at Acts 1:11 is “in the manner which you have beheld Him going into the heaven”. The wedding feast itself, as we shall see, is the destruction of all of the enemies and aliens among the children of Israel, so that the bride may be sanctified and cleansed in preparation for her union with the husband.

11 And I saw the heaven having been opened, and behold! A white horse, and He sitting upon it Faithful and True, and He judges in righteousness and makes war. 12 Now His eyes are as flames of fire, and upon His head are many diadems, having

a name inscribed which no one knows except Him, 13 and He is cloaked with a garment dipped in blood, and His Name is called the Word of Yahweh. 14 And the armies in heaven follow Him upon white horses, clothed with clean white linen. 15 And a sharp sword comes out from of His mouth, in order that with it He may smite the Nations, and He shall shepherd them with an iron staff, and He shall trample the vat of the wine of the wrath of the anger of Yahweh Almighty, 16 and He has upon His garment and upon His thigh a name written: “King of Kings and Sovereign of Sovereigns”.



From the Word of Yahweh in Deuteronomy 32:41-42: “41 If I whet my glittering sword, and mine hand take hold on judgment; I will render vengeance to mine enemies, and will reward them that hate me. 42 I will make mine arrows drunk with blood, and my sword shall devour flesh; and that with the blood of

the slain and of the captives, from the beginning of revenges upon the enemy.”

They are absolute fools, who think that the kingdom of heaven consists of the sons of Adam ruling over the non-Adamic peoples with the laws of our God, as if “natural brute beasts, made to be taken and destroyed”, as the apostle Peter called them, could actually ever understand or abide in His law! This is the failed missionary purpose of the past five hundred years, and look at where has it gotten the White race today. This is the same foolish logic that caused our ancient ancestors to enslave the Canaanites, rather than eliminate them as Yahweh commanded. It is a doctrine designed to promote the preservation of devils, as if empathy for the devil was ever edifying to the assembly of God. The children of Israel being sheep, Christ informs them thus: “You should not give that which is holy to the dogs, nor should you cast your pearls before swine, lest they shall trample them with their feet and turning they would rend you to pieces!” Can the nature of the swine or the dogs ever

change? Does a leopard change its spots? Should Yahshua Christ ever change His admonition? Of course not. He does not change! Since in the parable of the Sheep and the Goat nations, in Matthew chapter 25, Christ explains that only Sheep nations shall remain, then only Sheep nations will be shepherded “with a rod of iron”, which is His law, which He only ever gave to the children of Israel. The trampling of the wine vat mentioned in verse 15 represents that same harvest of parched and ripe grapes described in Revelation chapter 14.

There are several prophecies which indicate that the children of Israel shall be besieged by peoples of the other races at the day of Yahweh's wrath. Among these are Jeremiah 31, verses 27 through 30 (right before the promise of a New Covenant, which is not yet fully consummated): “27 Behold, the days come, saith the LORD, that I will sow the house of Israel and the house of Judah with the seed of man, and with the seed of beast. 28 And it shall come to pass, that like as I have watched over them, to pluck up, and to break down, and to throw down, and to destroy, and to afflict; so will I watch over them, to build, and to plant, saith the LORD. 29 In those days they shall say no more, The fathers have eaten a sour grape, and the children's teeth are set on edge. 30 But every one shall die for his own iniquity: every man that eateth the sour grape, his teeth shall be set on edge.” So it should be evident that the current situation, where all sorts of aliens are flooding into White lands, is a judgement from Yahweh. However just as Yahweh used the Assyrians and the Babylonians to chastise the children of Israel in ancient times, and then punished the Assyrians and Babylonians, so He shall punish these beasts which are flooding Israelite lands presently. Isaiah corroborates that these are indeed beasts, where he says at Isaiah 56:9-11: “9 All ye beasts of the field, come to devour, yea, all ye beasts in the forest. 10 His watchmen are blind: they are all ignorant, they are all dumb dogs, they cannot bark; sleeping, lying down, loving to slumber. 11 Yea, they are greedy dogs which can never have enough, and they are shepherds that cannot understand: they all look to their own way, every one for his gain, from his quarter.” While Israel is suffering a judgement from Yahweh, it is the watchmen of Israel who have allowed this to happen, seeking after their own bellies rather than guarding the true flock of God.

Ezekiel chapters 38 and 39 have a parallel in these chapters of the Revelation, and especially in chapter 20 which shall be discussed below. Ezekiel describes how Gog and Magog and many other nations with them are gathered against the children of Israel in the last days. Ezekiel 38:16 states that they “shalt come up against my people of Israel, as a cloud to cover the land; it shall be in the latter days, and I will bring thee against my land, that the heathen [or rather, the nations, the nations of Israel] may know me, when I shall be sanctified in thee, O Gog, before their eyes.” The language of Ezekiel chapter 39 will be compared with parts of Revelation chapters 19 and 20 below.

The Psalms tell us explicitly who Yahshua shall make war against at His return:

Psalm 79 [this Psalm reflects the attitude of a repentant Israel]: “1 O God, the heathen are come into thine inheritance; thy holy temple have they defiled; they have laid Jerusalem on heaps. 2 The dead bodies of thy servants have they given to be meat unto the fowls of the heaven, the flesh of thy saints unto the beasts of the earth. 3 Their blood have they shed like water round about Jerusalem; and there was none to bury them. 4 We are become a reproach to our neighbours, a scorn and derision to them that are round about us. 5 How long, LORD? wilt thou be angry for ever? shall thy jealousy burn like fire? 6 Pour out thy wrath upon the heathen that have not known thee [Amos 3:2 states explicitly that Yahweh has only known the children of Israel], and upon the kingdoms that have not called upon thy name. 7 For they have devoured Jacob, and laid waste his dwelling place. 8 O remember not against us former iniquities: let thy tender mercies speedily prevent us: for we are brought very low. 9 Help us, O God of our salvation, for the glory of thy name: and deliver us, and purge away our sins, for thy name's sake. 10 Wherefore should the heathen say, Where is their God? let him be known among the heathen in our sight by the revenging of the blood of thy servants which is shed. 11 Let the sighing of the prisoner come before thee; according to the greatness of thy power preserve thou those that are appointed to die [meaning the children of Israel who were under the law of the adulterous wife, but now have mercy through Christ]; 12 And render unto our neighbours sevenfold into their bosom their reproach, wherewith they have reproached thee, O Lord. 13 So we thy people and sheep of thy pasture

will give thee thanks for ever: we will shew forth thy praise to all generations. “ This same situation is being repeated all throughout Christendom at this very moment.

Psalm 118 [a Messianic prophecy for His second advent, and a prayer which all Israel should utter today]: 1 O give thanks unto the LORD; for he is good: because his mercy endureth for ever. 2 Let Israel now say, that his mercy endureth for ever. 3 Let the house of Aaron now say, that his mercy endureth for ever. 4 Let them now that fear the LORD say, that his mercy endureth for ever. 5 I called upon the LORD in distress: the LORD answered me, and set me in a large place. 6 The LORD is on my side; I will not fear: what can man do unto me? 7 The LORD taketh my part with them that help me: therefore shall I see my desire upon them that hate me. 8 It is better to trust in the LORD than to put confidence in man. 9 It is better to trust in the LORD than to put confidence in princes. [There is no political solution to our woes.] 10 All nations compassed me about: but in the name of the LORD will I destroy them. 11 They compassed me about; yea, they compassed me about: but in the name of the LORD I will destroy them. 12 They compassed me about like bees; they are quenched as the fire of thorns: for in the name of the LORD I will destroy them. [The “I” representing the Body of Christ.] 13 Thou hast thrust sore at me that I might fall: but the LORD helped me. 14 The LORD is my strength and song, and is become my salvation. 15 The voice of rejoicing and salvation is in the tabernacles of the righteous: the right hand of the LORD doeth valiantly. 16 The right hand of the LORD is exalted: the right hand of the LORD doeth valiantly. 17 I shall not die, but live, and declare the works of the LORD. 18 The LORD hath chastened me sore: but he hath not given me over unto death. 19 Open to me the gates of righteousness: I will go into them, and I will praise the LORD: 20 This gate of the LORD, into which the righteous shall enter. 21 I will praise thee: for thou hast heard me, and art become my salvation. 22 The stone which the builders refused is become the head stone of the corner. [The builders were those original Israelites who demanded an earthly king, sinfully rejecting Yahweh as their king.] 23 This is the LORD'S doing; it is marvellous in our eyes. 24 This is the day which the LORD hath made; we will rejoice and be glad in it. [The day in which all the other nations, that came against Israel, are destroyed.] 25

Save now, I beseech thee, O LORD: O LORD, I beseech thee, send now prosperity 26 Blessed be he that cometh in the name of the LORD: we have blessed you out of the house of the LORD. 27 God is the LORD, which hath shewed us light: bind the sacrifice with cords, even unto the horns of the altar. 28 Thou art my God, and I will praise thee: thou art my God, I will exalt thee. 29 O give thanks unto the LORD; for he is good: for his mercy endureth for ever.

Isaiah chapter 41, already cited above, also guarantees the complete destruction of all those who come against Israel in the later days. Here is a longer segment of that prophecy: “8 But thou, Israel, art my servant, Jacob whom I have chosen, the seed of Abraham my friend. 9 Thou whom I have taken from the ends of the earth, and called thee from the chief men thereof, and said unto thee, Thou art my servant; I have chosen thee, and not cast thee away. 10 Fear thou not; for I am with thee: be not dismayed; for I am thy God: I will strengthen thee; yea, I will help thee; yea, I will uphold thee with the right hand of my righteousness. 11 Behold, all they that were incensed against thee shall be ashamed and confounded: they shall be as nothing; and they that strive with thee shall perish. 12 Thou shalt seek them, and shalt not find them, even them that contended with thee: they that war against thee shall be as nothing, and as a thing of nought. 13 For I the LORD thy God will hold thy right hand, saying unto thee, Fear not; I will help thee. 14 Fear not, thou worm Jacob, and ye men of Israel; I will help thee, saith the LORD, and thy redeemer, the Holy One of Israel. 15 Behold, I will make thee a new sharp threshing instrument having teeth: thou shalt thresh the mountains, and beat them small, and shalt make the hills as chaff. 16 Thou shalt fan them, and the wind shall carry them away, and the whirlwind shall scatter them: and thou shalt rejoice in the LORD, and shalt glory in the Holy One of Israel. 17 When the poor and needy seek water, and there is none, and their tongue faileth for thirst, I the LORD will hear them, I the God of Israel will not forsake them. 18 I will open rivers in high places, and fountains in the midst of the valleys: I will make the wilderness a pool of water, and the dry land springs of water.” In Micah chapter 4, a chapter about this very nation, the United States, as has been discussed throughout this interpretation of the Revelation several times already, Yahweh states through the prophet, at verse 13: “Arise and thresh, O

daughter of Zion: for I will make thine horn iron, and I will make thy hoofs brass: and thou shalt beat in pieces many people: and I will consecrate their gain unto the LORD, and their substance unto the Lord of the whole earth.” This language is very similar to that passage just cited from Isaiah.

19 And I saw the beast and the kings of the earth and their armies gathered to make war with Him sitting upon the horse and with His army. 20 And He seized the beast, and with him the false prophet who had been making signs before him, by which he deceived those having received the inscribed mark of the beast and who worshipped his image. These two are cast alive into the lake of fire, burning with sulfur. 21 And those remaining were slain by the sword of Him sitting upon the horse, which came from out of His mouth, and all the birds ate their fill from their flesh.

Those remaining were slain by the sword of Him sitting upon the horse, which came from out of His mouth, and all the birds ate their fill from their flesh. Here it is fitting to review parts of Ezekiel chapter 39, since much of the language is similar to what is found in Revelation chapters 19 and 20, and it is surely a prophecy of the same events which we look forward to now. Ezekiel chapter 38 foretells of the nations arrayed against the children of Israel, and how they come in like a cloud to cover the land. That may or may not be describing a military invasion. The phrase “come in like a cloud” may describe their coming in covertly, as western immigration policy has allowed in tens of millions of aliens under the guise of peace, in the name of fraternity, equality, and liberty – those false ideals espoused by the beast and by the false prophet. The beast and the false prophet are two different aspects of the same enemy: the beast is the jew of international finance, and the false prophet is the jew of rabbinical Talmudism: satan himself posing as the chosen of



God, promoting all of the desires of his father the devil.

Certain parts of Ezekiel chapter 39 seem to indicate that it is indeed describing a military invasion, however that is not a requirement for a realization of the prophecy. All of these alien peoples have already come in like a cloud to cover the land of all of the nations of the earth inhabited by the children of Israel. It has been done under the pretenses of multiculturalism, diversity, globalism, open borders, immigration, workers' rights, and so on. Yet in the minds of the aliens themselves, they are not immigrants, but conquerors, and jewish propaganda conceals the aliens' true sentiments.

Ezekiel 39: "1 Therefore, thou son of man, prophesy against Gog, and say, Thus saith the Lord GOD; Behold, I am against thee, O Gog, the chief prince of Meshech and Tubal: 2 And I will turn thee back, and leave but the sixth part of thee, and will cause thee to come up from the north parts, and will bring thee upon the mountains of Israel: 3 And I will smite thy bow out of thy left hand, and will cause thine arrows to fall out of thy right hand." Meshech and Tubal are the Slavic Japhethite peoples of Russia.

It can be established that, there being no Gog in historic times, the name is an epithet for the Ashkenazi jew, who came from southern Russia into the west, and under the guise of peace and commerce has operated a criminal enterprise throughout Christendom for many centuries now. It was jews in France who were behind the French Revolution, which set the stage for all subsequent European wars and revolutions, and both Sephardic and Ashkenazi jews have participated in fostering these upheavals – so that all jewry may share in the spoils. The Ashkenazi jew took over Russia in the Bolshevik Revolution, and he was financed by the jews in London and New York. While it appears that Marxist Communism and the Capitalism of the West are opposed to one another, they are actually in league with one another, and the Ashkenazi jew is operating in partnership with his Sephardic cousins. Therefore Gog is Ezekiel's term for the eighth beast of Revelation chapter 18, which is world jewry. Having

Therefore Gog is Ezekiel's term for the eighth beast of Revelation chapter 18, which is world jewry. Having infiltrated 19th-century Christianity and having poisoned its doctrines with the Bullinger and Scofield Bibles and other such works, now most of the true Christian Israel peoples of the world worship this beast.

infiltrated 19th-century Christianity and having poisoned its doctrines with the Bullinger and Scofield Bibles and other such works, now most of the true Christian Israel peoples of the world worship this beast. This is the beast which gathers all of the nations to battle against the children of Israel, which is also described in Revelation chapter 20.

Ezekiel 39: "4 Thou shalt fall upon the mountains of Israel, thou, and all thy bands, and the people that is with thee: I will give thee unto the ravenous birds of every sort, and to the beasts of the field to be devoured." This describes the jew, and all of the aliens

who have immigrated into Western lands at the instigation of the jew. By the hand of God they shall all be destroyed here. As it is described at Revelation chapter 19 verse 21, "those remaining [who had made war with Him sitting upon the white horse] were slain by the sword of Him sitting upon the horse, which came from out of His mouth, and all the birds ate their fill from their flesh." So it is evident that the Wedding Feast of the Lamb is the destruction of all of His enemies in the last day - all of those who would come against the children of Israel - and that Revelation 19 and 20 are discussing the same things prophesied by Ezekiel.

Ezekiel 39: "5 Thou shalt fall upon the open field: for I have spoken it, saith the Lord GOD. 6 And I will send a fire on Magog, and among them that dwell carelessly in the isles: and they shall know that I am the LORD. 7 So will I make my holy name known in the midst of my people Israel; and I will not let them pollute my holy name any more: and the heathen [or nations, i.e. nations of Israel] shall know that I am the LORD, the Holy One in Israel. 8 Behold, it is come, and it is done, saith the Lord GOD; this is the day whereof I have spoken. 9 And they that dwell in the cities of Israel shall go forth, and shall set on fire and burn the weapons, both the shields and the bucklers, the bows and the arrows, and the handstaves, and the spears, and they shall burn them with fire seven years: 10 So that they shall take no wood out of the field, neither cut down any out of the forests; for they shall burn the weapons with fire: and they shall spoil those that spoiled them, and rob those that robbed them,

saith the Lord GOD. 11 And it shall come to pass in that day, that I will give unto Gog a place there of graves in Israel, the valley of the passengers on the east of the sea: and it shall stop the noses of the passengers: and there shall they bury Gog and all his multitude [which are all of the other races accompanying the beast]: and they shall call it The valley of Hamongog. 12 And seven months shall the house of Israel be burying of them, that they may cleanse the land. 13 Yea, all the people of the land shall bury them; and it shall be to them a renown the day that I shall be glorified, saith the Lord GOD. 14 And they shall sever out men of continual employment, passing through the land to bury with the passengers those that remain upon the face of the earth, to cleanse it: after the end of seven months shall they search.” Yahweh God shall be glorified by

eliminating all of the aliens among us, just as He was glorified by eliminating all the first-born of Egypt. All of those peoples who come against the children of Israel in the last days – those “beasts” which the house of Israel and the house of Judah are sown with, as described by Jeremiah, the “beasts of the forest” who arise and devour the people of God because their dumb-dog pastors do not know any better, as described by Isaiah, they shall all be destroyed here. All of these aliens pillaging the lands of Christendom today shall all be destroyed here. There is no mincing words here: this is the Gospel of Christ as found in the Revelation and in Ezekiel chapters 38 and 39, it is the Word of God, and to be ashamed of these words is to be ashamed of Him – and to invoke His shame upon us. Those false pastors who teach a Gospel other than what has been received, they are accursed.

Sylvia Stolz - The Reality of Free Speech

[transcript](#) extract from full post at mk.christogenea.org

Let's turn to the legal passages. First of all the ones within German Law - In paragraph 130 section 3 according to which so-called 'holocaust deniers' are fined or imprisoned up to 5 years for each singular offence. In this paragraph there is no mention of the holocaust itself. It is not defined in the law as such. Instead it refers to paragraph 6 section 1 of international law. And here we find a definition of 'genocide'. And whoever denies that such a 'genocide' has occurred, commits an offence, provided that additional criteria are met, such as disturbance of the public order.

But what I would like to emphasize is the definition of 'genocide' in paragraph 6. It is very brief. I'll give an excerpt. It is defined as 'genocide' when 'ONE member' of an ethnic, religious or other group is 'killed with the intention of causing 'the deliberate and systematic destruction, in whole or in part, of an ethnic, racial, religious or national group' This means that if just one member of say, a religious group is killed, and the perpetrator intended to kill a part of or the whole group. Then it constitutes 'genocide', according to this definition.

Let us now turn to the question of how it should be defined in order to be clear. Normally in cases of murder, a verdict contains the established facts of the police investigation, such as where and when, which weapon was involved, the name of the perpetrators and so on. All this is included in the judgment, after being demonstrated by the prosecution that, say 'this was the murder weapon' because it carries

the fingerprints of the perpetrator and so on. These things must be stated in the judgment. In cases of 'holocaust denial', we are dealing with a criminal denial of murder, and then of course we would expect to find the details of that murder spelled out too. Otherwise we have no idea, what the accused actually denied. This is the problem, there is no clarity when it comes to what was denied specifically. There should be at least one case against a holocaust denier in which the specifics of the related crime have been demonstrated and specified. I know of no such verdict.

There are no details concerning the crime-scenes, the method of killing, the number of victims, the time-frame of the killings, the perpetrators, the corpses. We have no physical trace of a killing. The testimonies are not specified, neither are the documents or similar kinds of evidence. The intention to destroy all or part of jewry under national-socialist rule has not been demonstrated anywhere. There are no documents showing any prior decisions, plans or orders. When it comes to the trial of holocaust deniers, we do not find these things specified. Neither do we find any references to other verdicts, in which all these things could have been stated. This is the problem. As long as the court will not commit to certain specified crime-scenes on which these mass-killings are supposed to have happened; as long as the court will not commit to at least one specified piece of evidence, as long as this remains the case, these mass-killings simply cannot be demonstrated. And even less so the 'denial' of said mass-killings.

Special Notice to All Who Deny Two-Seedline

Part 19

Clifton A Emahiser



With this *Special Notice*, we again focus on the world's greatest problem which has now been with us for over 7,000 years. We are confronted with this great issue every day of our lives, and we face it every direction we turn. Try as we may, it cannot be avoided. And while we attempt to deal with this subject in a rational

manner, there are hecklers on the sidelines ridiculing our efforts. They use every opportunity to belittle and mock the endeavors of those who expose the nature of the enemy. Top among these, at the present time, is Ted R. Weiland[TRW]. Up until Weiland, Stephen E. Jones held first place. You may think it is inappropriate to point fingers and name names, but TRW in his book *Eve, Did She Or Didn't She?* instructed me to point fingers. Let's see what he said on page 1:

"If the seedliners' assessment of the events in the Garden of Eden can be proven scripturally correct, then no matter how unorthodox or unpopular this doctrine may be, we are duty bound as adherents of the Word of God to accept and teach it ... Spiritual leaders are admonished by the Scriptures to address false doctrine, especially doctrine injurious to the gospel of Yahshua the Christ — Titus 1:7-14."

What Weiland had in mind with this passage was to use it to justify his own personally contrived point of view. This passage says in part: "*Wherefore rebuke them sharply, that they may be sound in the faith.*" That is exactly what I have been doing in this *Special Notice* series! The anti-seedliner's position is totally irresponsible, and before we are finished, we will see how spurious their claim is.

Early in his book on page 2, TRW plays with words in an attempt to discredit the Two Seed doctrine of

Genesis 3:15. Of the words he toys with, "fact, theory and hypothesis", he settles on the latter. In his effort to play a game with words, he forgets the most important element; that being to check his premise. It doesn't matter how many cunning words one might use, if the premise is not correct, the conclusion will be false. As we will see later, Weiland didn't check his premise. Not only does TRW characterize the truth of Genesis 3:15 as being an hypothesis, he also accuses the Two Seedliners, page 2: "... *who proceed to ignore clear textual intent, who disregard the principles of Hebrew and Greek idioms and the rules of consistency, and who assume a literal interpretation of clearly non-literal statements.*" If anyone is disregarding Hebrew and Greek, it's TRW! He disregarded the Greek when he scoffed at John 8:44 where our Savior said directly to the 'Jews' "*Ye are of your father the devil.*" The Greek is clearly in the genitive case, meaning "sons of a father", as I covered in *Special Notice #1*.

In addition to his charge that we disregard the principles of Hebrew and Greek, Weiland accuses the Two Seedliners of misinterpreting literal and non-literal Hebrew and Greek idioms. Then on page 3, Weiland says: "*However, as Bible students already know, there are no scriptures that expressly teach any of these false conclusions.*" Inasmuch, as he demands the Scriptures to teach "*expressly*", is proof positive that Weiland himself has a total disregard for Hebrew and Greek idioms concerning Two Seedline doctrine, or any other doctrine. Again, he makes the same allegation on page 7 where he says, "*If these statements were true, certainly God would have inspired His writers to warn His people of these dangers somewhere in the Bible.*" Weiland evidently forgets that Matthew 13:34-35 says: "*All these things spake Jesus unto the multitude in parables; and without a parable spake he not unto them. That it might be fulfilled which was spoken by the prophet ...*" Speaking in parables is hardly speaking "*expressly.*" This is why TRW has a total disregard for the parable of the wheat and the tares in Matthew 13, as he

demands it to be rendered “*expressly*”. Not only did Yahshua speak in parables, but all the prophets did likewise. So, if Weiland expects the Scriptures to be “explicit”, he is under an illusion. It seems, whether a Scripture is literal or non-literal, depends on how the all-wise Weiland interprets it. Is that “rebuke” sharp enough (Titus 1:13)? It also appears, according to his book on page 1, that he is the only one authorized to give a “rebuke”!

TRW, and all the other anti-seedliners, continue to denounce and down-play the fact that nowhere in Scripture is Adam recorded as the father of Cain. They neglect to observe that in Genesis 3:15, Adam is left totally out of the equation. Had Adam’s name been mentioned in that verse, it would have said that “the seed of Adam would bruise the head of the serpent.” Therefore, the “enmity” was between Eve and the serpent alone. Thus the anti-seedliners unwittingly, and effectively, change the reading of Genesis 3:15 from the “woman” to “Adam.” They further fail to perceive that Cain’s genealogy is treated separately in Genesis 4:17-24, while Adam’s is recorded in chapter 5:1-32.

In order to support their hypothesis, it would be necessary to rewrite most of the Bible, or interpret much of it out of context. There is good reason why Cain is never recorded as Adam’s son. Where it says in Genesis 5:1 “This *is* the book of the generations of Adam ...”, and does not include specifically his supposed “first-born son”, it would be a total lie, and our Bible would be blatantly untrustworthy. You will notice that Genesis 38:3-5 truthfully and honestly mentions Er, Onan and Shelah, the first three sons of Judah by a Canaanite [non-Israelite] woman. Not only are those three half-breed sons of Judah mentioned there but also at Genesis 38:6-8; 46:12; Numbers 26:19-20 and 1 Chronicles 2:3. Oh, the anti-seedliners will wrangle, “Cain was unworthy to be mentioned”! Question: Who could be more unworthy than Er, Onan and Shelah?

The anti-seedliners completely overlook the significance of Seth being a replacement for Abel, not Cain. Even if Cain was disqualified for the murder of Abel, which he was not (for that reason), Seth would then have had to have been a replacement for Cain, not Abel. Again, the anti-seedliners are ignoring the importance of the Biblical Law of the First-born Son.

But, after all, they know better than the Almighty! The Hebrew says, “in place of Abel”, not Cain. According to the Aramaic *Targum of Jonathan*, Genesis 4:1 should read something like the following: (The italics are from that Targum.):


“And Adam knew his wife Eve, *who was pregnant by Sammael [Satan]*, and she conceived and bare Cain, *and he was like the heavenly beings, and not like earthly beings*, and she said, I have gotten a man from *the angel of the Lord.*”

“Oh”, you say, “every word in the Bible is God-breathed.” Yes, the original was, but we don’t have an original God-breathed copy today. To prove to you there are omissions in various passages, I will show you an example that was found in the Dead Sea Scrolls. This information comes from the book *Understanding The Dead Sea Scrolls* edited by Hershel Shanks, pages 160 -161. The scroll is designated 4QSam^a, and was found in cave #4. The passage is 1 Samuel 11:1-3. The following in italics is the part missing in our present Bibles:

“[Na]hash, *king of the children of Ammon, sorely oppressed the children of Gad and the children of Reuben, and he gouged out a[ll] their right eyes and struck ter[r]or and dread* in Israel. *There was not left one among the children of Israel bey[ond the Jordan who]se right eye was no[t put o]ut by Naha[sh king] of the children of Ammon; except that seven thousand men [fled from] the children of [A]mmon and entered [J]abesh-Gilead. About a month later Nahash the Ammonite went up and besieged Jabesh-Gilead. All the men of Jabesh-Gilead said to Nahash, ‘Make a treaty with us and we shall become your subjects.’ Nahash the Ammonite replied to them, ‘On this condition I shall make a treaty with you, that all your right eyes be gouged out, so that I may bring humiliation on all Israel,’ The elders at Jabesh said to him, ‘Give us seven days to send messengers throughout the territory of Israel. If no one rescues us, we shall surrender to you.’”*

This chapter in this book was written by Frank Moore Cross (a member of the staff editing the Qumrân Dead Sea manuscripts), and he explains how he thinks the omission came about: “The missing paragraph was lost probably as a result of a scribal lapse — the scribe’s eye jumped from one line break to the other, both beginning with Nahash as subject.” This is very

understandable, for I too have made this same kind of error when typing, for when I read back what I have quoted from some book, often I will inadvertently skip a line of the text. You can be sure, if it happened once, as you see here, it has occurred in other places. The Interpreter's Bible has pointed out that Genesis 4:1 doesn't make any sense. When the evidence in the Aramaic targums are considered, Genesis 4:1 makes all the sense in the world. As I covered that in other *Special Notices*, I will not elaborate further here.



Saul rescues Jabesh Gilead

Since the full text has now been restored to 1 Samuel 11, the entire chapter comes to life. We now know that some seven thousand surviving Israelite warriors from Gad and Reuben, after their defeat by Nahash's forces, escaped and found shelter north of the territory of Ammon near the Jabbok River in the Gileadite city of Jabesh. About a month after their escape, Nahash decided to enslave Jabesh-Gilead for sheltering these runaway "subjects." Thus, we can see the motivation for Nahash's assailing Jabesh-Gilead far north of his usual declared borders, a Gileadite city affiliated with Benjamin and Saul.

This new found discovery of missing text from the Dead Sea Scrolls explains the reason why Nahash

attacked Jabesh-Gilead; and additionally, why he insisted on the removal of the right eye as a condition for their surrender. It was not unusual, for those who harbored enemies in those days, to be punished in this manner. By the same token, Nahash named his own punishment. Upon receiving the news, Saul the Benjamite, being enraged, took immediate action by rallying the western tribes, crossing the Jordan as an Israelite militia, "slaughtered the Ammonites until the heat of day." That great victory, on the part of the leadership of Saul, brought about his kingship over the whole of Israel. Thus was sealed the Ammonite's fate

....

The antichrist anti-seedliners, like Weiland and company, are so busy making pretzels of the Scriptures that they don't have time to research these things. They seem more intent on rewriting The Word to fit their own personally contrived misconstrued concepts. They twist Genesis 3: 15 into a pretzel to mean the "spirit against the flesh." They also pretzelize Genesis 3:15 by making the "serpent's seed" spiritual, while assigning the "seed of the woman" to be physical ("seed" in both instances being the same Hebrew word, #2233). They pretzelize the parable of the wheat and the tares by their hocus-pocus reasoning to mean "spiritually righteous and unrighteous people" instead of genetic "wheat-people" and "weed-people." They pretzelize the "tree of life" and the "tree of knowledge of good and evil" to mean wooden trees rather than family trees. They pretzelize Genesis 4:1 to make Cain a son of Adam. They pretzelize the Greek word #1537 translated "of" or "from" to mean "spiritual offspring" in John 8:44 rather than the stock or family from which one is derived. They pretzelize Matthew 23:35 to mean the "blood of Abel" was somehow "spiritual" rather than Cain physically murdering Abel. They pretzelize John 3:3 to mean "born again" instead of being born of the correct race, (*Strong's* Greek #1080 & #1085). They pretzelize 2 Corinthians 11:3 to mean mental seduction rather than physical seduction. They pretzelize both the words "eat" (#398) and "touch" (#5060), as used in Genesis 3:3, to literally mean to consume food, while Proverbs 6:29; 9:17; 30:20; Genesis 20:6; 26:10-11 prove otherwise. They pretzelize Rev. 2:9 & 3:9 by making the impostor "Jews" mentioned there, full blood brothers to Abel rather than fathered by Satan. They pretzelize the

passages about Judas Iscariot, making him an ordinary person rather than a genetic “devil.” They pretzelize 1 John 3:12 by spiritualizing the father of Cain rather than properly identifying him physically as “of the wicked one”; Satan. In spite of evidence otherwise, they pretzelize John 8:23 & 38 to mean that the Redeemer and those “Jews” had the same father, again by claiming it is speaking “spiritually” rather than physically. In short, they continually pretzelize both the context and the letter of the original languages in order to support their warped hypothesis.

There is probably no better example of pretzel-izing Scripture than Jeffrey A. Weakley in his book *The Satanic Seedline, Its Doctrine and History*; in portions taken from pages 4-9. Since I have pointed out how these antichrist anti-seedliners do this, see if you can detect this in the following segments from his book:

“... From the above, I find it difficult to believe that this tree from which Eve obtained the fruit was anything other than a tree. food: (*ma’akal*) ► an eatable food (including provender, flesh and fruit), fruit, victual (*Strong’s Concordance*) ► food, especially corn, fruit tree, sheep to be killed. (*Gesenius’ Lexicon*) ... ► desire, pleasant, lust, greed, dainty, desirable, has the meaning of desire extending to both good and bad objects. (*Theological Wordbook of the Old Testament* by R. Laird Harris). This Hebrew word is translated 26 times in the Old Testament as: ‘pleasant’, ‘utmost bound’, ‘lusting’, ‘lust’, ‘dainty’, ‘desire’, ‘lusted exceedingly’ and ‘coveteth greedily.’ This word is neutral in our discussion. It does not prove the point one way or the other as it does not indicate what the object being desired is. Nonetheless, I put this here so that the reader can see how to fairly treat a neutral word. took (*laqach*) ► to take (a primary root) accept, bring, buy, carry away, fetch, get, seize, etc. (*Strong’s Concordance*) ► to take, to take with the hand, to lay hold of, to take away, to take possession of, to take captive, to send after, to fetch, to bring, to receive. (*Gesenius’ Lexicon*) ► take (get, fetch), lay hold of (seize), receive, acquire (buy), bring, marry (take a

They pretzelize both the words “eat” (#398) and “touch” (#5060), as used in Genesis 3:3, to literally mean to consume food, while Proverbs 6:29; 9:17; 30:20; Genesis 20:6; 26:10-11 prove otherwise. They pretzelize Rev. 2:9 & 3:9 by making the impostor “Jews” mentioned there, full blood brothers to Abel rather than fathered by Satan.

wife), snatch (take away). (*Theological Wordbook of the Old Testament* by R. Laird Harris). This Hebrew word is translated over 100 times in the King James Version as: ‘take’, ‘taken’, ‘took’, ‘fetch’, ‘receive’, ‘accept’, ‘bring’, ‘married’, ‘have (wife)’, ‘brought’ etc. With this word seedliners will be quick to point out that it is something translated as ‘marry’ or ‘have to wife.’ I have bad news for these people. The idea of marry and have to wife is the possession of a wife — NOT SEXUAL RELATIONS! So the idea expresses

when Eve ‘took’ the fruit was that she took possession of it. This word does *not* indicate that she was participating in sexual intercourse. fruit (*periy*) ► fruit (lit. or fig.) bough, firstfruit, reward, (*Strong’s Concordance*) ► (1) fruit whether of the field or of a tree, Metaph[or], used of the result of labor. (2) offspring. (*Gesenius’ Lexicon*) ► fruit, as a verb — make fruitful — to increase — to multiply, the fruit of a tree/vine, the fruit of the womb (children), fruit as consequences resulting from an action (reward). (*Theological Wordbook of the Old Testament* edited by R. Laird Harris). This Hebrew word is used over 100 times in the King James Version and is translated as: ‘fruit(s)’, ‘fruitful’,


‘reward.’ The meaning of this word is clear in this verse as we have already established that tree means (of all things) tree — thus fruit in this verse means fruit of a tree, eat (*akal*) ► to eat (lit. or fig.) consume, devour, burn up, dine, eat up, feed (food). (*Strong’s Concordance*) ► (1) to eat, to devour (food); to eat of a land, a field, a vine; to eat of its produce or fruit; to take food, to take a meal, to dine or sup, to feast (used of sacrificial banquets), to devour people (the poor), to destroy by war and slaughter. (2) to devour, to consume (fire). (3) to enjoy (good fortune, fruit of actions and sexual pleasures). (*Gesenius’ Lexicon*) ► eat, consume, devour, burn up and feed. (*Theological Wordbook of the Old Testament* edited by R. Laird Harris). This Hebrew word is used over 100 times and is translated as ‘eat’, ‘eaten’, ‘consumed’, ‘at meat’, ‘devoured’ etc. Once again the seedliners will be quick to point out that this word can be used of sexual pleasures. While this is true, it is only true

when used in that context. In the present case the context is that of an actual ‘tree’ with ‘fruit’ and thus ‘eat’ rightly means the consumption of food (not sexual pleasures). So we see that only by incorrectly defining words can Genesis 3:6 be taken to support the view that Eve was sexually seduced. Now we will look at Genesis 3:13: ‘And the LORD God said unto the woman, What is this that thou hast done? And the woman said, The serpent beguiled me, and I did eat.’ The understanding of this verse hangs on the word ‘beguiled.’ Have the seedliners been honest with this word? What does it mean? beguiled (*nasha*) ► to lead astray, i.e. (mentally) to delude, or (morally) to seduce, beguile, deceive. (*Strong’s Concordance*) ► to err, to go astray (kindred to the verb ‘to forget’) to lead into error, to cause to go astray, to deceive, to seduce, to corrupt. (*Gesenius’ Lexicon*) ► beguiled, deceive; This verb is used mainly in the sense of ‘lead astray, seduce, mislead, deceive’, even for self-deception (Jer. 37:9). (*Theological Wordbook of the Old Testament* edited by R. Laird Harris). This Hebrew word in its various forms is used 16 times and is translated in the King James Version as: ► 1 time — ‘utterly forget’ ► 1 time — ‘seize (Ps. 55:15-16)’ ► 1 time — ‘beguiled’ ► 13 times — ‘deceive’ or ‘deceived.’ The seedliners will insist that it be translated ‘seduced’ and they define it as a physical sexual seduction because the English word ‘seduce’ can mean that. But can the word ‘deceive’ mean sexual seduction? Is it not proper to take the three definitions given as synonyms? As a matter of fact, I give more than one definition for every word because each source was written by fallible man and therefore could be wrong (as is the case for Dr. Strong: when he defines the word ‘Gentile’ in the New Testament his theology causes him to give a clearly impossible definition). In any case, the Biblical principle is to have all evidence verified by two or more witnesses. When all these definitions are taken together as synonyms, the conclusion one comes to (if he is seeking to be honest) is that Eve was deceived in the mind. NOT SEXUALLY SEDUCED! This is verified in three ways. ► 1. The context established in Genesis 3:6 does not include sexual intercourse. ► 2. The word ‘eat’ in Genesis 3:6 is the same word ‘eat’ in Genesis 3:13. ► 3. The New Testament explains this same event in 2 Cor. 11:3 ‘But I fear, lest by any means, as the serpent beguiled Eve through his

subtlety, so your minds should be corrupted from the simplicity that is in Christ.’ The word ‘so’ in the above verse can be properly rendered ‘in like manner.’ It is clear that this verse indicates that Eve’s mind was wholly deceived. So the first point of the Satanic Seedline doctrine does not agree with the Scriptures — Eve was not sexually seduced, but rather was mentally deceived. The next point of the Satanic Seedline doctrine is that Cain was the product of the alleged sexual encounter that Eve had in the garden. To examine this, let’s turn to Genesis 4:1: ‘And Adam knew Eve his wife; and she conceived, and bare Cain, and said, I have gotten a man from the LORD.’ Once again the meaning of this verse will become clear by looking up some words. knew (*yada*) ► to know (prop. to ascertain by seeing); used in a great variety of senses, fig[uratively], lit[erally], euphem[ism], etc. (*Strong’s Concordance*) ► to perceive, to acquire knowledge, to know, to be acquainted. (1) to know, to perceive, to be aware of, to understand. (2) to get to know, to discover, to experience. (3) to become acquainted with (a euphemism for sexual intercourse, i.e., to lie with). (4) to have knowledge of. (5) to foresee, to expect. (6) to turn the mind to, to care for, to see about. (7) to be knowing or wise. (8) to be or become known. (9) to make to know, to show, to teach. (*Gesenius’ Lexicon*) ► know, is used in every stem and expresses a multitude of shades of knowledge gained by the senses ... It is also used for sexual intercourse on the part of both men and women in the well-known euphemism ‘Adam knew Eve his wife’ and parallels (Gen 4:1; 19:8; Num. 31:17, 35; Jud. 11:39; 21:11; 1 Kings 1:4; 1 Sam. 1:19). It is used to describe sexual perversions such as sodomy (Gen. 19: 5; Jud. 19:22) and rape (Jud. 19:25) ... to distinguish ... to have knowledge ... etc. (*Theological Wordbook of the Old Testament* edited by R. Laird Harris) This word is used over 500 times in the Old Testament and is translated in the King James Version as ‘knew’, ‘know’, ‘known’, ‘perceived’, ‘wot’, ‘knowest’, ‘wotteth’, ‘can tell’, ‘sure’, ‘wist’, ‘understand’, ‘had knowledge’, ‘consider’, ‘was aware’, ‘learned’, etc. This word is clearly being used as a euphemism meaning ‘sexual intercourse’ because from this Eve ‘conceived’ and ‘bare’ a son. The one who had intercourse with Eve was Adam. The son produced was Cain. Cain is clearly the son of Adam ... Thus we have seen in clear and honest study that Cain

was the son of Adam and Eve. Therefore Point 2 of the seedline doctrine (i.e., Cain was the product of Eve's sexual encounter with Satan) is shown to be inconsistent with the Scriptures."

If you have followed Weakley's documentation and comments very carefully, you will notice he does more to verify Two Seedline rather than disprove it. Under the word "desired" Weakley said: "*pleasant, lust, greed, dainty, desirable, has the meaning of desire extending to both good and bad objects.*" Then he said it didn't prove anything, implying that "lust" couldn't be applied to that word. Under the word "took" he said this: "*take (get, fetch), lay hold of (seize), receive, acquire (buy), bring, marry (take a wife), snatch (take away) ... 'have wife.'*" Then he turns around and says it doesn't mean that! 1 Corinthians 6:16 says "... know ye not that he which is joined to an harlot is one body, for two, saith he, shall be one flesh." Therefore, when Satan seduced Eve, in that union, they became "one flesh" as in marriage. Then on the word "beguiled" Weakley said: "*(morally) to seduce ... [from] Strong's.*" How can Weakley deny that "morally to seduce" cannot apply to unlawful sexual intercourse? Yet he does. It seems that whether or not something has sexual connotations, depends on how Weakley interprets it. In the case of Eve and Satan, he says "no." In the case of Eve and Adam, he says "yes." He is wrong on both counts, unless Abel is included in the latter. In short, Weakley is arguing that Eve had a thought that killed. If that position is true, why didn't Yahweh tell her to change her mind? Therefore, it had to be something that could not be corrected by reversing the thought pattern. If a thought can kill, as Weakley implies, we are all in trouble. After all, Genesis 3:13 asks the question: "What *is* this *that* thou hast done?" Had it been a mental crime the question would have been: "What is this that thou hast thought."



The word "done" in that verse is #6213, and in both *Strong's* and *Gesenius'* has nothing to do with anything mental, and has everything to do with "to produce or create." In fact, *Gesenius'*, under #6213, includes a definition with sexual connotations:

"PIEL, *to work*, or to press immodestly the breasts of a woman, i. q. ... Ezek. 23:3, 8, and in Kal [Ezek. 23:] verse 21 ... So Gr. ποιῆν, and Lat. *facere, perficere, conficere mulierem*, as a euphemism for sexual intercourse, see Fesselii Advers. Sacra, lib. ii. cap. 23." [Emphasis mine]

This last definition really blows Weakley and all the antichrist, anti-seedliners clean out of their fabricated, theological, polluted water!

*A patriot must always be ready to defend his country
against his government*

~ Edward Abbey

Witness to History

Chapter Three – The Jews in Germany

Michael Walsh

After the great war (1914-18) had come to an end, the distress prevalent in Eastern Europe, coupled with other causes, induced large numbers of those of Jewish race to cross the German frontiers and to take up residence in Prussia, where a Herr. Badt, himself a Jew, managed to obtain an official position authorising him to control all matters relative to immigration and naturalisation. He saw to it that those sharing his race secured easy access to Germany, whilst at the same time the West European and overseas countries imposed far-reaching restrictions on immigration. These newcomers began to concentrate themselves in the major cities and organised from them the systematic infiltration and control of the German nation.

A few statistics may be helpful to show the extent to which these non-Germans gradually succeeded in spreading their influence upon important professions and in various allied domains.

In reading these statistics it is important to remember that those of Jewish race formed just 1% of the total population.

UNIVERSITY TEACHERS

BERLIN and GOTTINGEN:

Medicine 45% Jewish, Mathematics 34% Jewish,
Medicine 34% Jewish

BRESLAU:

Arts 40% Jewish, Law 47% Jewish, Arts 25% Jewish,
Medicine 45% Jewish.

KONIGSBERG:

Law 48% Jewish, Arts 7% Jewish, Law 14% Jewish,
Medicine 25% Jewish.



JEWISH LAWYERS (1928)
Dortmund 29%, Hamburg 25%, Stuttgart 26%,
Dusseldorf 33%,
Karlsruhe 36%, Beuthen 60%,
Frankfurt 64%, Stettin 36%

JEWISH LAWYERS (1933)
Berlin 55%, Berlin Chamber
of Attorneys 66%, Breslau
67%

JEWISH MEDICAL PRACTITIONERS (1928)
Wiesbaden 20%, Karlsruhe 26%, Cologne 27%,
Mainz 30%, Gotha 31%, Beuthen 36%, Berlin 52%

BERLIN HOSPITALS IN JEWISH HANDS
Moabit 56%, Friedrichshain 63%, Neukolln 67%

THE THEATRE AND FILM INDUSTRY

"1931, of 234 theatre managers 50.4% were members of the Jewish race. In Berlin the figure rises to 80%. Jews wrote not less than 75% of all plays prior to Hitler's election. In the film industry too, the Jewish influence predominated." (The periodical 'Schonere Zukunft' ~ A Brighter Future ~ February, 3rd, 1929)

This was the period when Berlin had an international reputation for theatrical seediness, debasement and pornography. In Mein Kampf Hitler stated:

"The share of Jews in the modern film industry is so decisive that a very slight percentage is left available for non-Jewish undertakings.

"One needed only to look at the posters announcing the hideous productions of the cinema and theatre, and study the names of the authors who were highly lauded there in order to become permanently adamant on Jewish questions.

"Here was a pestilence, a moral pestilence from which the public was being infected. It was worse than the Black Plague of long ago.

"And in what mighty doses this poison was manufactured and distributed. Naturally, the lower the

moral and intellectual level of such an author of artistic products the more inexhaustible his fecundity. Sometimes it went so far that one of these fellows, acting like a sewage pump, would shoot his filth directly in the face of other members of the human race....

"It was a terrible thought, and yet it could not be avoided, that the greater number of Jews seemed specially designed by Nature to play this shameful part.

"The fact that nine-tenths of all the smutty literature, artistic tripe and theatrical banalities, had to be charged to the account of people who formed scarcely one per cent of the nation ~ that fact could not be gainsaid. It was there. It had to be admitted." (Adolf Hitler. Mein Kampf. Ibid.42)

THE STOCK EXCHANGE

Committees on the Berlin Stock Exchange

Stocks and Shares 69%, Metal Exchange 83%, Produce Exchange 75%, Futures 83%, Administration 80%, Official List 87%

In 1928, it was revealed that just fifteen Jews between them had occupied 718 board positions. Of leading positions in industry there were ten Jews to every five non-Jews.

LEADING POSITIONS IN COMMERCE

(Employed as Workers)

Berlin: 49.4% (2.4%) Frankfurt: 48.9% (1.9%)

Cologne: 49.6% (2.9%) Breslau: 57.1% (1.8%)

POLITICAL INFLUENCE

Of the Social Democratic Party's 39 Representatives, 38 were of Jewish race. The Workers Educational Institutes comprised 81% of Jewish. Karl Marx (real name Karl Modecai Levi) and Friedrich Engels, the godfathers of Communism were Jewish, as was Leon Trotsky (real name Lev Bronstein).

GOVERNMENT

In November, 1918, Jews had seized control of the following states; Hirsch, (Haase and Herzfeld. Prussia), Eisner (Bavaria), Lipinsky and Gradnauer (Saxony), Heymann (Wurttemberg) and Haas (Baden).

The Government of the Revolution included among others, Haase, Cohn, Herzfeld, Schiffer, Bernstein, Cahen and Preuss. The latter was given the task of drawing up the new German Constitution.

"The German nation, moreover, was rapidly falling under the control of its alien elements. In the last days of the pre-Hitler regime there were twenty times as many Jewish Government officials in Germany as had existed before the war. Israelites of international attachments were insinuating themselves into key positions in the German administrative machine." (The Daily Mail, July, 10th, 1933)

JEWISH VOICES

Dr. Manfred Reifer, the well-known leader of the Jews in Bukowina, published an article in September, 1933 (Czernowitzer Allgemeine Zeitung) in which he wrote:

"Whilst large sections of the German nation were struggling for the preservation of their faith, we Jews filled the streets of Germany with our vociferations. We supplied its Press with articles on the subject of its Christmas and Easter festivities and administered to its religious beliefs in the manner we considered suitable. We ridiculed the highest ideals of the German nation and profaned the matters which it holds sacred."

Dr. Nahum Goldmann, President. World Zionist Organisation:

"No Jewish minority in any other country, not even that in America could possibly compete with the German Jews. They were involved in large-scale banking, a situation unparalleled elsewhere, and, by way of high finance, they had also penetrated German industry.

"A considerable proportion of the wholesale trade was Jewish. They controlled even such branches of industry, which is in general not in Jewish hands. Examples are shipping or the electrical industry, and names such as Ballin and Rathenau do confirm this statement. I hardly know of any other branch of emancipated Jewry in Europe or the American continent as deeply rooted in the economy, as was Germany Jewry.

"American Jews of today are absolutely as well as relatively richer than the German Jews were at the time, it is true, but even in America with its unlimited possibilities, the Jews have not succeeded in penetrating into the centre spheres of industry (steel,

iron, heavy industry, high finance, shipping) as was the case in Germany."

From Mein Leben a Is Deutscher Jude (Goldmann) we read:

"Their position in the intellectual life of the country was equally unique. In literature, they were represented by illustrious names. The theatre was largely in their hands. The daily Press, above all its internationally influential sector, was essentially owned by Jews or controlled by them.

"As paradoxical as this may sound today, after the Hitler era, I have no hesitation to say that hardly any section of the Jewish people has made such extensive use of emancipation offered to them in the nineteenth-century as the German Jews. In short, the history of the Jews in Germany from 1870 to 1933 is probably the most glorious rise that has ever been achieved by any branch of the Jewish people."

HITLER

"If the question is still asked why National Socialism combats the Jewish element in Germany so fanatically, the answer can only be, because National Socialism wishes to establish a real community of the people. Since we are National Socialists, we cannot permit an alien race to impose itself upon our working people as their leaders." ~ Adolf Hitler

"Nearly all Bolshevist agitators in Germany and elsewhere were Jews." (Adolf Hitler)

FRENCH AGREEMENT

On May, 29th, 1934, a Paris newspaper, 'L'ami du People' carried an article against the anti-social 'machinations' of the Jewish refugees from Germany:

"These people fled from Germany because they attempted to set up a rule of fire and blood and to let loose the horrors of civil war and universal unrest."

AN AMERICAN VOICE

E.B Pierce, the President of the American Chamber of Commerce in Berlin, stated on the occasion of a meeting held at the end of May, 1934, that "it would be impossible to speak any longer of orderly economic conditions in Europe if Germany had not succeeded in saving Europe from the dangers of Bolshevism."

Clearly the German nation had fallen to an alien racial minority that in the words of the Daily Mail had 'insinuated themselves' into a position whereby they effectively controlled Germany as they did Russia.



Adolf Hitler determined on a course that would recover German control; of German interests, which many would agree under the circumstances was a perfectly legitimate and noble aim. This however is now dressed up as 'virulent anti-Semitism.' One might reflect on the horrors that would have been spared the peoples of Russia and scores of other Christian nations had they taken a similar course of action.

Adolf Hitler's national recovery program was however extremely lenient, and is best illustrated by his Act for the Restoration of the Professional Status of Civil Servants, (Act.3, published April, 7th, 1933:

"Officials of non-Aryan descent are to be pensioned. Those of them acting in an honorary capacity are to be removed from their office. This provision is not applicable to officials whose appointments date from August 1st, 1914, or from an earlier date; nor to those who fought during the World War in the front line either in Germany or for her allies, nor to those whose fathers or brothers were killed in the World War."

Thus, those Jews who had 'insinuated themselves into key positions' were not summarily dismissed but were placed on the retirement list.

"They now receive the same rates of retired pay as an other German official, in conformity with legal provisions."

"I have nothing against the Jews themselves. But the Jews are all Communists and these are my enemies.... it is these I am fighting.... all Jews stick together like burrs.... it is up to the Jews themselves to draw a dividing line between these different kinds. But they have not done that, and therefore, I must proceed uniformly against all Jews." (Max Planck quoting from a conversation held with Adolf Hitler)

Hitler regularly attended concerts; one of his favourite composers being Gustav Mahler (1860-1911), who is of course Jewish.

HITLER NOT ANTI-SEMITIC BUT ANTI-TALMUDIC

"... in that the Third Reich treated Christianised Jews preferentially. The National Socialist Third Reich carefully distinguished between Talmudic and Christianised Jews." (Philip Freedman, 'Their Brothers Keepers' N.Y 1957)

"In early 1938, Jewish doctors and dentists were still participating in the German State compulsory insurance program (Ortskrankenkassen) which guaranteed them a sufficient number of patients."

"In 1938, 10% of the practicing lawyers in Germany were Jews, although the Jews constituted less than 1% of the population." (United States Ambassador Hugh Wilson to Secretary of State Hull)

"The United States took exception to a German law on March, 30th, 1938, which removed the Jewish church from the established German church roll which deprived it of state funds. In fact, this brought German law into line with English Law." (Daniel L. Hoggan. Historical Revisionist)

On February, 27th, 1943, when 10,000 Jews were being deported from Berlin:

"The Christian wives of those arrested were able to wring concessions from the Nazis, who released the men." (P.Freedman. Their Brothers Keepers NY1957) One may also wonder that as late as 1943, three and one half years into the war and eleven years after Hitler was elected, there were still 10,000 Jews living openly and freely in the German capital, and married to German wives.

Such facts disprove allied propaganda and so they are hidden carefully from sight. It is also interesting to note that whilst in Hitler's capital city, such numbers of Jews were allowed to go about their business freely, had they been of Japanese descent and living in the United States, all would have been rounded up; men, women and children; orphans and the offspring of mixed marriages, and confined to concentration camps.

Clearly it was healthier to be a Jew in Berlin, 1943 than it was to be the unfortunate child product of a Japanese- American marriage!

"In 1939, six years after Hitler was elected, there were still 120,000 Jews living voluntarily in Germany." (Heinz Roth. Why Are We Being Lied To?)

The question increasingly being asked is, if Hitler's intention was to exterminate the Jews, why had he given them every assistance to emigrate throughout his years of government, and how does it explain that years after the alleged program of extermination was begun, 120,000 having had every opportunity and assistance to leave the country had refused to do so?

JEWES NOT SERIOUSLY HINDERED

"The German Jews were being treated like a humbled minority, out of favour. . . the activity of the Jews was in reality not seriously hindered." (Heinz Roth. Why Are We Being Lied To? - p. 29, 121)

What is a matter of public record is that by 1939, 400,000 resident Jews had received every assistance, compensation and indeed training to re-settle elsewhere; Madagascar being the chosen destination. This was done in open collaboration with Jewish organisations. Other countries too had a program of assisted emigration not just for Jews but for their own nationals too. The French long before the outbreak of war were planning the emigration of 10,000 Jews.

Emigration was a normal means of population dispersal and settlement practised by most countries of which it can safely be said that Britain led from the front. It is well to remember that at this time and for a long time afterwards, the great shipping companies of the world were making enormous profits from the government-subsidised emigration of British nationals to the United States and the Dominions.



One of the most disgraceful periods in post-war politics, British children and orphans promised a new life in Australia

These included 130,000 British children, many of them without parents, even babes in arms, taken from orphanages and care wards and against their wishes and often without the knowledge of parents, where they had them, forced to leave their homeland and often settled in institutions where they were to suffer every kind of abuse and deprivation.

Many were lied to and told that they had no parents; often split from their brothers and sisters. This expulsion of our country's children, and adults too, is a shameful blot on its history and it is interesting to suppose that the Third Reich's policy of re-settlement was a great deal more enlightened than was Britain's. Incredibly, this enforced re-settlement of children without parents continued until 1967 and is still cloaked in a veil of secrecy. *[In 2009 PM Gordon Brown was forced to apologise to survivors for their suffering and abuse- Editor]*

It is equally interesting to reflect that whilst the British governments of the time by a combination of deception and financial inducements (£10 assisted passages to Australia) were draining orphanages of unwanted British children, they were simultaneously assisting the colonisation of Britain by West Indians and others from far-flung territories.

Hitler's Germany had no policy of forced re-settlement of its own citizens; quite the opposite in fact. A great deal of effort and investment was made to encourage the return of Germans who had previously emigrated to escape the shortcomings of German administrations prior to the Third Reich era.

Despite Germany's enormous and by comparison more liberal policy of resettlement, 680,000 Jews were still living in the Third Reich by 1939.

VIOLENCE EXAGGERATED

Hitler's antagonism towards sections of the international Jewish community has been deliberately falsified and exaggerated to create the impression that Jews in Germany were treated badly. The determination of 680,000 of them to stay suggests otherwise.

AN ENGLISHMAN'S OBSERVATIONS

"My private conversations with Jews were illuminating. They did not bear out what the British newspapers suggested. Mountains had been made out of molehills; melodrama out of comic opera. The majority of the 'assaults' were committed by over-zealous youths, and in nearly every instance consisted of 'ratting' unfortunate men who were not particularly respectful of the new regime. Physical harm very little, mental, probably much.

"This is what I learned from my Jewish friends, who are staying in Germany and have no intention of leaving the country, nor have they ever been asked to leave the country. Those who wish to leave and return may do so at their own pleasure. The laws relating to the freedom of Jews are substantially the same as those of other people.

"The trouble that has risen has nothing to do with the domiciled Jew, many of whom are still employed by government in various spheres of usefulness. There are about 80,000 undesirable Jews that Germany wants to get rid of for all time, and willingly would she deport them all to Great Britain or the United States of America if the request was made.

These are Jews who since the Armistice have penetrated the country and created a situation that has wrought considerable social and political harm in Germany. Among these undesirables are murderers, ex-convicts, potential thieves, fraudulent bankrupts, white slave traffickers, beggars of every description that beggars description, and political refugees. Many have come from Baltic states, others from Poland, and not an inconsiderable number from Russia." (G.E.O. Knight, In Defence of Germany)

"Before the revolution of last March, the Jews in the

Reich overran every government department, and enjoyed the highest privileges in every profession and calling. They were the principle organisers of the Communist Party, and became identified with every one of the seventy -two warring political sects in the country.

"In every way they proved themselves eminently capable businessmen and politicians. Many had grown very wealthy. Nearly every German war profiteer was a Jew; the native German seems to have regarded with feelings of shame and horror the idea of making money out of his country during times of great stress. . .

"That one per cent of the population of Germany should impose their rule and culture -- however eminent that culture may be ~ on more than sixty-million native born Germans is unreasonable, to use no stronger word. . .

"So when the Nazi worm turned, and the services of many Jews were dispensed with, Jewry throughout the world rose in arms and through the medium of the Press here, and public meetings in London and the provinces, denounced the German Government in violent terms.

"The Germans have assumed control of their country, and for weal or woe they mean to maintain their position. The German people are perfectly entitled to possess what form of government they please; it ill becomes us to dictate to them." (G.E.O. Knight, In Defence of Germany)

ONE OF HITLER'S CLOSEST COMRADES A JEW

In Mein Kampf, Hitler describes a fracas, which took place in the Hofbrauhaus on November 4th, 1921, when Communists tried to break up a meeting being held by the National Socialist Party (NSDAP).

"The dance had hardly begun when my Storm troops, as they were named from that day forth, attacked. Like wolves they rushed again and again in parties of eight or ten on the enemy, and began gradually to sweep them literally out of the hall. After five minutes I could see hardly one who was not streaming from blood. I was beginning to know their quality; at their head my splendid Maurice. . ."



The Maurice referred to was Emil Maurice. A tall, handsome moustached man who appears in numerous often published photographs of Hitler with his

political companions. The most common is the much-published photograph of Hitler with Emil Maurice and Lieutenant-Colonel Kriebel in Landsberg Prison.

Emil Maurice was Party member 594 (the numbering sequence began at 501). A member since 1919 and prior to the formation of the NSDAP, Maurice became SS Member No. 2. He was Hitler's personal bodyguard and permanent companion for many years.

Emil Maurice was Jewish and Hitler was well ware of the fact. When Heinrich Himmler wanted Maurice expelled from the SS, Hitler would not hear of it and intervened on his behalf.

WORKING TOGETHER FOR A COMMON INTEREST

It must be remembered in terms of context that Jewish Zionists believed firmly in the setting up of a separate Jewish homeland. Britain moreso than any other country (except Germany) has made untold sacrifices and has spent enormous amounts of money; and British lives, expelling Palestinians from their historical homeland in order to set up the first Jewish state and facilitate emigration to it. It should also be remembered that racially mixed marriages were (and are) as much anathema to Jews as they ever were to Hitler. Even today, Jewish organisations ~ quite rightly in my opinion ~ discourage marriage between Jews and Gentiles. Advertisements regularly appear in newspapers ~ the New York Times comes to mind ~ openly discouraging such marriages.

That Hitler's NSDAP worked together with Jewish organisations to discourage inter-racial marriage and collaborate in areas of mutual interest, such as resettlement is beyond question. Hitler wanted to be rid of the problem of Jewish predominance in German affairs. At the same time many Jewish organisations wished to set up an independent homeland and had a vested interest in wildly exaggerating claims of anti-Semitism as a means of applying pressure for a Jewish homeland (Madagascar was considered the most likely) and discouraging their co-racials from settling in Germany.



"It is certain.... that during the first phases of National Socialist Jewish policy situations developed in which it seemed suitable to the National Socialists to accept or advance a pro-Zionist attitude." ~ The Jewish writer, Hannah Arendt.

JEWISH OPINION

The German emigration plans foundered on the objections of Chaim Weizmann, the Zionist leader, which moved the great Jewish philosopher, J.G. Berg to comment:

"Thereby was wasted one of the great chances for the deliverance of the German Jews."

The Jews themselves scuppered a sensible and civilised policy of emigration, not unlike but kinder than Britain's own emigration programs.

The Jewish author and philosopher J.G.Berg expressed surprise when studying German documents, that the German policy of Jewish emigration (not extermination) was hampered by the reluctance of other states to take them in.

In Nuremberg numerous original documents from the Foreign Office were submitted. Under the entry, Berlin, 25th, January 1939, I read the astonishing official statement; point Two is one of these documents:

'The final goal of the German Jewish policy is the

emigration of all Jews living in the Reich. . . '

In Point Four it is said. 'The emigrated Jew is the best propaganda for the German Jewish policy.'

Further on: 'After 100,000 Jews, in the years 1933 - 34 had found their way, legally or illegally, out of Germany into foreign parts. . . almost all of the states of the world hermetically sealed their borders against the Jewish emigrants.'

"What a fearful, what a bitter and shameful fact! later I read many more interesting facts in these documents." (J.G. Berg)

JEWES STILL HEADING FOR GERMANY

"That even during the anti-Semitic Hitler period in Germany in the years 1933-37, over 10,000 Jews immigrated to Germany; of about 1,200 in 1937, 97 came directly from Palestine. Considering the Press campaign against National Socialism, that is inexplicable because all immigrants were certainly warned: a special investigation of the reasons for the immigration is certainly necessary." (Dietrich Bronder. Bevor Hitler Kam.)

It is worth remembering that whilst Jews were immigrating to Hitler's Germany, other countries asked to relieve the German state of this problem, refused to accept them. As late as 1945 the Swedish Government was refusing to accept Jews from Germany.

NO THANK YOU

"Very honoured, Herr Himmler! The Jews are just as unwanted in Sweden as in Germany. Therefore I understand you completely on the Jewish question. As medical officer Kersten told me, you have released 5,000 Jews to him for evacuation to Sweden. I am not pleased with this, because I want to move no Jews. Since I cannot, however, officially refuse, I ask you to, Herr Himmler." (Count Bernadotte of Sweden to Himmler. March 10th, 1945)

Note the date. We are told that 'Nazi' Germany's intention was to exterminate Jewry, even at enormous expense to the war effort, shipping them in from France, Russia and suchlike. One wonders then at the contrary ~ and proven evidence ~ that in fact the beleaguered country was sparing no expense to assist the emigration of Jews to any country that would take them in.

"That the German Government at that time, in spite of

everything, even when the war of total destruction against Germany was drawing to its tragic close, still did not give way to the correspondingly radical course; indeed, it intervened in individual incidents of persecution (against the Jews), when brought to its attention. Even at the end of 1944, it repeated its previously made suggestions (Madagascar, etc.) to remove the Jews from the whole German area of influence. See the statements of Joel Brand in the Kastner proceedings in Jerusalem." (Scronn)

"One could not understand this war if one did not always keep in mind the fact that International Jewry stands behind all the unnatural forces that our united enemies use to attempt to deceive the world and keep humanity in the dark. It is, so to speak, the mortar that holds the enemy coalition firmly together, despite its differences of class, ideology, and interests. Capitalism and Bolshevism have the same Jewish roots, two branches of the same tree that in the end bear the same fruit. International Jewry uses both in its own way to suppress the nations and keep them in its service. How deep its influence on public opinion is in all the enemy countries and many neutral nations is plain to see that it may never be mentioned in newspapers, speeches, and radio broadcasts. There is a law in the Soviet Union that punishes anti-Semitism — or in plain English, public education about the Jewish Question — by death. The expert in these matters is in no way surprised that a leading spokesman for the Kremlin said over the New Year that the Soviet Union would not rest until this law was valid throughout the world. In other words, the enemy clearly says that its goal in this war is to put the total domination of Jewry over the nations of the earth under legal protection, and to threaten even a discussion of this shameful attempt with the death penalty."

Joseph Goebbels

The Death of South Africa

South African Contributor

Some interesting facts about Welkom, of which most South Africans are possibly not aware. Strange that the situation does not seem to be reflected in mining reports and the stock market in South Africa - or is it? Last Sunday's papers covered the Oppenheimer's sale of all their family's de Beers shares for \$5.2 billion to Anglo-American. Nicky Oppenheimer, current chairman, says it was a tough decision.

The death of South Africa's mines is the death of South Africa.... There are many microcosms of decay that one can use as examples of the decay of the microcosm of South Africa.

In many respects the booming of South Africa's mining industry and its current decay under the ANC's Black Economic Empowerment system is a microcosm of the booming of South Africa under Apartheid and its decay under the ANC Marxist terrorist regime.

During the first half of the 20th century, gold was discovered on several farms south of the Free State town of Odendaalsrus. After the Second World War, Sir Ernest Oppenheimer and his Anglo American Corporation, the progenitor of Anglo Gold, bought up all the prospecting rights in the area and decided to mine the richest gold find in the history of South Africa.

Prices of property in Odendaalsrus skyrocketed, so Sir Ernest Oppenheimer decided he would build his own town for his miners, instead of paying the exorbitant prices in Odendaalsrus.

He drove 20km south and climbed a hill called Koppie-alleen (Lone Hill) and looked down on the plains, where his mines would be and decided to build a town from scratch, called Welkom (Welcome), named after the farm where the gold was first discovered.

The people of Odendaalsrus were upset and took him to court, objecting to the new town. Ernest



Oppenheimer's lawyer was Abram (Bram) Fischer, an Afrikaner Communist and Anti-Apartheid activist who would later defend Nelson Mandela at the Rivonia trial.

Fischer was a Rhodes Scholar at Oxford University and travelled to the Soviet Union in 1932. He was also later awarded the Lenin Peace Prize, (1966) the Soviet

equivalent of the Nobel Peace Prize. The Prize was normally awarded to prominent Communists who were not Soviet citizens.

Fischer, incidentally was married to Molly Krige, the niece of liberal Boer, General Jan Smuts (later to become Prime Minister of South Africa). She was also a staunch Communist. Nevertheless, in 1947, the Orange Free State Provincial Council issued Oppenheimer with the birth certificate of the town of Welkom.

In his mind Oppenheimer envisioned a beautiful garden city with broad streets. He commissioned the design of Welkom to leading town planner William Backhouse and landscape gardener Joan Prim. For Backhouse, the design of a town from scratch, was a dream come true. Space was not a problem on the Free State plains, so he designed the streets broad, with no traffic lights, only roundabouts. to keep the traffic flowing and no high-rise buildings in the new town. In the center of town, he wanted a 'Roman Forum' with a square, where town folk could gather. It was surrounded by a horseshoe-shaped road of 75 metres wide, known affectionately by the town people as the 'Hoefie' short for the Afrikaans word 'Hoefyster' meaning 'horseshoe'.

Sports clubs, golf clubs, Olympic swimming pools, cinemas, theatres, hospitals, parks, schools, a technical college and an airport were built, all with the riches of the gold below the fertile soil. The town attracted people from all over South Africa.

Money was flowing, salaries were high. By the

1970s Anglo Gold was operating six massive mines, with 22 deep level shafts, in which 122,000 people worked. The mines of Welkom were producing 35% of the gold in South Africa, which in turn was producing 75% of the world's gold. Everyone was buying and driving a new car at least every year.

They would say that when the ashtray was full, it was time to buy a new car. The 'hoefie' gave rise to the hot-rod culture of Welkom, when young men would drive around at night showing off their new Ford Cortinas with eagles painted on the engine bonnets and flames on the sides, fur on the dashboard and plastic oranges on the radio antennae! This culture also gave rise to the building of a Grand Prix racing track at Welkom. Times were good for blue-collar whites. Even in the nearby Black township of Thabong and the coloured township of Bronville, the living standards were very high.

But the ANC took over in 1994, mostly with the help of the Oppenheimers and J.P. Morgan, who founded Anglo American Corporation in 1917. Hardly had the ANC Communists taken over, than they wanted not only a slice of the pie from the mining industry, but the whole pie.

Black Economic

Empowerment was introduced and mines had to give away half of their assets to Black ANC members. For Anglo American Corporation, the writing was on the wall and before they could lose everything, they merged with Minorco in 1999 and moved their assets to London. In the last 10-15 years, more than a 100,000 jobs have been lost in Welkom. The skip-wheels of the miners are not turning any more and the noise of the mines, as well as the hot-rods, have fallen silent. The ziggurat-like walls of the slime-dams next to the R73 road are the last remnants of a once thriving mining industry.

Today, the mines are in the hands of BEE companies and being plundered for scrap metal. The municipality of Matjhabeng (nee Welkom) is run by the ANC. In June 2011 it came into prominence as one of the worst examples of ANC corruption and misrule. How a small town blew R2, billion on dodgy deals!

Most of the Whites have left Welkom. Blacks make up 90% of the population and Whites 8%. To say that the town is a shadow of its former self is an understatement. The decay is obvious everywhere and is fast becoming a ghost town. Fifteen hundred staff houses at the mines are standing empty. Even churches in town have closed their doors. The remaining Whites in the area, mostly farmers, are struggling under stock theft and brutal farm attacks, tortures and murders.

Elsewhere it is not going any better. The Aurora mine at Grootvlei, which is owned by the Zuma and Mandela families and at one stage employed 5,000 workers, now have less than 200. Aurora is now a ghost town. On the 8th May 2011, in a Carte Blanche TV show, it was revealed that Cosatu (Council of SA Trade Unions) calls the owners of Aurora (Zuma and Mandela family members) Super Exploiters!!



If it is an abyss of desperation, these men abandoned at the mineworker hostels are in it. At Grootvlei, near Springs the water and electricity has been cut, the toilets are a sanitary shock. On good days, they may have hot food. Two hours drive to the west, is the Orkney mine in Klerksdorp. There is an inescapable feeling of sadness here. Cooking pots are empty here too. Ntsani Mohapi has been on the mine since the

mid 70's; he should be in line for a pension, but that is all gone now. "There are people who are crying, there are people who are dying, because we deal with people who are lying".

As things stand hundreds of miners are still in limbo; millions of Rands are outstanding in salaries. Wives have left husbands, children have dropped out of school, people "have been blacklisted. They" can't even claim Unemployment Insurance Funds.

The allegations against Aurora's directors are damning: since they took over the Pamodzi mines in 2009, which were fully operational at the time, they have been accused of not paying salaries, making endless broken promises, misappropriating UIF and pension fund money and stripping assets of mines they haven't paid for. (Carte Blanche TV)

The BBC has extensively reported on how the Zuma

(Jacob Zuma's nephew) and Mandela (Nelson Mandela's grandson) families exploit their workers and treat them worse than dogs. While the Zuma and Mandela family members grow rich and fat, they do not pay their starving workers, which effectively makes them slave owners. Is this the 'Freedom' Mandela and Zuma spoke about and fought for? They were not Freedom Fighters ... They were not fighting for the freedom of the people, rather for the enslavement of the people under a communist yoke.

The Grootvlei mine now stands in ruins. What could not be stolen and sold for scrap, is cut up and sold to the Chinese state-owned mining company, Shandong

Gold. The White foreman at Aurora can only stand and watch as the looting of the mine continues. This is the same ANC who wants to nationalise the mines, the banks and the farms. Can you even imagine the utter enslavement of Blacks, the dilapidation and ruin of South Africa that will follow? As the rivers of gold, and other critical minerals, that once flowed from South Africa dry up, one after the other, due to BEE end nationalization, the world and especially the Openheimers will look back to the good old days, when Whites were in charge of South Africa and they were making their fortunes. The day will still come when they realize they might have been betting on the wrong horse.

Sunette Bridges from South Africa

Spread the Word what is happening here

I love this Country! My family has been here for over 300 years. I want to raise my children on South African soil. But then there is reality. Are we safe here? How much longer will we be able to live here? Why is NOBODY doing anything about this?

I have been a victim of the rampant crime in South Africa 6 times in 11 months. You phone the police, report the case, get a case number, claim from insurance and pour yourself a G&T to celebrate the fact that you escaped unharmed.

Others are not that lucky. In a single year more than 18 000 people are murdered, and that figure increases daily! Another 18 000 survive attempted murder and 13 000 more die in homicides. More than 400 000 people are assaulted. At least 50 000 women are raped and another 70 000 are victims of other sexual offenses. There are more than 800 000 cases of robbery, theft and break-ins, almost 100 000 cars are stolen and more than 100 000 car windows are smashed in 1 year.

Whilst there certainly are members of our National Police Force dedicated to curbing crime, sadly many of these crimes are being perpetrated by our own SAPD, and if not by them in person, people are killed with amongst other weapons, the 5 000 missing guns from their weaponry! Which, by the way, no one seems to know about... Innocent citizens are harassed by members of the Metro Police and SAPD and being called "White pigs", they rape and steal – mostly donning full uniform!

We have over 7 million illegal immigrants in South

Africa and of our registered population of just over 48 million (a guess at best), 38%, almost 20 million are unemployed. This simply means that almost 27 million people in this country don't work, can't afford food or homes, can't take care of their children – not that this deters them from having children. And we wonder why CRIME has become the biggest industry in this country.

And then there is our post Apartheid Government!!! What a joke! Never, in the history of this country, have more people been oppressed, suffered hunger, disease and lived more in fear of their lives than now. And all this whilst our Cabinet Ministers and Local Government Fat Cats spend fortunes on luxury homes and shiny new SUVs, go on holidays chartering an entire Jumbo Jet and stay in Hotels at R300 000 a pop or more! And why should they fight crime? It is one of the largest contributors to Government Income. There are more security companies and manufacturers of security equipment than bakeries. In short, crime does pay!

And now, we have Julius Malema! A crazy, uneducated, 30 year old fanatic, propagating the "Killing of the Boer", inciting violence There is fear in the air! Talks of War, revenge and revolution! Since he started his campaign to "Kill the Boer" just over a year ago, two farmers are being killed every 18 hours! The death toll stand at over 3 000 now!

It is not safe to be in South Africa! There are more squatter camps than game lodges and more criminals than game. People die here every day. Violently!

The 40th Anniversary of Britain's Worst Decision of All Time

UK Contributor

EXACTLY 40 years ago this week the British political class perpetrated an act of epic treachery against our country.

“It was in January 1973 that Britain, under the leadership of Edward Heath, officially joined the Common Market or European Economic Community, the entity that would grow into the all powerful European Union.

“The decision to surrender to the suffocating embrace of Brussels proved a disaster. Our independence has been weakened, our economy shackled and our democracy undermined. As Britain slides towards the status of a province within a bureaucratic empire, disillusion with the European project is mounting” writes Leo McKinstry

Latest opinion polls confirm that the majority of British people wish to leave the European Union which is why there have been no celebrations to mark this significant anniversary.

When we originally joined what was then the Common Market, we were told this was simply a trading agreement and that there would be 'no erosion of essential sovereignty' as our Prime Minister Edward Heath chose to frame it all the while knowing full well we were entering a federal entity

Since then the drive towards integration has been relentless. The EU has acquired all the trappings of nationhood, including its own flag, anthem, currency, parliament, president, executive, courts and even diplomatic service.

The grand vision was for the EU to become a global superpower but instead we see suffocating bureaucracy, corruption, stagnation and debt. We no longer control our borders. The result is



massive immigration and a population explosion with welfare bills soaring. At the end of next year 29 million Bulgarians and Romanians will get full rights to settle and claim benefits here along with the already domiciled Poles, Gypsies and any other economic migrants who can utter the magical

word 'asylum'.

Currently there are 27 states in the EU and 17 in the Eurozone. Ten EU members retain their national currencies and Britain is one of them. We have a temporary derogation to retain the Imperial measurements of the mile and the pint and finally after 40 years we have been *promised* an in/out referendum on our membership of the EU in 2018, perhaps when the Diebold electronic voting machines have been installed.

If we were to leave the EU we have been threatened with loss of the EU market yet the EU itself has free trade agreements with non-EU countries including Israel, Mexico, Switzerland, Turkey and Norway. With a positive trading balance towards Britain of £28bn and over 6 million EU jobs depending on it, surely it is inconceivable that the EU would imperil such trade by refusing us a workable free trade agreement?

Leaving the EU would mean we would again have our own voice in the WTO and be free to negotiate free trade deals. Our most successful trade is with the USA (surplus £22bn) but Australasia (£9.8bn) Switzerland (£8.5bn) Singapore (£4.3bn), Brazil (£2.4bn), and South Africa (£2.1bn) contribute heavily. We are a great trading nation ranking 5th in the world with unique historic global reach.

We must break the EU shackles of tyranny, incompetence and corruption. Sadly our own political class of traitors and nincompoops provide these qualities in full measure.

Ode to European Union

Sung to the tune of La Donna E Mobile
Rigoletto Act 3 Giuseppe Verdi



Euros are cheap today
Cheaper than yesterday
Small states sell second-hand
They'll leave the Euro Band
But if they accept the rule
Of the Central Banking School
They must accept their fate
Or Break up the Euro-State

The politics are just unreal
Parliament is 'neath the heel
Of the German banking beast
Funding the Eurofeast
The German Sparkassen bank
And the regional Landesbank
All want their pound of flesh
Trap us in their fiscal mesh

So people of Europe now
Let's make a mighty row
Take up a fighting pose
Give them a bloody nose



Asian Gangs Target Young White Girls

UK Contributor

In the UK today, the greatest social slur, the most powerful pejorative, is to accuse a person of racism. The term racist is readily ratcheted to that of hate crime which if proven carries a prison sentence.

Since the infamous MacPherson Report in 1999 when the British Police were labelled *institutionally racist*, it is not surprising that Police and Social Services have been accused of fuelling a culture of silence which has allowed hundreds of young white girls to be exploited by Asian men for sex.

“Agencies have identified a long-term pattern of offending by gangs of men, predominantly from the British Pakistani community, who have befriended and abused hundreds of vulnerable girls aged 11 to 16.

“Experts claim the statistics represent a mere fraction of a 'tidal wave' of offending in counties across the Midlands and the north of England which has been going on for more than a decade”, writes Chris Brook of the Daily Mail.

There have been 17 Sex Gang prosecutions since 1997 and what speaks volumes, fourteen of them in the last three years. The term Sex Gangs is a euphemism for pedophile rings as children as young as eleven or under are targeted. Some girls have gone missing and it is anyone's guess what has happened to them. They may have been trafficked abroad or murdered, no one knows.

Retired police chief Mick Gradwell claimed pressure not to appear ‘institutionally racist’ led to a culture of silence over Asian sex gangs.

Mr Gradwell, a former detective superintendent in Lancashire, said: “You have girls being abused and raped and yet the most senior officers are refusing to comment on it. On what other subject would you get that?”

“How many young girls have been abused and raped because of the reluctance of the authorities to say exactly what is happening?”

“I worked on operations which had been set up to tackle, amongst other things, an element of Asian men

who saw white girls as easy meat, as Jack Straw, MP for Bolton, Lancashire and former Foreign & Home Secretary rightly put it. It was a persistent issue.

“The main pressure police have is being called institutionally racist if they highlight a crime trend like this. There’s a fantastic reluctance to be absolutely straight because some people may take such offence.

“Rather than concentrating to solve and prevent it the bigger issue becomes can we point out a crime trend in an ethnic minority?”

Asian peer Lord Ahmed commented that “Asian men who groom young white girls for sex do so because they are frustrated by unhappy arranged marriages into which they are forced.”

“Young Asian men want "fun" in their sex lives and do not have anything in common with their overseas' brides, who can often be cousins.”

Last year a middle-class, privately-educated schoolgirl from Rochdale was rescued after being forced to act as a sex slave to a gang of Asian men. She managed to escape while her kidnapper was in hot pursuit by flagging down a passing motorist.

According to international law paragraph 6 section 1, deliberately seeking out and targeting a particular ethnic group in this way is genocide!

This is rape! This is kidnapping! This is 'hate crime'!
This is Britain today!

Exodus 21:16

Anyone who kidnaps another and either sells him or still has him when he is caught must be put to death.

Revealed: 3 in 4 of Britain's Danger Doctors are trained abroad

UK contributor

The General Medical Council (GMC) has at last revealed that the vast majority of doctors who have been struck off were trained abroad.

This suppressed information was only extracted because a national newspaper journalist was able to use freedom of information laws which led to the shocking revelation that doctors trained abroad are five times more likely to be struck off than those trained in the UK.

The country with the biggest single number of doctors who have been removed or suspended from the medical register, is India, followed by Nigeria and Egypt.

In recent years, a series of cases have raised concerns about the competence and language skills of overseas doctors.

Unnecessary Deaths

In 2008 the pensioner David Gray was killed by a German-trained doctor, Daniel Ubani, who gave him ten times the recommended dose of pain relief while working on his first shift in this country as a locum.

Dr Ubani, born in Nigeria, later said he had never heard of the medication diamorphine, which is not commonly used by GPs in Germany, before he administered it.

Police and prosecutors from the UK looking to bring a possible manslaughter charge against Ubani were shocked last April when, by letter, the German authorities convicted Ubani of causing Gray's death by negligence, gave him a nine-month suspended prison sentence and ordered him to pay €5,000 (£4,400) costs.

Ubani, a German national, is suspended from working in Britain but is still allowed to practise in Witten, his home town, where he specialises in cosmetic surgery and anti-ageing medicine.

In 2010, graduates from seven medical schools from Nigeria were banned from seeking work in the UK, because of alarm over falling standards of training.

A series of other cases at the GMC have included Vladan Visnjevac, struck off after a baby girl he was delivering died of a fractured skull and brain injuries when he used forceps wrongly, and Navin Shankar, who failed to diagnose a young woman's cancer over six years before her death.

Human Rights?

Under the current system, British hospitals and medical agencies which hire doctors are not allowed to test the language skills of those from EU countries to seek if staff will be able to communicate safely.

Corruption

Corruption in medicine remains common in India, it is therefore vital that qualifications are validated.

In 2010, the president of the Medical Council of India was accused of accepting bribes to certify medical colleges which did not meet basic standards.

The investigation was closed earlier this year, after insufficient evidence was found to support the claims.

Last month, the same council barred 27 doctors from their register for their part in setting up fraudulent medical courses. Some doctors claimed they were running two medical colleges simultaneously, while other courses claimed to have far more consultants to train students than they actually did.

Niall Dickson, chief executive of the General Medical Council, said the health service would not have survived without the contribution from overseas doctors, and that it was important not to demonise tens of thousands of professionals who had brought their skills to this country.

Scapegoat

Dr Umesh Prahbu, national vice-chairman of the British International Doctors Association, said he believed the reasons why overseas doctors are far more likely to be struck off were complex and varied. He said: "The NHS is known for having problems with discrimination and racism and I think this is part of it."

Survey: Vaccinated children five times more prone to disease than unvaccinated children

by Ethan A. Huff, staff writer NaturalNews.com

An ongoing study out of Germany comparing disease rates among vaccinated and unvaccinated children points to a pretty clear disparity between the two groups as far as illness rates are concerned. As reported by the group *Health Freedom Alliance*, children who have been vaccinated according to official government schedules are up to five times more likely to contract a preventable disease than children who developed their own immune systems naturally without vaccines.



Released as its own preliminary study back in September 2011, the survey includes data on 8,000 unvaccinated children whose overall disease rates were compared to disease rates among the general population, the vast majority of which has been vaccinated. And in every single disease category, unvaccinated children fared far better than vaccinated children in terms of both disease prevalence and severity. In other words, the evidence suggests that vaccines are neither effective nor safe.

"No study of health outcomes of vaccinated people versus unvaccinated has ever been conducted in the U.S. by CDC or any other agency in the 50 years or more of an accelerating schedule of vaccinations (now over 50 doses of 14 vaccines given before kindergarten, 26 doses in the first year)," wrote Louis Rain back in 2011 for *Health Freedom Alliance* about the survey.

As disclosed at *VaccineInjury.info*, vaccinated children are nearly twice as likely as unvaccinated children to develop neurodermatitis, for instance, a skin disorder marked by chronic itching and scratching. Similarly, vaccinated children are about two-and-a-half times as likely, based on current data, to develop a pattern of migraine headaches compared to unvaccinated

children.

The numbers are even more divergent for asthma and chronic bronchitis, where vaccinated children are about eight times more likely than [unvaccinated](#) children to develop such respiratory problems. Vaccinated children are also far more likely to develop

hyperactivity, hayfever, and thyroid disease, with their likelihood three times, four times, and a shocking 17 times higher, respectively, compared to unvaccinated children.

You can view the complete data, as it currently exists, here: <http://journal.livingfood.us>

Autism extremely rare among unvaccinated children

Where the gloves really come off on the issue, however, is with autism, the long-held point of contention in the vaccine safety debate. According to the data, only four of the 8,000 unvaccinated children that were included in the 2011 release of the study responded as having severe autism, which is a mere half of one percent of the overall population. Meanwhile the autism rate among the general population, as tabulated in the German KiGGS study used for comparison, is about 1.1 percent.

This means that vaccinated children are about 2.5 times more likely to develop severe autism compared to unvaccinated children, a shocking find when considering the medical establishment vehemently denies any link whatsoever between vaccines and autism. And as it turns out, the four unvaccinated children who reported severe autism all tested high for heavy metals, including mercury, which further indicts vaccines and their disease-causing adjuvants.

Though this correlation does not necessarily conclude causation, the overall disparity of disease rates between vaccinated and unvaccinated children at the very least points to a very strong connection that cannot be denied or dismissed. Even after accounting for bias, as the survey's authors have tried to do over the years, the data continues to show much higher disease rates among vaccinated children compared to

unvaccinated children.

In a similar but unrelated study conducted back in the 1990s, researchers found that the death rate among vaccinated children for infection with diphtheria, tetanus, and whooping cough (pertussis) is also twice as high, on average, compared to unvaccinated children.

Belgian Twins not terminally ill are euthanised

The Christian Institute

Identical Belgian twins who were not terminally ill have been killed by lethal injection in a case believed to be the first of its kind.

Marc and Eddy Verbessem, who were born deaf, sought euthanasia after discovering they were both going blind. They believed their lives would not be worth living if they could not see each other, according to their brother.



would be moving far away from what the legislation intended.

Professor Wim Distelmans, the doctor who allowed the killing, said: “It is certain that the twins meet all the conditions for euthanasia.”

Care

It has emerged that the pair, aged 45, had to overcome strong resistance from their family to be killed.

Their local hospital refused to euthanise them, and raised doubts about whether their situation met the requirements of Belgian legislation.

A professor of medical ethics at Leuven in Belgium criticised the killing saying: “In a society as wealthy as ours, we must find another, caring way to deal with human frailty.”

Interpretation

Under Belgian law a doctor must judge that a patient is unbearably suffering, and the patient must make clear their wish to be killed.

However in this case neither were suffering extreme physical pain nor were terminally ill, according to media reports.

Their local hospital said the law is “clearly open to various interpretations”, but warned that if any blind or deaf person is allowed to be killed then the country

Humane?

Chris Gastmans, professor of medical ethics at the Roman Catholic University of Leuven asked: “Is this the only humane response that we can offer in such situations?”

“I feel uncomfortable here as ethicist. Today it seems that euthanasia is the only right way to end life. And I think that’s not a good thing.

“In a society as wealthy as ours, we must find another, caring way to deal with human frailty.”

Children

Belgium was the second country in the world after the Netherlands to legalise euthanasia.

Last month it was revealed that Belgium is considering allowing children and Alzheimer’s sufferers to ask to be euthanised.

Dr Peter Saunders, director of UK-based campaign group Care not Killing, has warned: “Once you relax the law on euthanasia or assisted suicide steady extension will follow as night follows day.

Case Dismissed! Texas Ends 15-Year Fight Against Cancer Doctor Burzynski

Extract from Dr. Joseph Mercola

After a 15-year long battle, the Texas Medical Board has officially ended its crusade to revoke Dr. Stanislaw Burzynski's medical license in an effort to end the use of his pioneering personalized gene-targeted therapy for cancer

Evidence has shown in the past that the FDA has pressured the Texas Medical Board to revoke Dr. Burzynski's medical license—despite the fact that no laws were broken, and his treatment was proven safe and effective

The Texas Medical Board (TMB) has a long history of harassing doctors. The entire Board was sued by the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons (AAPS) in 2007, citing an “institutional culture of retaliation and intimidation.” Legislation was also drafted in 2009 in an effort to clamp down on the abuses by the TMB, but the bill failed to be passed into law.

Dr. Burzynski's treatment also includes antineoplastons, which are peptides and derivatives of amino acids that act as molecular and genetic switches. They turn off oncogenes that cause cancer, and activate tumor suppressor genes.

Once they've determined which genes are involved in the cancer, after extensive third-party genomic testing on both the cancer tissue obtained during biopsy as well as the patient's blood, a custom formulation of FDA-approved gene-targeted drugs are then meticulously chosen to target that patients genes specially related to their cancer. Antineoplastons by themselves work on nearly 100 cancer-causing genes, while traditional gene targeted oncology agents like Avastin, are only proven to target a single gene. Typically, patients who participate in Burzynski's personalized gene-targeted regimen also receive Phenylbutyrate, a metabolite of Burzynski's original Antineoplaston invention.

To summarize Dr. Burzynski's story: He developed a cancer treatment that surpassed all other treatments on the market, and the FDA and the pharmaceutical industry knew it. They also knew he was the sole owner of the patents for this therapy, and these two facts combined, threatened the entire paradigm of the cancer industry.

So they decided to steal his invention. The problem is, they cannot actually use the stolen patents as long as Dr. Burzynski walks free and has the ability to defend his rights to them.

So, for the past 15 years, they've thrown everything but the kitchen sink at him in an effort to tuck him away in jail for the remainder of his life, or at the very least, make sure he doesn't have a license with which to practice any kind of medicine. Without a profitable career, making and raising the needed cash for patent defense would be tricky. Sadly, you and I have all been paying for the brutal opposition to his cancer treatment this whole time. The US government spent \$60 million on legal fees for just *one* of his trials alone...

Still, Dr. Burzynski has prevailed against enormous forces so many times it's enough to make one believe in a higher power. Certainly, many of his patients would call him a God-send. Now, with the TMB finally dismissing their case against him, let's hope that's the end of the absurd witch hunt against Dr. Burzynski.

Part 2 of the documentary will be released sometime this year. Until then, you can show support for Eric Merola and his film by purchasing the first one, [*Burzynski: The Movie*](#).

What is Christian Identity?

William Finck


Christian Identity, also sometimes called Israel Identity, is the only true conservative Christianity. It is true because it seeks to maintain the understanding - in accordance with Scripture - that the New Covenant was made only with those same people with whom the Old Covenant was made: the House (family) of Israel and the House (family) of Judah. These Israelite people are traceable through time to the Keltic and Germanic tribes of today. None of these people are Jews. The Jews are descended from a mere remnant of the old Kingdom of Judah along with assorted Edomite and other Arab who were mixed into the Roman province of Judaea during the Hellenic period. There are - at last count - at least sixteen detailed essays on this website which demonstrate this, and which are replete with Biblical, archaeological and historical citations.

Christian Identity is the belief that the Covenants of God are real and consistent. It professes that the people of the Old Testament were every bit as much Christian as the people of the New Testament. They were simply looking forward to the first advent of the Christ, while we today await His Second Advent. As the famous Christian bishop Ignatius said nineteen hundred years ago, Christianity did not come from Judaism: rather, Judaism is a perversion of Christianity.

Christian Identity is the belief that there is no disparity between the Word of God, His Creation, His prophecy, and world history. It is also the understanding that while Scripture was inspired by God when it was transmitted, men have certainly mistreated it since that time, and so every passage and every doctrine must be fully investigated from all of the most ancient sources possible. As it reads in the King James Version: Study to show thyself approved.

The audio file attached to this page is perhaps one of the best we have to offer for introducing Christian Identity to the uninitiated. [[It can be downloaded at http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames](http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames)] Please listen to it objectively, rather than regarding the slanders of the ADL and similar Jewish organizations - forever the enemies of Christ.

This paper is under development, and so are our websites - always. We pray that you consider the things written here, and also in all of our other papers. And if you are one of His called, May God favor your journey. You may also want to note What Christian Identity is Not at <http://christogenea.org/what-christian-identity-is-not>



A n n o u n c e m e n t s

The Saxon Messenger can be contacted by email editor@saxonmessenger.org

The Saxon Messenger Website is at <http://saxonmessenger.org/> where this issue and future issues will be archived.

Clifton A Emahiser's Non-Universal Teaching Ministries can be found at <http://emahiser.christogenea.org/site/> including all writings produced by his ministry since its inception in February 1998

Christian Identity Radio

Christogenea 8 pm EST Friday Biblical Exegesis and Commentaries

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc>

Notes from Commentary on Revelation posted at <http://christreich.christogenea.org/revelation>

CHRISTOGENEA SATURDAYS 8 pm EST

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc>

Programme notes at <http://christogenea.org/chrSaturdays>



If you have not yet connected to the Christogenea Community Conference

Voice/Chat Server go to <http://christogenea.net/connect>

Audios of all the above are available at <http://christogenea.org/audio/feed>

Christogenea 24/7 Internet Radio Streaming

The Radio pages can be found at <http://christogenea.org:8000/index.html>

and at <http://christogenos.org:8000/index.html>.