


## September Edition Number 33 - 2013



### E ditorial

Dear Reader

### **Prove All Things**

Christians have a clear scriptural obligation to substantiate the things which they profess. The apostle Paul admonishes us to "Prove all things; hold fast that which is good", while the apostle John further warns us to "believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world" (1 Thessalonians 5:21, 1 John 4:1). Therefore the proper acquisition of that proof requires an examination of both the message and the messenger.

### **The Valid Christian Ministry**

Certain Christian Identity adherents have now and again come to the conclusion that they are tired of hearing about the issue of race, and that they want to concentrate on so-called spiritual things, imagining what life may be in the Kingdom of Heaven, and what our future is with our God. This attitude is not a good strategy. While we certainly should all be engaged in prayer and have our sights set upon the hope to come, we are still in the battle stage of our existence here in this life on earth, and this is where Yahweh our God wants us to be, otherwise we would not be here at all. In fact, Yahweh God did not preserve the Canaanites that the children of Israel may fantasize about how wonderful life may be without them. Rather, Yahweh preserved them "Only that the generations of the children of Israel might know, to teach them war" (Judges 3:2). Yet in spite of this, throughout scripture salvation is promised to the children of Israel.

The precise nature of our hope in the world to come is for the most part conjectural, as the apostle John had said, that "we are children of Yahweh, and not yet has it been made manifest what we shall be" (1 John 3:2). A good soldier does not gloat over the unseen fruits of victory before the battle is won. One of our more sensible brethren has called such gloating "halo buffing". If your General, who in this case is Yahweh God Himself, has equipped you with the knowledge required to fight this battle which we wage in the present time, how can you merely consider the spoils of victory? And while we certainly only have that victory in Christ, we are nevertheless to fight the good fight. One does not win the race by gloating over the reward. To those who would say that we have nothing to do but to attain to our predestined place in the kingdom of heaven, I would answer this: if your destiny is to have no reward in that kingdom, then you are indeed describing just how you should achieve that destiny: By doing nothing more in the here and now than conjecturing as to what sort of reward you may have, you shall indeed have no reward. The Scripture says "Arise and thresh, O daughter of Zion", at Micah 4:13, and that is the call we await. Only with knowledge in the Word of God will Zion know what to thresh. It does not say "Arise, O daughter of Zion, and get in line for a handout." The harvest is great, and the workers are few. The mother of the sons of Zebedee had asked Christ for an ultimate reward for her sons, and He rebuked her (Matthew 20:20-23).

According to Scripture, the only valid ministry in this day and age, if indeed we believe that we are in the last days, is the ministry prophesied of that spirit of Elijah which is to come, as Christ has told us, and the duty of that ministry is spelled out in Malachi chapter 4: "1 For, behold, the day cometh, that shall burn as an oven; and all the proud, yea, and all that do wickedly, shall be stubble: and the day that cometh shall

burn them up, saith the LORD of hosts, that it shall leave them neither root nor branch. 2 But unto you that fear my name shall the Sun of righteousness arise with healing in his wings; and ye shall go forth, and grow up as calves of the stall. 3 And ye shall tread down the wicked; for they shall be ashes under the soles of your feet in the day that I shall do this, saith the LORD of hosts. [Arise and thresh, O daughter of Zion!] 4 Remember ye the law of Moses my servant, which I commanded unto him in Horeb for all Israel, with the statutes and judgments. 5 Behold, I will send you Elijah the prophet before the coming of the great and dreadful day of the LORD: 6 And he shall turn the heart of the fathers to the children, and the heart of the children to their fathers, lest I come and smite the earth with a curse. "The only valid Christian message in this day and age is therefore the message of White racial awareness found in Christian Identity, which is also the message of true brotherly love as we are commanded by Christ.

### What's in a Name?

We have recently witnessed an email exchange in which a certain so-called pastor says to one of our Christian brethren: "And where is the law that says 'Thou shalt not use an alias'?" Here is our answer.

The Gibeonites were a portion of the accursed Canaanites who came to Joshua and the children of Israel under an alias, pretending to be someone whom they were not. Using this alias, they pretended to be from another place, a place which was supposedly far away from the land of Canaan. Then they confessed to the children of Israel concerning all of the mighty works which Yahweh their God had done for them, and admitted His great favor for them. As the apostle James tells us, the devils also believe that there is one God, and they tremble.


With this pretense the Gibeonites deceived the children of Israel into making a league with them, with the Israelites promising never to do them harm. The Israelites did not enquire of Yahweh their God before making such a league, but they swore an oath to these men anyway. When they later found out the truth of the matter, once the mask was off and the true identity of these men from Gibeon had been exposed, the children of Israel foolishly upheld the notion that they had to keep their agreement, which was to their own detriment. These Gibeonites were Hivites of the town of Gibeon (cf. Joshua 9:7), and the Hivites not being destroyed as Yahweh had commanded, later they were a part of those who were left to punish Israel (cf. Judges 3:3).

Today we have a man pretending to be a teacher of Christian Identity, and he comes to us under an alias, just like the Gibeonites. Just like the Gibeonites, he pretends to be from a far-away place, namely Bavaria, when indeed he is really a Jew from Chicago. And now this man teaches that Canaanites can be blessed by God and shall survive in the last days. He has undertaken a large effort which he entitles "crumbs" in order to somehow prove his assumption, an effort to have the children of Israel once again accept the Canaanites among them. Just like the Gibeonites, it is the survival of the accursed Canaanite peoples that this man seeks. Now that this man's alias is discovered, and his true nature revealed, he is crying "foul" and pretending to be an injured party.


The singular lesson from Scripture is that we should not accept anyone under an alias. An alias is a lie, and no lie is the truth. But more importantly, the children of Israel are admonished by the apostle John, where in his epistle he says "Beloved, believe not every spirit, but try the spirits whether they are of God: because many false prophets are gone out into the world." Therefore Christians not only have a right, but an obligation, to know from exactly where their teachings are coming. There may not be a law against using an alias, but Christians surely should not accept those who do! The singular example in Scripture as to why the children of Israel should not accept anyone under an alias, is that of the Gibeonites in Joshua chapter 9.

William Finck, Editor

http://christogenea.org



### Contents **Editorial – Prove All Things** The Prophecy of Amos - Part Three - W R Finck 5 Genocide of the Boer in South Africa 12 South Africa's Only Remaining White ANC MP Assaulted & Strangled 16 The Holocaust TM Jewry's Weapon Against the West 17 Dr Fredrick Töben tells why He Doubts the Holocaust 20 Brave Jew World – L O'Farrell 25 The Hiroshima Myth Dr. G G. Kohls 29 Global Warming Computer Models Collapse – M Adams 33 Statins – The Greatest Medical Health Fraud of all time – H Stevenson 37 What is Christian Identity? 41 42 Announcements



# The Prophecy of Amos Part 3 WRFinck

Our ten-part series on the prophecy of Amos continues

he prophecy of Amos begins **■** with oracles against both Israel and Judah, and also against the Edomites, Syrians, Moabites and Ammonites and certain of their cities. We have already discussed the fates of many of these places, and presented much of what can be seen of the contemporary history of these places from ancient Assyrian inscriptions. This helps to demonstrate that the Biblical account of the history of this period certainly is true, and also to show that these prophecies indeed had the beginning of their fulfillment in the years subsequent to the time of the prophet. Here we shall commence with Amos chapter 2. continuing with the utterances against Moab, continuing also with our theme from the last two segments.

Since this second chapter of Amos opens with an oracle against Moab, and the reason given for Moab's punishment was a hideous act performed by Mesha, king of Moab

(cf 2 Kings chapter 3), we are going to begin by presenting the famous Moabite Stone. The following text is from *Ancient Near Eastern Texts Relating to the Old Testament*, James Pritchard, editor, published in 1969 by Princeton University Press, pp. 320-321:

#### The Moabite Stone

This important inscription was discovered intact in 1868: it was subsequently broken by the Arabs and in 1873 it was taken to the Louvre. The best publication is found in Dussaud, Les monuments palestiniens et judaiques (Musée du Louvre), 1912, pp. 4 - 22, with a magnificent photograph of the stela and a good bibliography. The work of Smend and Socin, Die Inschrift des Königs Mesa von Moab (1886), which was long standard, is not reliable, as was pointed out in detail by Renan and Clermont-Ganneau; see especially Lidzbarski, *Ephemeris*, I, pp. 1 -

10. The most recent competent translation is that of Gressmann, *AOT*, pp. 440 - 42. On the question of the authenticity of the text, which was strangely disputed for a long time (in spite of the fact that no forger of that time could possibly have divined the correct forms of letters in the ninth century B.C.), cf. Albright, *JQR*, xxxv, 1945, pp. 247 - 250.

For details of translation which depend on recent discoveries see especially Poebel, *Das appositionell bestimmte Pronomen* (Chicago, 1932), pp. 7 - 11; Albright, *BASOR*, 89, p. 16. n. 55. There are a number of words which were formerly obscure but which have now been found in other Northwest-Semitic inscriptions.

The date of the Mesha Stone is roughly fixed by the reference to Mesha, king of Moab, in II Kings 3:4, after 849 B.C. However, since the contents of the stela point to a date toward the end of the king's

reign, it seems probable that it should be placed between 840 and 820, perhaps about 830 B.C. in round numbers.

I (am) Mesha, son of Chemosh - [ ... ], king of Moab, the Dibonite - my father (had) reigned over Moab thirty years, and I reigned after my father, -(who) made this high place for Chemosh in Qarhoh [ ... ] because he saved me from all the kings and caused me to triumph over all my adversaries. As for Omri, (5) king of Israel, he humbled Moab many years (lit., days), for Chemosh was angry at his land. And his son followed him and he also said, "I will humble Moab." In my time he spoke (thus), but I have triumphed over him and over his house, while Israel hath perished for ever! (Now) Omri had occupied the land of Medeba, and (Israel) had dwelt there in his time and half the time of his son (Ahab), forty years; but Chemosh dwelt there in my time.

And I built Baal-meon, making a reservoir in it, and I built (10) Qaryaten. Now the men of Gad had always dwelt in the land of Ataroth, and the king of Israel had built Ataroth for them; but I fought against the town and took it and slew all the people of the town as satiation (intoxication) for Chemosh and Moab. And I brought back from there Arel (or Oriel), its chieftain, dragging him before

Chemosh in Kerioth, and I settled there men of Sharon and men of Maharith. And Chemosh said to me, "Go, take Nebo from Israel!" (15) So I went by night and fought against it from the break of dawn until noon, taking it and slaving all, seven thousand men, boys, women, girls and maid-servants, for I had devoted them to destruction for (the god) Ashtar-Chemosh. And I took from there the [ ... ] of Yahweh, dragging them before Chemosh. And the king of Israel had built Jahaz, and he dwelt there while he was fighting against me, but Chemosh drove him out before me. And (20) I took from Moab two hundred men, all first class (warriors), and set them against Jahaz and took it in order to attach it to (the district of) Dibon.

It was I (who) built Qarhoh, the wall of the forests and the wall of the citadel; I also built its gates and I built its towers and I built the king's house, and I made both of its reservoirs for water inside the town. And there was no cistern inside the town at Oarhoh, so I said to all the people, "Let each of you make (25) a cistern for himself in his house!" And I cut beams for Oarhoh with Israelite captives. I built Aroer, and I made the highway in the Arnon (valley); I built Beth-bamoth, for it had been destroyed; I built

Bezer - for it lay in ruins - with fifty men of Dibon, for all Dibon is (my) loyal dependency.

And I reigned [in peace] over the hundred towns which I had added to the land. And I built (30) [ ... ] Medeba and Beth-diblathen and Beth-baal-meon, and I set there the [ ... ] of the land. And as for Hauronen, there dwelt in it [ . . . . And] Chemosh said to me, "Go down, fight against Hauronen. And I went down [and I fought against the town and I took it], and Chemosh dwelt there in my time ....



The Moabite Stone is with all certainty an authentic discovery, which is but one of many that prove beyond doubt that the ancient kingdoms of the Hebrew Bible indeed existed as the Bible describes them. Of course, some critics would scoff, those who would have us believe that the

Bible is nothing but a fairy tale. But when the books of the Bible were first written, the men who wrote and preserved its pages down through time could by no means have known that we would dig such ancient inscriptions out of the ground so many centuries after they had been buried. This inscription, along with many others, verifies the historicity of the Bible for us in ways that cannot be honestly disputed.

The Moabites had been subjected to Israel by King David, as it is related in 2 Samuel chapter 8. In the time of King Ahab, in the period of the divided kingdom, Moab was subject to Israel. It is reported in 2 Kings chapter 1 that Moab revolted after the death of Ahab, however there it only says "Then Moab rebelled against Israel after the death of Ahab." The Moabite Stone obviously tells us the extent of that revolt, from the Moabite point of view.

2 Chronicles chapter 20 describes a battle between Jehoshaphat king of Judah and the Moabites. Ammonites and inhabitants of Mount Seir where Judah is victorious. That battle was before Ahaziah was king of Israel (2 Chronicles 20:35), and Joram (Jehoram) followed Ahaziah as king. Therefore the events of 2 Chronicles chapter 20 preceded those of 2 Kings chapter 3 by at least a couple of years, for Ahaziah had a relatively short reign. The events described on the Moabite Stone must have happened around the same time as those described in 2 Chronicles chapter 20, but they are only briefly characterized in 2 Kings chapter 1. Later, in 2 Kings chapter 3. Mesha the king of Moab, the same king of the Moabite

Stone, went to battle against Joram (Jehoram) and Jehoshaphat, the kings of Israel and Judah, and an unnamed king of Edom was allied with them.

2 Kings 3:21-27: "21 And when all the Moabites heard that the kings were come up to fight against them, they gathered all that were able to put on armour, and upward, and stood in the border. 22 And they rose up early in the morning, and the sun shone upon the water, and the Moabites saw the water on the other side as red as blood: 23 And they said, This is blood: the kings are surely slain, and they have smitten one another: now therefore, Moab, to the spoil. 24 And when they came to the camp of Israel, the Israelites rose up and smote the Moabites, so that they fled before them: but they went forward smiting the Moabites, even in their country. 25 And they beat down the cities, and on every good piece of land cast every man his stone, and filled it; and they stopped all the wells of water, and felled all the good trees: only in Kirharaseth left they the stones thereof; howbeit the slingers went about it, and smote it. 26 And when the king of Moab saw that the battle was too sore for him, he took with him seven hundred men that drew swords, to break through even unto the king of Edom: but they could not. 27 Then he took his eldest son that should have reigned in his stead, and offered him for a burnt offering upon the wall. And there was great indignation against Israel: and they departed from him, and returned to their own land."

Note here that the Second Book of Kings states that the king of Moab took and offered only "his son" as a burnt offering. The Judaean historian Flavius Josephus, in his *Antiquities of the Judaeans*, seems to clarify this passage, where in his interpretation the pronoun "his" in the phrase "his eldest son" indeed refers to the King of Moab:

Antiquities 9:38-43 (9.3.2, in part): 38 But when the Moabites heard that the three kings were coming upon them, and made their approach through the wilderness, the king of Moab gathered his army together presently, and commanded them to pitch their camp upon the mountains, that when the enemies should attempt to enter their country, they might not be concealed from them. 39 But when at the rising of the sun they saw the water in the torrent, for it was not far from the land of Moab, and that it was of the colour of blood, for at such a time the water especially looks red, by the shining of the sun upon it, they formed a false notion of the state of their enemies, as if they had slain one another from thirst: and that the river ran with their blood. 40 However, supposing that this was the case, they desired their king would send them out to spoil their enemies; whereupon, they all went in haste, as to an advantage already gained, and came to the enemy's camp, as supposing them destroved already; but their hope deceived them; for as their enemies stood around them, some of them were cut to pieces, and others of them were dispersed, and fled to their own country; 41 and when the kings fell into the land of Moab, they overthrew the cities that were in it, and spoiled their fields, and marred them, filling them with stones out of the brooks, and cut down the best of their trees, and stopped up their fountains of water,

and overthrew their walls to their foundations: 42 but the king of Moab, when he was pursued, endured a siege, and seeing his city in danger of being overthrown by force, made a sally, and went out with seven hundred men, in order to break through the enemy's camp with his horsemen, on that side where the watch seemed to be kept most negligently; and when, upon trial, he could not get away, for he came upon a place that was carefully watched, he returned into the city, and did a thing that showed despair and the utmost distress; 43 for he took his oldest son, who was to reign after him, and lifting him up upon the wall, that he might be visible to all the enemies, he offered him as a whole burnt offering to God, whom, when the kings saw, they pitied the distress that was the occasion of it, and were so affected, in way of humanity and pity, that they raised the siege, and everyone returned to his own house.

Here is 2 Kings 3:26-27 once again: "26 And when the king of Moab saw that the battle was too sore for him, he took with him seven hundred men that drew swords, to break through even unto the king of Edom: but they could not. 27 Then he took his eldest son that should have reigned in his stead, and offered him for a burnt offering upon the wall. And there was great indignation against Israel: and they departed from him, and returned to their own land."

The account is not provided in Chronicles. Now Josephus may have simply been expounding upon his interpretation of the Scripture which we have at 1 Kings 3:26-27. We shall see that this interpretation

seems to disagree with the words of Amos which open this chapter:

KJV Amos 2:1 Thus saith the LORD; For three transgressions of Moab, and for four, I will not turn away the punishment thereof; because he burned the bones of the king of Edom into lime:

So did the Moabite King Mesha burn his own son in sacrifice? Or is it possible that the pronoun "his" in 2 Kings 3:27 refers to the king of Edom, and did he burn the son of the king of Edom, captured in battle, he who would have been the king of Edom? In any case, Amos 2:1 certainly seems to be in conflict with the usual interpretations of 2 Kings 3:26-27. The Dead Sea Scrolls fragments of Amos do not shed any light on the topic, and Josephus disagrees with Amos.



While most modern versions, and Brenton's Septuagint English, read part of 2 Kings 3:27 much like the King James Version does, that because of the human sacrifice of the King of Moab "there was great indignation against Israel", the Septuagint Greek of 2 Kings 3:27 has a word, μετάμελος, which means regret, and not indignation. The Greek says "great regret came upon Israel". The reading of the Geneva Bible seems to support this, where it says "so that Israel was sore grieved".

Firstly, it makes no sense that anyone should have indignation against Israel, if Israel was at war with Moab and the king of Moab took it upon himself to do such a horrible thing as to sacrifice his own son. Secondly, neither would it make sense that Israel was grieved at the sight of an enemy destroying itself, no matter the horror of the crime. Human sacrifice was not unheard of at this time, it is spoken of quite often. So the pronoun is misunderstood in 2 Kings 3:27 and "his" refers instead to the king of Edom. Israel would indeed be grieved if such a thing happened to an ally. Next we shall examine as to why.

Why would Israel grieve for the King of Edom? And why would Yahweh care for the king of Edom? Because the king of Edom at the time was an Israelite, and not an Edomite. This period of time in 2 Kings chapter 3 is still during the time of Jehoshaphat, king of Judah, and under his rule Edom was subject to Judah. Therefore in 1 Kings 22: 47 we read "There was then no king in Edom, a deputy was king." This appointed deputy sitting as king was the king of 2 Kings chapter 3, who joined under the kings of Judah and Israel to go to war with Moab. It is this appointed king, or his son, on behalf of whom Yahweh takes issue with Moab here as it is expressed in Amos. Now it can be argued whether we could expect the son of the appointed king to maintain the appointment of his father after him. After the death of Jehoshaphat, Edom rebelled against Judah and made a king of their own, which is described in 2 Kings chapter 8.


All of this illustrates the many

problems we have understanding the very concise and abbreviated books of the Kings and Chronicles of Israel and Judah which have been left to us. These which we have are not the original records, but are abbreviated copies made at later dates. That is fully evident where throughout these books the perspective changes from the present to the reflective. They are not necessarily wrong, but they are often exceedingly abbreviated. While the Moabite Stone gives an entire account of Moab's earlier rebellion and insurrection against Israel and Judah, the text of 2 Kings chapter 1 recorded those same events with but one line. where it opens with the statement "Then Moab rebelled against Israel after the death of Ahab." This is compensated in a small part in 2 Chronicles chapter 20. So it is here that Amos provides an account of the human sacrifice conducted by the king of Moab that is quite different than the usual interpretation of that event as it is recorded in 2 Kings chapter 3. We may certainly prefer to follow the prophet in this instance.

2 But I will send a fire upon Moab, and it shall devour the palaces of Kerioth: and Moab shall die with tumult, with shouting, and with the sound of the trumpet: 3 And I will cut off the judge from the midst thereof, and will slay all the princes thereof with him, saith the LORD.

There were two ancient Biblical cities named Kerioth. The first was in Judah by the border of Edom and is apparently mentioned only in Joshua 15:25. The second is in Moab, and is mentioned here and twice in Jeremiah chapter 48 where

that prophet also records an oracle against Moab. So from Jeremiah it is readily apparent that the prophecy of Amos against Kerioth was not fulfilled in the days after the Assyrian invasions. Kerioth is not found today, although there are some assumptions concerning its former location.



From Jeremiah chapter 48:20-25, 40-44: "20 Moab is confounded: for it is broken down: howl and cry; tell ye it in Arnon, that Moab is spoiled, 21 And judgment is come upon the plain country; upon Holon, and upon Jahazah, and upon Mephaath, 22 And upon Dibon, and upon Nebo, and upon Bethdiblathaim, 23 And upon Kiriathaim, and upon Bethgamul, and upon Bethmeon, 24 And upon Kerioth, and upon Bozrah, and upon all the cities of the land of Moab, far or near. 25 The horn of Moab is cut off, and his arm is broken, saith the LORD.... 40 For

thus saith the LORD; Behold, he shall fly as an eagle, and shall spread his wings over Moab. 41 Kerioth is taken, and the strong holds are surprised, and the mighty men's hearts in Moab at that day shall be as the heart of a woman in her pangs. 42 And Moab shall be destroyed from being a people, because he hath magnified himself against the LORD. 43 Fear, and the pit, and the snare, shall be upon thee. O inhabitant of Moab, saith the LORD. 44 He that fleeth from the fear shall fall into the pit; and he that getteth up out of the pit shall be taken in the snare: for I will bring upon it, even upon Moab, the year of their visitation, saith the LORD."

Moab was listed as being tributary to the Assyrian king Tiglath-pileser III, who ruled from 744 to 727 BC., and again to Sargon II, who ruled from 721 to 705 BC (*ANET*, pp. 282, 287). It was still a tributary in the reigns of Esar-Haddon, from 680 to 669 BC, and Ashurbanipal, from 668 to 633 BC (*ANET*, pp. 291, 294, 298).

There is a passage in the Septuagint, which is not found in the Masoretic Text, which interpolates 2 Chronicles 36:5 and which relates that Moab was subject to and allied with the Babylonian invaders of Judaea: "Joachim was twenty-five years old when he began to reign, and he reigned eleven years in Jerusalem: and his mother's name was Zechora, daughter of Nerias of Rama. And he did that which was evil in the sight of the Lord, according to all that his fathers did. In his days came Nabuchodonosor king of Babylon into the land, and he served him three years, and then revolted from him. And the Lord

sent against them the Chaldeans, and plundering parties of Syrians, and plundering parties of the Moabites, and of the children of Ammon, and of Samaria; but after this they departed, according to the word of the Lord by the hand of his servants the prophets. Nevertheless the wrath of the Lord was upon Juda, so that they should be removed from his presence, because of the sins of Manasses in all that he did, and for the innocent blood which Joakim shed, for he had filled Jerusalem with innocent blood; yet the Lord would not utterly destroy them."

Moab seems to have disappeared as a national identity, but not as an ethnic one, in either the later Babylonian or the early Persian periods. Nehemiah, who as it can be established was governor of Judaea under the Persians until 490 BC, in his book mentions the presence of Ammonites, but Moabites are not mentioned in a contemporary context. Discussing Lot, the ancestor of the Moabites and Ammonites, Josephus says "The former of whom was the father of the Moabites, which is even still a great nation [in the ethnic sense - WRF]; the latter was the father of the Ammonites: and both of them are inhabitants of Coelosyria." Later Josephus counted the inhabitants of both Gilead and Moab as "Arabians" (Antiquities, 13:374). Discussing the period of Alexander the Great, Josephus again mentions the land of Moab and relates that it was at that time a possession of the King of Arabia (Antiquities, 13:382. 397).

The next part of the prophecy of Amos contains oracles against Judah and Israel. These will be presented at length in the next segment of this presentation. First, I would like to discuss some of the historical evidence of these ancient kingdoms. We have just seen the attestation of the text of the ancient Moabite Stone. In it we see the tribe of Gad mentioned explicitly, and in the same location that the Hebrew Bible places them.



Where the Moabite Stone says "Now the men of Gad had always dwelt in the land of Ataroth, and the king of Israel had built Ataroth for them", the Biblical Book of Numbers at 32:1-4 states "1 Now the children of Reuben and the children of Gad had a very great multitude of cattle: and when they saw the land of Jazer, and the land of Gilead, that, behold, the place was a place for cattle; 2 The children of Gad and the children of Reuben came and spake unto Moses, and to Eleazar the priest. and unto the princes of the congregation, saying, 3 Ataroth, and Dibon, and Jazer, and Nimrah, and Heshbon, and Elealeh, and Shebam, and Nebo, and Beon, 4 Even the country which the LORD smote before the congregation of Israel, is a land for cattle, and thy

servants have cattle:" This same inscription also mentions the Israelite king Omri, as the Assyrian inscriptions also often do.

The Greek historian of the first century BC, Diodorus Siculus, mentioned Moses as a historical figure, and the Exodus as a historical event. He also accounted Moses as a founder of cities (Library of History, 40.3.3-8). He explained that Moses was a law-giver, and compared him to other famous ancient law-givers, such as the Cretan Minos, the Spartan Lycurgus, Zalmoxis of the Getae, the Egyptian Sasychia, and the Persian Zarathustra (Library of History, 1.94.2). Now while he considered some of the laws attributed to Moses to be barbaric [or misanthropic] and even xenophobic [or actually misoxenic, hostile to strangers], he nonetheless fully accepted their historicity (Library of History, 34/35.1.3), and from multiple historical sources of his own

What is also evident, is that Diodorus Siculus accepted the Exodus account as a significant part in the greater story of the founding of what we would call Western Civilization. Diodorus quoted from the earlier historian Hecataeus of Abdera, the Greek historian and skeptic philosopher of the 4th century BC, who gave a strange account of the Israelite Exodus from Egypt from an ostensibly Egyptian viewpoint, where he says that "the aliens were driven from the country, and the most outstanding and active among them banded together and, as some say, were cast ashore in Greece and certain other regions; their leaders were notable men, chief among them being Danaus and Cadmus.

But the greater number were driven into what is now called Judaea ... The colony was headed by a man called Moses, outstanding both for his wisdom and for his courage" (*Library of History*, 40.3.1-3).

Strabo, another Greek historian, considered Moses to be a historical figure, wrote about him at length, and described him as being a pious and devout founder of a civil society in Judaea, centered around Jerusalem (*Geography*, 16.2.35-37). Like Diodorus Siculus, Strabo also counted Moses among those of his own list of esteemed prophets, law-givers and philosophers whom he attributed with the beginnings of what we would again call Western

Civilization, where he listed him notably among those of the Romans, Greeks, Assyrians, Persians, Getae and others (*Geography*, 16.2.39).

Surely, the Greeks considering themselves to be a generally quite blond and fair people, neither Diodorus Siculus nor Strabo could have possibly thought of Moses or the people of Judaea or of Mesopotamia to have been brown-skinned aliens, especially since the people of the Exodus (as both Danaus the Egyptian and Cadmus the Phoenician were accounted by Diodorus Siculus) were explicitly esteemed to have been the forebears or even the founders of much of the

civilization of the Greeks! Furthermore, regardless of what they thought about the miracles or the religious aspects of the Biblical literature, the great Greek philosophers and historians nevertheless accepted the historicity of the Biblical narrative. Concerning all of those who invent tales and who reject the historicity of our Bibles, one day we shall indeed shut the mouths of all of these detractors, and as Joseph Goebbels often said, we shall one day grab the Jews by the throat and shut their lying mouths. As Goebbels also said, "One day all of the lies will collapse under their own weight, and the truth will again triumph!"

### Judaism versus Hebrewism

Here is what some Jewish scholars have had to say about Judaism and the religion of the ancient Israelites of the Bible:

"This is not an uncommon impression and one finds it sometimes among Jews as well as Christians - that Judaism is the religion of the Hebrew Bible [Old Testament]. It is, of course, a fallacious impression... Judaism is not the religion of the Bible." (Rabbi Ben Zion Bokser, "Judaism and the Christian Predicament", page 59)

"The return from Babylon, and the adoption of the Babylonian Talmud, mark the end of Hebrewism and the beginning of Judaism." (Rabbi Stephen S. Wise, formerly Chief Rabbi of the United States)

"Judaism is, specifically, the religion of a Jewish community living among Gentile peoples and is to be distinguished from the religion of ancient Israel." (Funk & Wagnalls Standard Reference Encyclopedia, 1959, Volume 14)



The people behind the website <u>Unite Red October</u> have a clearly leftist bent, even quoting communist icons such as the negro Martin Luther King Jr. However it is comforting that even leftists have begun to recognize the genocide against the White Boer currently under way in South Africa under the auspices, even the protection, of the black South African governemt. Therefore we reproduce this article from their website. - *Editor* 

### Genocide of the Boer in South Africa

"Nothing reaches far and wide like murmurings of Genocide. Yet nothing else sounds more bizarre when hearing it from lands afar. Ignorance is bliss they say so I am wiping it away. You cannot claim you didn't know or try to say it isn't so..."

In less than 10 years, thousands of Rhino have been slaughtered for their horns! This is a travesty! Even more so as there is no scientific proof that Rhino horn has any medicinal property what so ever. Yet some claim that is a powerful aphrodisiac and one man claims it can cure cancer. There is absolutely NO truth to these claims, but still these majestic animals are on the brink of extinction!

In 2012 alone 381 rhino have paid the ultimate price for these ridiculous claims! Most of the rhino are killed in, of all places, the Kruger National Park! ...one of South Africa's main tourist attractions and supposedly a safe environment for our much treasured Wild Life. Most of the

rhino horn is sold on the Black market in Asia! Our Government stockpiles the horns that are confiscated by the police, but there is no clarity as to how much they have or what is to be done with it. We seem to be unable to get this problem under control and the more effort that is put into stopping this, the more popular these horns become!

There is a huge outcry, locally and internationally to DO something about this inhumane slaughtering of our rhino and our Government has given heed to this, BECAUSE the world is getting involved! We thank you for this!

I am, however writing this piece to BEG people from all over the world to give the same kind of attention, if not more, to another, even more horrific and pressing disaster facing South Africa! I refuse to believe that we live in a world where HUMAN life is less valued than that of animals and yet, it seems to be the case when it comes to getting the world to sit up and take notice of the fact that the WHITE BOER PEOPLE of South Africa are literally ALSO on the brink of extinction!

More than 4 000 White Farmers (Boers) have been murdered in Brutal Farm Attacks and that number increases daily and thousands more "Boers" are being murdered in our Cities for no apparent reason other than that they are WHITE!

Please, do not think for even a second, that I am exaggerating! Here are the FACTS!

In 1991 there were 5.6 Million Whites in South Africa. Today we are less than 4.3 Million! ...and of the 100 000 commercial farmers in South Africa, only 29 000 are left!

We have been placed on level 6 of a possible 8, by Genocide Watch, an International organization that monitors, not only the number of people that are being brutally murdered in Race driven killings, but the political and socio-economic environment in which these people find themselves. These first 6 stages are...

### 1. CLASSIFICATION

Referring to people or groups op people as "Us" and "Them", according to race, ethnicity, religion or nationality.

We (the European people) are referred to by the black population of South Africa, by several names that include "Boer", "Whitey", "iBhunu", etc.

### 2. SYMBOLIZATION

Giving names or symbols to the classifications – in our case referring to us as "Boers" or "Whiteys". Classification and symbolization are universally human and do not necessarily result in genocide, unless they leadto the next stage, dehumanization.

...which, in our case, it has! Songs are sung about "Killing the Boer". A particular song called "Dubula iBhunu", meaning "Kill/shoot the Boer", is even sung by our current State President at huge public gatherings to the great pleasure of thousands of his followers, despite our Supreme Court ruling that this song constitutes Hate Speech! You can find proof of this by viewing

the following link ...

### http://www.youtube.com/watch? v=6fzRSE\_p1Ys

### 3. DEHUMANIZATION

One group denies the humanity of the other group. Members of it are equated with animals, vermin, insects or diseases.

Dehumanization overcomes the normal human revulsion against murder.



When faced with what is being done to our people, this revulsion clearly doesn't exist anymore! Babies are being shot at point blank range! Elderly women are being raped and tortured by burning them with clothing irons and shoving anything from broomsticks to smashed bottles into their vaginas! People are being hacked to death with pangas, their heads smashed with bricks and stones, their throats slit with blunt knives... There is NO end to the horrendous brutality with which my people are being murdered!!! If you doubt this, simply type the words "Boer Genocide" in Google!!

### **Articles on Boer Genocide**

#### 4. ORGANIZATION

Genocide is always organized,

usually by the state, though sometimes informally or by terrorist groups. Special army units or militias are often trained and armed. Plans are made for genocidal killings.

In South Africa, the Government is simply turning a blind eye to this and calling it "Normal Crime" at worst! These murders are by no means random nor are they disorganized! The killers/murderers always work in groups – mostly 4-5. They steal very little and what they do take is considered "loot" or "reward" for a job well done. In many cases they arrive on foot and steal a vehicle as a means of getting away. These vehicles are then simply discarded along the way and often burnt. The process of "organizing" a complete WIPEOUT of the Boer people was very well thought through.

\*Firstly the South African Government ordered that firearm owners should surrender all their firearms though a hugely unconstitutional and completely illegal campaign. Most of the law abiding citizens complied for fear of prosecution. These weapons were to be destroyed, but there is NO evidence of this ever having been done. To make matters worse, over 20 000 weapons have gone "missing" from the Police Force's arsenal, with no reports as to what happened to these guns and in addition nobody was ever prosecuted for negligence regarding the disappearance of these firearms!

\*Secondly, all the Commandos (volunteers with army training operating under strict governance of our Defense Force) that used to keep our farming communities safe, were disbanded by our current Government, thus leaving our Farmers defenseless against these attacks. Despite promises from the Government that police officials and Defense Force units will replace the Commandos, nothing has transpired ever since!

\*Thirdly, much is being made by the SA Government of "redistributing" farmland. They have created the impression that most of our arid land is still in the hands of the "Boers" and that they are bluntly refusing to sell land to the Government who will in turn distribute this land to Black people to farm on. This is a lie, as most of the land in South Africa already belongs to the Government and up till now, no audit has been done to establish how much agricultural land is owned by the black people and how much land is being registered in the names of Foreign owners. Some of these farms previously developed by white farmers, have been given to Black communities to farm on, but without significant success. These farms have become barren, costing thousands of people their jobs and livelihood and seriously threatening our food supply in SA. All of these factors are creating an environment where the hatred for the Boer is being aggravated and these farmers are considered the enemy of the "People" ... and our leaders then singing songs about killing or shooting the "Boer", is certainly NOT helping!

### 5. POLARIZATION

Extremists drive the groups apart. Hate groups broadcast polarizing propaganda. Laws are passed with racial criteria that further oppresses the already marginalized group...

...in this case the White Boer People!

South Africa is currently the only country in the WORLD that has laws in place to "Protect" a 60 Million Majority against a 4.5 Million Minority! The most obvious ones being:

\*Affirmative Action (AA) – in terms of which businesses are forced to employ workers in accordance to the percentage of the population in the country – thus meaning that 92% of the work force HAS to be black whether they have the necessary qualifications or not!

\*Black Economic Empowerment (BEE) – This law forces businesses with a certain turnover P/A to give 35% of their shares to a Black Business Partner. Businesses are audited and prosecuted if they are not BEE compliant. Since capability and skills have left the Government sector at the time when the white employees who were dismissed, the Government had to contract consultants to fulfill the duties of those qualified and competent white people who were dismissed. Once more, the Government is now compelled by law to only award ALL Government contracts to those private companies who are in possession of a BEE-certificate. This certificate is issued by the Government on completion of a thorough investigation by the Government to confirm that a private company has sufficient Black shareholders and employees ON ALL levels of their work force. \*Quota System – All Sport Unions are forced to apply a quota system when choosing players for Provincial and National teams and the percentages have to again be

representative of the population, thus leaving capable sportsmen and —women with no way to participate unless they are black. In practice, this means that sportsmen and —woman are elected to represent their province/country on the basis of the colour of their skin and not on merit at all.

All of this whilst our Highly Praised Constitution states VERY clearly that:

Section 9: The right to equality before the law and freedom from discrimination. Prohibited grounds of discrimination include race, gender, sex, pregnancy, marital status, ethnic or social origin, colour, sexual orientation, age, disability, religion, conscience, belief, culture, language and birth.



Polarizing Propaganda is openly preached from public stages at political gatherings and white people are being called "Thieves and Murderers" to the delight of cheering crowds. Due to large scale corruption, the current Government is hardly able to deliver any of the promised services to the poorest of the poor, but instead of owning up to the fact that BILLIONS are lost or stolen from our annual budget, white people are simply blamed for the horrendous conditions in which more than 25% of the population is

currently living. This is furthering the utter hatred behind the Genocide of the "Boer people"!

### 6. PREPARATION

Victims are identified and separated out because of their ethnic or religious identity. Death lists are drawn up. Members of victim groups are forced to wear identifying symbols. They are often segregated into ghettoes, forced into concentration camps, or confined to a famine-struck region and starved.

We have now entered this phase in South Africa. We need not wear symbols as we are identified simply because we are White! In less than 10 years, more than 600 000 White people have ended up in Squatter Camps (ghettos) mostly because they have been forced to resign due to the Affirmative Action Legislation (i.e. due to the colour of their skin). These people live in horrifying circumstances with absolutely NO hope of receiving any help from the Government to acquire shelter and food!

There are only 2 levels left before my people, the ones that are left, will literally have to "run for their lives"! More than 1 Million White South Africans have left the country already – some after experiencing crime first hand. Some because their very own family members have been murdered and some to find employment in countries where their skin colour will NOT be a disqualification.

### 7. EXTERMINATION

Extermination begins, and quickly becomes the mass killing legally called "genocide." It is "extermination" to the killers,

because they do not believe their victims to be fully human. When it is sponsored by the state, the armed forces often work with militias to execute the killing.

The frightening truth is that, although this is seen as the second last level of Genocide and we have NOT been placed here yet, we are already experiencing a level of extermination that the world simply seems to ignore bluntly! In 1994 there were 133 000 commercial farmers in South Africa. Today, there are only 33 000. More than 4 000 of these farmers have been murdered!!! Many of our farmers sold their land out of fear of these attacks and many more have been forced to sell their land to the Government for their "Land Redistribution" plan.

Our Farmers are not the only targets though! Thousands of our Boer people are murdered in Cities and Towns. More often than not, the elderly are the victims of these Hate-crimes and the brutality, with which these people are being killed, is utterly shocking! You can read the following link [http://nolstuijt.wordpress.com/201 2/02/25/attack-reports-feb-24-2012 /] regarding some of the more recent incidents:

# **Torture and Murder of the Elderly**

### 8. DENIAL

Denial is the eighth stage that always follows genocide. It is among the surest indicators of further genocidal massacres. The perpetrators of genocide dig up the mass graves, burn the bodies, try to cover up the evidence and intimidate the witnesses. They deny that they committed any crimes, and often blame what happened on

the victims. They block investigations of the crimes, and continue to govern until driven from power by force, when they flee into exile.



Don't politicise farm murders - Police Minister Mthethwa

Although we have not reached this stage, our Government is already denying the fact that the Boer Nation is being targeted in these brutal killings ant they prefer to refer to these brutal killings as "Normal Crime" – part of our everyday lives in South Africa. You can read this claim in the article at politicsweb.co.za: South African Government denying Genocide of the Boer.

After reading all of this, I can only hope that you will realize that we are in dire need of your help to avoid more barbaric murders, eliminating our numbers to a point where resistance is not possible any longer! If you choose to turn a blind eye and NOT concern yourself with this utter human travesty, it will be a sad day indeed...

Please forward this article to as many people as you possibly can! Make sure that you bring our plight under the attention of your political organizations, politicians and Governments! To us it is a matter of life and death. My People are dying EVERY DAY, due to these senseless murders! YOU can help to put an end to this!



# South Africa's only White ANC MP Assaulted and Strangled by Black Criminals

South Africa's only current white ANC Member of Parliament, Sue van der Merwe, 58, is recovering after being attacked and strangled to unconsciousness in her luxury Cape Town home, police in that city have said.

Van der Merwe's house was also ransacked. The two black males "assaulted and strangled her until she lost consciousness," police Captain Frederick van Wyk was quoted as saying.

The attackers used a crowbar to force open the back door to the house in the upmarket suburb of Rondebosch while the ANC MP was inside. Her injuries included a fractured arm, cuts and bruises.

She was on the telephone to her son at the time when the blacks entered the house, and was able to tell him of the intrusion. He then called a private security company, ADT, not the notoriously inefficient black-run South African police, to come to her aid.

He then also sped to his mother's house, and so doing probably saved her life. Upon his arrival, the criminals fled, leaving the far

leftist MP lying unconscious on the floor. Had he been a few minutes later, it is likely they would have killed her.



A few items, including Van der Merwe's car and bank cards, were taken


Van der Merwe is a veteran leftist activist who, before the unbanning of the ANC in 1990, worked for one of its many front organizations inside South Africa. She was first elected as an MP in 1996, and served as deputy minister of foreign affairs from 2004 to 2009.

Cape Town has long been—incorrectly—regarded by many South African whites as a refuge from the crime of the northern city of Johannesburg. In fact, the crime rate in the southern coastal city, famous for its mountain ranges which look over the sea, has for many years had a far higher crime rate than Johannesburg.

In 2012, one black suburb of Cape Town, Nyanga, took the top spot for murders and crime in all South Africa, and was dubbed the "most dangerous place to be a South African" by a local newspaper.

The crime rate among the large numbers of mixed-race inhabitants of Cape Town's suburbs is equally notorious, with the most violent gangs all centered on the region known as the "Cape Flats." Policing is atrocious, and 84 percent of murder and attempted murder cases originating from five gang hotspots in the Western Cape end in acquittals because of botched investigations.

Van de Merwe was lucky in that her assault, unusually, ended prematurely.



Museum of Tolerance, Jerusalem

## The Holocaust™ - Organized Jewry's Psychological Weapon Against Westerners, Particularly Americans

James L. Miller, PhD

Sometime before World War II, a coup took place in the United States - our government was hijacked by a group of Jewish globalist Super-elites. Thus, it really doesn't matter who is "President": Republican, Democrat, Bush, Obama, etc... they all work for Jewish globalists. Obama is just the latest employee of these Jewish Super-elites and their Gentile lackeys; he merely carries out their orders. In light of this, dissent is the only true form of patriotism - the Founding Fathers would agree.

hink of the word "Holocaust" as a marketing *brand*. It is an extremely powerful brand because Jews have been promoting it via their domination of American news media and Hollywood. The end result? A Pavlovian-type response from John/Jane Q. Citizen when he/she hears the word "Holocaust." A person simply says the word "Holocaust" and the average American experiences an emotion of sadness/horror and, "... oh those poooooor poooooor Jews and those evil. horrible Nazis.... terrible... just terrible... the horror of it all." If that's how you feel when you hear the word "Holocaust" then you have been conditioned due to a lifetime of watching American TV/film/etc (which is Jewish dominated).

John/Jane Q. Citizen's emotion-laden response is the primary purpose and goal of a decades-long, Jewish driven "Holocaust" campaign. This campaign went into overdrive in August 1971 (NOTE: It started during the Nuremberg Trials following WWII but was radically accelerated in 1971).

Incidentally, prior to 1971, the actual word "Holocaust" wasn't a word any American had ever heard of. The word "Holocaust" came out of a focus group meeting at the Jewish Documentation Center in Vienna, Austria. The goal was to brand this particular WWII event (i.e., that which involved Jews, camps, etc) and ultimately cause a Pavlovian-type response when a person simply heard the word

"Holocaust."

They chose "Holocaust" because they felt it was both memorable and descriptive. Further, they made sure it was always spelled with a capital "H" to elevate its "importance." Next, the <u>Jewish Documentation Center</u> and later the <u>Simon Wiesenthal Center</u> in Los Angeles endlessly promoted the "Holocaust" *brand* to the public in conjunction with their brethren in Jewish-dominated Hollywood.

So what's this all about? Basically, Jews want you to feel sorry for them. Why? Because any group of people viewed as "victims" tend to be allowed much leeway within society. Perceived "victims" get away with doing all kinds of questionable acts (simply consider

### what Israel gets away with)

because of human tendency to side with the perceived underdog/victim. Hence, most people naturally think, "oh give them a break... haven't those poor people suffered enough...". This tactic works like a charm.

It's important to grasp this particular Jewish tactic -- keep your eyes and ears open for it in your day-to-day life. Once you recognize this tactic in a media story, film, news item, etc you'll have begun the process of becoming "awake" (at least with respect to the "Holocaust").

Let's look at the actual *brand names*. There's the main *brand*, Holocaust<sup>TM</sup>, as well as several (I call them) *sub-brands*.

## Holocaust<sup>TM</sup> (the

all-encompassing brand -- the *main* brand -- the 'top-level' brand)

And, as just stated, the "Holocaust" is also marketed to the public with many *sub-brands* which fall into two categories (incidentally, these are repeated so often in the media, they essentially become powerful *brands* in their own right): Single words/names and Slogans.

Again, I'll repeat this very important point -- think of these additional words/names/slogans as *sub-brands* since they fall under the all-encompassing *brand* --> **Holocaust<sup>TM</sup>**. The most common of the **Holocaust<sup>TM</sup>** *sub-brands* are:

**Auschwitz<sup>TM</sup>** (there were many German-run camps and yet we only hear about Auschwitz - this was a

deliberate decision by the Jewish propagandists - keep the public focused on a single camp because they'll remember the name of one camp, but if you throw 20 camps at them, they'll remember none).

6 Million™ (more like 1 million Jews died of all causes -- Jewish deaths resulted from, 1) pro-Soviet Jews fighting as partisans on the Eastern front, 2) massive USAF/RAF carpet bombings of Germany and other Axis targets, and 3) starvation/disease which was rampant in the camps and elsewhere in Europe at the tail end of the war, as nearly everyone, Jews and Gentiles, were starving/dying of disease since Europe was in ruins).

Never Again<sup>TM</sup> (the implication is that all of humanity must work to protect Jews).

Never Forget<sup>TM</sup> (the implication is that Jews must be classified as the "ultimate victim group," thus setting the stage for ongoing monetary restitution and sympathy for Jews).

Oh the horror<sup>TM</sup> (Jews seem to 'love' the word "horror" as its more dramatic sounding than, say, "tragedy" or "abomination"... nearly every Holocaust story will use "horror" to characterize some event of the Holocaust).

Gas Chambers<sup>™</sup> (yes, but only for delousing clothes and mattresses; no human was ever gassed to death by the Germans).

**Anne Frank**<sup>TM</sup> (they've marketed her as a sort of 'martyr').

Perished<sup>TM</sup> (Jews never say "died"

when referring to the Holocaust... instead they always use the more dramatic word "perished").

The Holocaust is the most documented genocide in human history<sup>TM</sup> (and yet no concrete documentation... FYI: Bad Arolsen's I.T.S. archive amounts to nothing more than 28MM documents of chaff... it's nothing more than standard and mundane German records pertaining to all facets of the war).

My whole family was wiped out<sup>TM</sup> (absurd... they all say this... impossible to check out their stories).

We fled the Nazis in 1933<sup>TM</sup> (1934, 1935, 36, 37... fill in the year). Jews like the word "fled" because it sounds dramatic - as if Jews were running from SS soldiers shooting at them. In reality, 95% of Jews simply felt the pressure, packed their belongings, bought a train or boat ticket, boarded, and left Germany.

We lived in an attic, cellar, closet/etc for 3 years hiding from the Nazis<sup>TM</sup> (the odd frequency of such stories combined with their absurdity makes them not believable).

**Dr. Mengele<sup>TM</sup>** (one of many doctors at Auschwitz<sup>TM</sup> and yet seemingly every Jew amazingly had an encounter with him. Further Dr. Mengele was a hygienist MD who worked at Auschwitz<sup>TM</sup> to examine inmates for proper hygiene in order to keep them alive).

**Angel of Death™** (the moniker of Dr. Mengele - "Angel of Death???"

Straight from a Hollywood script writer).

As with any business, the "Holocaust" needs a corporate spokesman:



Elie Wiesel - The "Patron Saint" of the Holocaust<sup>TM</sup>

Further, the Holocaust<sup>TM</sup> industry has a headquarters facility - *The Simon Wiesenthal Center*. The Holocaust<sup>TM</sup> industry also has a separate facility for marketing operations (and also acting as its flagship indoctrination center) ... *The Museum Of Tolerance*.

The Simon Wiesenthal Center is the de facto headquarters where Jewish propagandists scheme and strategize on how best to use the so-called "Holocaust" as a psychological weapon against the Western World.

The Simon Wiesenthal Center works closely with their Jewish brethren in Hollywood to flood Western media with endless films/TV mini-series', as well as both print and broadcast "news" items which perpetuate "Holocaust" dogma.

Holocaust "survivors" on the public school speaking circuit get their talking points (i.e., guidelines) from the Simon Wiesenthal Center. The objective is to maintain a public perception of Jews being, "the ultimate victim group."

Incidentally, the two other main "Holocaust" propaganda mills are the <u>ADL</u> offices in New York and <u>Yad Vashem</u> in Israel.

Regarding the Museum Of Tolerance... tolerance??? What "tolerance" have Jews shown the Palestinians in the 65 years after they stole their land What "tolerance" have Jews ever shown Christians, Muslims, or any Gentile peoples? Each day, school buses filled with impressionable children visit this "museum" where they are implicitly told that Whites are evil. horrible, racist, enslaving oppressors. In short, this "museum" indoctrinates school children to hate Whites. Everything Jews accuse Germans of, Jews are guilty of, whereas Germans are, for all intents and purposes, guilty of none such things.

While Jews will never publicly admit it, they feel superior to all Gentiles (Jews refer to non-Jews as "goy" i.e., cattle) Jews call themselves "The Chosen People." Hence, the corollary is that if you're not Jewish, you're not chosen (i.e., you're "less"). This mindset is known as Jewish Supremacism. Take thirty minutes out of your busy life and learn the truth about the holocaust... What Was The Holocaust... What Actually Happened? at immigration-globalization.blogspot .co.uk

Lastly, organized Jewry uses the Holocaust<sup>TM</sup> as a way to unify all Jewish people. How??? By constantly reminding Jews of the Holocaust, this embeds a collective

victimhood psyche in the mind of each Jew... a victimhood of "epic proportion" (i.e., the supposed "6 million" Holocaust victims). This historical "memory" is generally felt by each and every Jew in the world.



The Museum Of Tolerance (operated by The Simon Wiesenthal Center) Los Angeles, CA

Guilt is placed on the Germans but, even more broadly, guilt is pinned on the whole of the Western World for supposedly "remaining silent while the 'gas chambers' were operating around the clock." Amazingly, some Jewish "scholars" have even placed the **entire world** responsible for, "turning a blind eye to this *supposed* mass genocide of 6 million Jews." The end result??? An "us -vs- them" psyche in each and every Jew's mind (*i.e.*, "we Jews must stick together and be on constant guard against the Gentiles").

In closing, the *unifying* use of the "Holocaust" is something which many Jews openly promote - dozens of books by Jewish authors have been published on the topic of the "Holocaust" being a powerful and very effective force toward maintaining cohesion of world Jewry.



Editor's Note: Lasha Darkmoon has done an excellent job here of exposing the ongoing, fabricated fantasy of the 20th century known as the "Jewish Holocaust", a deception of such magnanimous proportions that it has, to a great degree, bewitched the planet and provided all the subsequent justifications by world Jewry and the state of Israel for their endless crimes against humanity since World War II. For those who still doubt that the "official" story is legitimate I would highly recommend reading Lasha's overview and then watching the video interview between Ernst Zundel and Fredrick Töben. Until the world comes to the realization of just how grotesquely it has been hoodwinked by this diabolic plot to sway the consciousness of the world the rest of the puzzle of today's climate of horror and war will never be fully understood.

### Töben tells Zundel why he doubts the Holocaust

### A Video talk introduction by Lasha Darkmoon

amous "Holocaust denier" Ernst Zundel is here seen interviewing his fellow revisionist Dr Fredrick Töben (left) in this 100-minute cult classic video. Zundel questions Dr Töben about his early life and the evolution of his ideas. The interview throws a fascinating light on the genesis of a "Holocaust denier" and raises the important question: how does one break free from the prevailing mass hypnosis practiced on the public through the Jew-owned mass media and finally come to realize the shocking truth—that what is now purported to be the central feature of WW2, the Holocaust, is in fact the most spectacular hoax of all time.

Before you listen to the video, I would like to set the scene and make some general observations of my own about the Holocaust in general and Dr Töben in particular. Dr Fredrick Töben was born in

northern Germany in June 1944, but emigrated to Australia when he was ten. He has lived most of his life in Australia and is an Australian citizen. Having studied at Melbourne University in Australia, he went on to attend the universities of Heidelberg and Tübingen for postgraduate studies, finally acquiring his doctorate in philosophy from Stuttgart university.

Töben specialized in the thought of German political philosopher, Karl Popper, whose doctrine of scientific falsifiability has now become a cornerstone of modern science. According to this theory, any hypothesis that is not empirically testable and "falsifiable" is not a scientifically valid hypothesis, i.e., it is mere metaphysics or "dogma".

It seems to me that Töben, educated in the philosophy of science, decided at some point to apply the same idea of "falsifiability" to the Holocaust. He examined the historical data of World War II methodically, with the precision required of a philosopher of science, and found that the facts presented by the traditional Holocaust scholars simply did not add up. The Holocaust narrative, he concluded, amounted to no more than a religious dogma: a belief unsupported by solid evidence.

Having reached this momentous conclusion — that the traditional Holocaust narrative was essentially belief in a new religion which might be called "Holocaustianity" — Dr Töben was to bring down the wrath of the Jewish establishment on his head.

As a result of his politically incorrect views, Töben was systematically bullied, victimized and vilified as a "Holocaust denier" and anti-Semitic racist. He was to receive two prison sentences, one in Germany and one in Australia. He was also to receive crippling fines that amounted to the total

confiscation of his property. All this was to demonstrate to the world what his supporters already knew: that the vindictive malice and cruelty of his persecutors knew no bounds.

Organized Jewry, having taken control of most of the Western world's media and legislative institutes, has now succeeded in making it a crime to question the Holocaust in 17 countries: Austria, Belgium, Canada, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, Israel, Liechtenstein, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, and Switzerland.

Dr Töben has always shown himself a plucky and highly principled opponent who has refused to buckle and bend under Jewish intimidation, refusing on several occasions to apologize for his views when an apology might well have diminished the severity of Jewish vengeance. To stand up to the Jewish power structure has now, as noted above, become a heinous crime in seventeen countries. Indeed, even to draw attention to this disgraceful fact, as I do now, is a crime in the Jewish lexicon. Kowtowing to Jewry is now compulsory. De Iudaiis nil nisi bonum.

Töben, like Ernst Zundel and so many other revisionist historians, considers the Holocaust to be a barefaced lie: a myth perpetuated (in his own words) by "the Holocaust racketeers, the corpse peddlers, and the Shoah Business Merchants." He has further asserted, to the accompaniment of howls of rage from the traditional enemies of free speech, that "the current US government is

influenced by world Zionist considerations to retain the survival of the European colonial, apartheid, Zionist, racist entity of Israel."

Dr Töben clearly entertains no love for the state of Israel, which is in itself regarded as a shocking crime nowadays, for any negative criticism of Israel is seen as a symptom of anti-Semitism.

In 2005, in an interview with Iranian state television, Töben made the controversial comment: "Israel is founded on the Holocaust lie." See Wikipedia.

The fact that millions of educated people all over the world happen to believe that Dr Töben is right does not detract from the controversial nature of the above statement that Israel is founded upon the Holocaust lie. If there were a way of throwing all these millions of Holocaust skeptics into prison, the state of Israel and its zealous supporters would not hesitate to do so. Unfortunately (or fortunately) they cannot consign so many millions of people to prison. It just isn't practical. There are not enough prison cells in the world for so many potential prisoners.

How did Dr Töben end up in a German prison? Well, that's simple: he went to Germany. And soon after he got there, the authorities nabbed him. In April, 1999, they put him in prison, without offering him bail, and kept him there for the next seven months while awaiting trial. He was then accused in court of inciting racial hatred, insulting the memory of the dead, and public denial of the genocide of six million Jews in gas chambers.

After three days of careful consideration by Judge Klaus Kern, in which neither Töben nor his lawyer Ludwig Bock was allowed to mount a defense—for any defense against "Holocaust denial" is itself regarded as a further crime!

—Töben was found guilty and sentenced to 10 months in prison.

Taking into consideration the seven months he had already served in custody, Judge Kern ruled that Töben could be released on payment of a fine of 6,000 marks (\$3,500) in lieu of the three months remaining of his prison sentence. German sympathizers raised the money at once, without difficulty, and Töben was a free man within 24 hours of the verdict.

"This is a victory for free speech," Töben commented upon his release, and went on to add defiantly: "I will not be silenced! I intend to keep on using the Internet to promote discussion on these issues. I believe in seeking the truth. Why are they so afraid in Germany of allowing open discussion about the so-called Holocaust? It can only be because they are afraid of the truth."

[www.ihr.org]

Afraid of the truth! Cutting words. But if this is the only way to coerce belief in the Holocaust—to punish people in seventeen countries for daring to doubt the word of the soap-and-lampshade propagandists—there is little hope that Holocaustianity will prevail for much longer as the world's newest religion.

Even during Töben's trial, his lawyer Ludwig Bock had compared the prosecution of Töben and other "Holocaust deniers" to the trials of witches in the Middle Ages. The judge had just sat there, rigid and stone-faced, unable to deny what was only too apparent: that Töben was to be just another innocent victim of a Jewish witch-hunt.

"I wanted the court to go with me to Auschwitz to see the evidence," Töben pointed out reasonably. "In any case where murder is alleged, there has to be a murder weapon. I have been to Auschwitz and I know there is no mass murder weapon there. The so-called homicidal gas chambers do not exist."

French Revisionist historian Robert Faurission had said pretty much the same thing.

"Show me or draw me a Nazi gas chamber!" Faurisson had challenged his critics. "I'm still waiting!"

No one has been able to do so. Not a single gas chamber has ever been produced as evidence. What is more, the gullible public don't even know this. It is universally assumed that there are dozens of gas chambers dotted all around Germany and Poland when in fact there are *none*.



It is sobering to reflect that British historian David Irving—who

probably knows more about the Holocaust than anyone alive today —was fined 30,000 marks (\$21,000) for publicly stating what is now openly and authoritatively conceded: that the extermination gas chamber shown to tourists in Auschwitz was a mock-up or "dummy". It had never gassed a single Jew. It had been built by the Russians *after* the war.

For decades, the authorities had deliberately lied to the public by pretending that this fake gas chamber was an authentic one.

How many Holocaust hoaxes have there been? Wikipedia, which has produced countless articles on every aspect of the Holocaust, has failed as yet to produce a single article giving us a comprehensive list of Holocaust hoaxes.

Anne Frank has been written about at great length, but the fact that her famous memoir is essentially a forgery concocted by her uncle and a Hollywood scriptwriter (who was asked to write the dialogue) has not been revealed. Elie Wiesel, at every opportunity, relates his Holocaust woes to the world, but is too shy to show us his Holocaust tattoo. Geysers spouting blood. Jewish girls being adopted by kindly wolves and nursed on wolf milk. Jewish couples falling in love with each other behind barbed wire, losing each other after the war. only to meet up again decades later for a whirlwind romance and matrimonial consummation. The schmaltz is only equaled by the brazen mendacity.

How many tears have been shed over Jewish skin turned into lampshades and Jewish bones made into soap? It is impossible to say.

Let me end this brief introduction by relating what happened to me a few days ago. I was sitting in my favorite sidewalk café at my corner table and writing this essay on my laptop, as is my wont, when a man I knew approached my table and sat down opposite me. "Remember the story about the Kuwaiti ambassador's daughter?" he said.

"Only too well," I replied dryly. "What of it?"

For those of you who are unacquainted with the story of the Kuwaiti ambassador's daughter, let me say that this story is a typical example of mass media fabrication and black propaganda. It is the way the Jews dish up our news: sensational stories designed to deceive the public and promote profit for the few and mass misery for the rest of us. This story was the work of a public relations firm, Hill and Knowlton. The central character was the teenage daughter of the Kuwaiti ambassador.

When Saddam Hussein invaded Kuwait in 1991, having been first given the green light by America, the world was to be outraged by the sob story related by an unknown girl called Nayirah—who was later on to be outed as the Kuwaiti ambassador's daughter in disguise. She was to testify tearfully, before the cameras, of atrocities committed by Iraqi soldiers in a Kuwaiti hospital: of scenes of horror which the wretched girl had witnessed with her own eyes.

She had seen these satanic soldiers <u>rip babies out of incubator</u> (See Global Research) and toss them sadistically on the ground. I forget if she said they had trampled on the

babies with their hobnailed boots, crushing their skulls to a bloody pulp on the hard stone floor. I can't remember. I wouldn't put it past her. After all, she had been schooled in her story by the best merchants of mendacity, the public relations firm of Hill and Knowlton, with a view to enraging the credulous masses of America—natural born saps who can always be relied upon to fall for the latest lie.

And fall for it they did. Leading to America's subsequent intervention in Iraq in 1991 and the massacre of countless Iraqi soldiers in the cruelest circumstances: by burying them alive in huge open pits and burning them to cinders as they fled for their lives.

"Okay, so what's your point?" I asked the young man who had interrupted me in my literary labors. "Why do you bring up the story of the Kuwaiti ambassador's daughter?"

He threw a newspaper down on the table. "Read that," he said. "Does it ring a bell? Doesn't it remind you of the story of the Kuwaiti ambassador's daughter?"

I began to read the book review. As I read, I began to feel my blood boil. My pulse began to race and rattle and I had to pause several times in my reading, biting my lip in anger at the outrageous lies being marketed by this British tabloid rag, the *Daily Mail*. The book review was about the evil German women of the Third Reich, thirteen million of them apparently, all rampaging around in the "Nazi killing fields." Written by Professor Wendy Lower, it was called *Hitler's Furies*.

Let me give you an idea of this "chilling" and "sensational" new book by quoting from the review which I now have before me on my desk. I wonder what Dr Töben will make of these latest revelations of German iniquity, all of them concentrating on the female of the species, *mulier Teutonica*.

This is how the <u>book review</u> in the Daily Mail begins:

Blonde German housewife Erna Petri was returning home after a shopping trip in town when something caught her eye: six small, nearly naked boys huddled in terror by the side of the country road.

Married to a senior SS officer, the 23-year-old knew instantly who they were.

They must be the Jews she'd heard about — the ones who'd escaped from a train taking them to an extermination camp.

But she was a mother herself, with two children of her own. So she humanely took the starving, whimpering youngsters home, calmed them down and gave them food to eat.



Then she led the six of them — the youngest aged six, the oldest 12 — into the woods, lined them up on the edge of a pit and shot them methodically one by one with a pistol in the back of the neck.

This sets the tone. Cold, heartless Aryan murderesses. Every single one of them, Satan's daughter, an emissary from hell. Let me add that the book reviewer is forced to admit in a reluctant memo, at the end of the article, that the evidence against these women was virtually non-existent.

Apart from one woman, Erna Petri, "all the others mentioned here," he admits candidly, "were tried and acquitted or released after questioning."

They were tried and acquitted by the Americans, incidentally, not by the Germans. So they were actually acquitted by their own wartime enemies.

In spite of this acquittal, the Jewish (?) author of this blood and thunder Holocaust memoir bizarrely suggests that the women were nevertheless guilty, but managed to get off the hook only because they were too ashamed to admit their guilt.

"This fact has been suppressed and denied," she sputters indignantly, "by the very women who were swept up in the regime and by those who perpetrated the violence with impunity. But genocide is also women's business. When given the 'opportunity', women too will engage in it, even its bloodiest aspects."

We'll take your word for it, Professor.

After all, you're a woman. And so

you must know from personal experience what genocidal hellhags women can be.

The story of the Kuwaiti ambassador's daughter springs to mind as one reads these sensational anecdotes, all from eyewitness accounts of dubious provenance and without a single source reference that can be accepted as reliable. Here's another story that could have come straight from the public relations firm of Hill and Knowlton:

She [Johanna Altvater, a 22-year-old secretary] marched into a building being used as a makeshift hospital and through the children's ward, eyeing each bed-ridden child. Then she stopped, picked one up, took it to the balcony and threw the child to the pavement three floors below. She did the same with other children. Some died, and even those who survived were seriously injured.

Her speciality — or, as one survivor put it, her 'nasty habit' — was killing children. One observer noted that Altvater often lured children with sweets. When they came to her and opened their mouths, she shot them in the mouth with the small pistol that she kept at her side.

On another occasion, she beckoned a toddler over, then grabbed him tightly by the legs and slammed his head against a wall as if she were banging the dust out of a mat.

She threw the lifeless child at the feet of his father, who later testified: 'Such sadism from a woman I have never seen. I will never forget this.'

True, it is hard to forget. It is even harder to believe. I have met

German women. I have lived in Germany. German women are among the noblest I have met. Never forget that two million of these women were raped, tortured, and put to death in the cruelest circumstances after WW2 by their Allied victors, especially by the Russians on the express orders of their bloodthirsty Bolshevik Jewish commandant Ilya Ehrenberg.

Even [German] nuns in habits were raped....182 Catholic nuns were raped by Red Army soldiers in the diocese of Kattowitz, the soldiers left behind 66 pregnant nuns. Some women lived for weeks on rooftops trying to escape the violence....

The Russians had gone as far as actually to crucify nearly all the [German] villagers whilst still alive, nailing a number of women and even babies to barn doors.... Every room contained bodies, the corpses of children and of women who had evidently suffered serial rape before their deaths....women who had been raped and mutilated one by one, each with an empty wine bottle in her vagina....

And these German women, we are now told in this new Holocaust memoir, were no more than a bunch of sadistic serial killers. Thirteen million of them, all in the Nazi party, all goose-stepping vampire girls in jackboots wielding wicked whips like demented dominatrices! The point being, I guess, that ask German women they had it coming to them after WW2. Rape, torture and brutal murder—with their breasts hacked off and their babies ripped from their wombs—all this was no more than the horrid creatures deserved. It was retribution for being evil

Nazis who had done away with six million Jews in gas chambers—in *imaginary* gas chambers, please note, since not a single authentic gas chamber has to date been found

Remember the gas chamber at Auschwitz turned out to be a fake —just like the fake lampshades made of Jewish skin and the fake soap made of Jewish bones.



The video you are about to see is cult memorabilia. The interview it features took place in 1997, ten years before Ernst Zundel's conviction in February 2007 in a German court for "inciting hatred against an identifiable group" (see wikipedia): in short, for the crime of saying what millions now increasingly believe—that the Holocaust is a hoax calculated to legitimize the state of Israel and defame the German people.

The Holocaust narrative, unproven and unprovable, subjects the German people to endless obloquy and defamation. It makes extortionate demands for reparations payments, without an end in sight. It is, quite simply, legalized blackmail. To see the video, search at archive.org for Ernst Zundel in Conversation with Dr fredrick Toben — Portrait of a Philosopher, 1997.



# Brave Jew World Luke O'Farrell

Birds do it, bees do it, even educated fleas do it — but let's not do it, let's not look after our own interests! After all, we're white, members of an innately evil, vicious and exploitative race. We whites are the serpent in the ethnic Garden of Eden, the fork-tongued originators of all that is hateful and harmful in the world. Just imagine what a paradise it would be without us. No wars, no conflict, no racism — no bigotry or prejudice of any kind. Everyone would live in peace and harmony, sharing the blessings bestowed by Mother Nature. The rivers would run with milk and honey, the skies would fill with sweetly singing bluebirds. Lions would lie down with lambs, scorpions would refuse to sting, mosquitoes to bite.

Alas, the world isn't white-free and our innate evil is still wreaking havoc. It causes oppression and pollution in China, forces blacks to rape and murder



each other in Africa, creates typhoid, cholera and bubonic plague, makes toast land butter-side-down on a global basis. Yes, there's no doubt about it: the white race truly is, in the resonant phrase of the Jewish intellectual Susan Sontag, "the cancer of

human history".

Not that Sue's the only Jewish intellectual to pursue that admirable white-bashing theme. There's the former Harvard academic Noël Ignatiev too, with his crusading call for us to "Abolish the white race", to "keep bashing the dead white males, and the live ones, and the females too, until the social construct known as 'the white race' is destroyed – not 'deconstructed' but destroyed." Both Sue and Noël owe their insights to Karl Marx, the Jewish intellectual who taught us how to reach a better world by climbing a mountain or two of corpses and wading through a few rivers of blood. Britain's not been left out: Marx-loving

Margaret Hodge, our super, soar-away "Minister of Culture", is a third white-bashing Jewish intellectual:

Proms not inclusive, says Hodge

The Proms attract too narrow a section of society, culture minister Margaret Hodge has suggested in a speech. [It was] one of several major cultural events many people



Marxist Margaret Hodge

did not feel comfortable attending, she said. In a speech to the IPPR think tank on Britishness, Heritage and the Arts, Mrs Hodge said a "shared sense of common cultural identity" was a key part of social integration and cohesion. She said she wanted to "challenge our sectors square on".

"The audiences for some of many of our greatest cultural events – I'm thinking particularly of the Proms – is still a long way from demonstrating that people from different backgrounds feel at ease in being part of this," she added. "I know this is not about making every audience completely representative, but if we claim great things for our sectors in terms of their power to bring people together, then we have a right to expect they will do that wherever they can." She also suggested that British citizenship ceremonies be held in historic British buildings like castles, theatres and museums to help people "associate their new citizenship with key cultural icons".

David Cameron, leader of the Conservative party, criticized the speech, saying: "I think Margaret Hodge is wrong. I think we want more things where people come together to celebrate Britishness and more occasions when people think the Union Jack is a great symbol of our Britishness, rather than sniping at it. It is a classic example of a Labour politician just not getting something." (BBC News, 7th March 2008)



The Proms, or Promenade Concerts presented by the BBC, are a festival of classical music. On the last

night, gangs of feral Mozart aficionados, often high on drink and drugs, celebrate the occasion by going on sprees of mugging and assault, with the odd rape or murder thrown in for extra vibrancy. The clean-up takes weeks and the police bill is massive, even though officers are told to turn a blind eye to all but the most serious offences. Can you wonder that Margaret Hodge doesn't think people are "comfortable attending"? No, hold on, I'm getting the Proms confused with the Notting Hill Carnival, London's premier celebration of Afro-Caribbean culture. Let's try again: on the last night of the Proms, gangs of feral Mozart aficionados wave Union Jacks and sing along to the music of Edward Elgar. There's no need for a clean-up, there's no police bill, and mugging, rape and murder are pretty thin on the ground. Non-existent, in fact. So the Mozart aficionados aren't very feral, are they? No, the horrifying problem they present was summed up by the Jewish intellectual Jonathan Freedland after he visited South Africa:

Would I see, at any point in nearly four weeks in the country, a white person serving a black person? I looked hard – at restaurants, at petrol stations, in bars, in shops, in banks. I never saw it. Not once. I looked at magazine covers and window-displays in clothing



Jonathan Freedland

stores. White, white, white. Occasionally, there would be a token black face, usually very light-skinned. (*The Guardian*, 23rd February 2005)

That was the same horror that confronted Margaret Hodge: the Proms are white, white, white! And just like Susan Sontag, Hodge thinks that the cancer of whiteness needs treating with strong doses of ethnic vibrancy. She's like Sue in other ways. Sontag was notoriously arrogant and autocratic. When Hodge was leader of Islington council in London, her arrogant and autocratic ways won her the nickname "Enver", after the Albanian dictator Enver Hoxha (pronounced "Hojja"). There was a big scandal while Hodge was leader: paedophile "carers" were abusing children with impunity in council-run care homes. One big reason for their impunity was that the abusers were homosexual and could not be challenged under

Hodge's politically correct Marxist regime. Her response to complaints by one of the victims was to smear him as an "an extremely disturbed person". She later had to apologize, but neither the scandal nor the smear stopped her becoming "Minister for Children" under Tony Blair. Now she's Minister for Culture under Gordon Brown, doing for culture what she did for child care. Just look at the repulsive jargon she used in her speech about the Proms: "challenge our sectors square on... we claim great things for our sectors in terms of their power to bring people together... associate their new citizenship with key cultural icons."

Is there such a thing as a "cultural icon" that isn't "key"? Does any PC harpy write clear, coherent prose? The answer to both questions is: No! But the corruption of PC has spread far beyond the self-proclaimed left. The Conservative leader, David Cameron, criticized Hodge for her comments on the Proms, but that was simply political point-scoring. He himself is happy to see Britain dismantled by mass immigration and multi-racialism. Here he is in conversation with *Catalyst*, the propaganda organ of the Commission for Flying Pigs (a.k.a. the Commission for Racial Equality):

Cameron became animated and amusing when expanding on his own ideas for establishing a more cohesive society. "We should celebrate the fact that we live in a multi-racial country, where all the different communities make a massive contribution to our success. My area (North Kensington, not Notting Hill) is fantastically multi-ethnic and a vibrant, wonderful part of Britain. I often do my Saturday shopping off the Golborne Road, which must be one of the most multi-ethnic streets in Britain." (*Loc. cit.*,

17th March 2006)



Cameron's spouting the same cliché-ridden PC jargon as Hodge and celebrating the same disaster: the ethnic cleansing of the white British from more and more of their homeland. He's also lying: "all the different communities" do not "make a massive

contribution to our success", unless crime and fraud by groups like blacks and Muslims are regarded as massive contributions. Cameron and Hodge are alike in another very important way. She serves the interests of the Jewish race and so does he. Jews are one of Britain's smallest ethnic minorities, but when Jews whistle, Britain's white politicians come rushing up, velping obsequiously, tails wagging, tongues flapping. Now that Labour are in power, the prime minister makes sycophantic speeches to Labour Friends of Israel (LFI) and the leader of the opposition makes sycophantic speeches to Conservative Friends of Israel (CFI). If the Conservatives win the next election, it will be the other way around. What will not change is the stranglehold Jews have on British politics. LFI and CFI have it ASU – All Sewn Up. If you question whether it's right that such a tiny minority should wield so much power through secretive lobby groups, you'll be shrieked at for antisemitism, bigotry and hatred. You'll also earn yourself a place in the voluminous files of a Jewish private militia called the Community Security Trust (CST).

The CST's activities are undoubtedly illegal under British law, but the police don't investigate it, they collaborate with it. And politicians don't denounce it, they make sycophantic speeches at its annual dinner, promising to serve the Jewish agenda even harder in future. Here's Cameron again, urging Britain to do what's good for Jews, not what's good for whites:

### Halt Handouts to Muslim fanatics

David Cameron is to call for a ban on public handouts to Muslim extremists. And the Tory leader wants anyone who "rejects democracy" barred from sitting on public bodies. Last night he also called on the Government to step up action to keep extremist preachers out of Britain, saying: "Terrorist apologists should be kept out, full stop, period."

Mr Cameron told a leading Jewish charity that too many fanatical groups were still getting public funding. He accused Labour ministers of "taking their eye off the ball" in the fight against extremism. In a speech to the Community Security Trust, which helps protect the Jewish community from anti-semitic attacks, he said: "The Government has allocated hundreds of thousands of pounds to local authorities to improve community cohesion. "But there are

worrying signs that ministers have taken their eyes off the ball."



"O Brave Jew World, that hath such vibrants in it!" Thanks to Jews' love of immigration, we get suicide bombers

He cited examples of councils handing out cash for community projects that ended up going to extremist groups, including Hizb-ut-Tahrir.

"I will be making proposals to isolate extremists and make certain they cannot obtain public grants or get invited to sit on public bodies," he said. "That won't just apply to Islamic extremists. We will be equally vigilant in ensuring that groups linked to the BNP [British National Party] or animal rights militants are excluded too. The message should be clear – to those who reject democracy, to those who preach hate, to those who encourage violence: You are not part of the mainstream, you will not get public funding."

Mr Cameron was speaking at CST's annual fund-raising dinner in central London. More than 1,000 people attended the event, which was expected to raise thousands of pounds for the Jewish charity. CST monitors anti-semitic attacks and helps provide security for events and buildings in the Jewish community. It also liaises with police and the Government about security issues.

Praising the work of the charity, the Tory leader said: "Britain is still a relatively safe place but, as the CST's latest report shows, Jews in this country are coming under increasing attack. The police and security services do a great job but, inevitably, they have many priorities and limited resources. That is why the Community Security Trust is so vital in providing that

extra layer of vigilance that could, in certain circumstances, make the difference between life and death."

New figures from CST last month showed that the number of violent assaults on Jews in the UK reached 114 last year, the highest since records began in 1984. (*The Daily Express*, 4th March 2008)

As I pointed out in "Shoah and the Wail", whites are the group most under attack in Britain. They're being murdered, raped, and wounded year after year by the ethnic vibrants mass immigration has brought flooding in. By comparison, Jews are barely suffering at all. If David Cameron raised those facts, he'd be denounced as a "white supremacist" and racist. So he doesn't raise them: instead, he sucks up to the group that will fund his rise to power and ensure friendly coverage for him in the media. Like Tony Blair, like Gordon Brown, he's traitorous and unprincipled to the core, working hard to usher in a Brave Jew World in which Susan Sontag's and Noël Ignatiev's Marxist dreams come true: the cancer of human history is cut out, the white race is abolished, and we enter the rainbow paradise of racial harmony and equality we can see prefigured in Zimbabwe and South Africa.



"Free at last! Thank God almighty, we are free at last!"Where Zimbabwe leads, South Africa will soon follow



# The Hiroshima Myth. Unaccountable War Crimes and the Lies of US Military History

By Dr. Gary G. Kohls Global Research 2013

August 6, 2013, was the 68th anniversary of the bombing of Hiroshima, the whole truth of which has been heavily censored and mythologized ever since war-weary Americans celebrated V-J Day 10 days later.

In the pitiful history lessons that were taught by my uninspired/bored history teachers (which seemed to be mostly jocks) came from patriotic and highly censored books where everything the British and US military ever did in war time was honorable and self-sacrificing and everything their opponents did was barbaric. Everybody in my graduating class of 26 swallowed the post-war propaganda in our history books. It was from these books that we learned about the "glorious" end of the war against Japan. Of course, I now know that I had been given false infor- mation, orchestrated by war-justifying

militarists (and assorted uber-patiotic hist- orians) starting with General Douglas MacArthur. MacArthur successfully imposed total censorship of what really happened at Ground Zero. One of his first acts after taking over as viceroy of Japan was to confiscate and/or destroy all the photographic evidence documenting the horrors of the atomic bombings of Hiroshima and Nagasaki.

Back in 1995, the Smithsonian Institution was preparing to correct some the 50-year-old pseudo-patriotic myths by staging an honest, historically-accurate display dealing with the atomic bombings. Following the vehement, orchestrated, reactionary outrage emanating from right-wing veterans groups and other patriot groups (including Newt Gingrich's GOP-dominated Congress that threatened to stop federal funding of the Institute), the Smithsonian

was forced to censor-out all of the unwelcome but contextually important parts of the story. So again we had another example of politically-motivated groups heavily altering real history because they were afraid of revealing "unpatriotic" historical truths that might shake the confidence of average Americans in our leaders, sort of like the near-total media black-out about the controlled demolitions of the three World Trade Center buildings on 9/11/01 that killed thousands of innocent people and unleashed the dogs of war against innocents in Afghanistan (explore www.ae911truth.org for the documentation of that assertion). The Smithsonian historians did have a gun to their heads, of course, but in the melee, the corporate-controlled mainstream media – and therefore the public – failed to learn an important historical point, and that is this:

The war could have ended in the spring of 1945 without the summer atomic bombs, and therefore there might have been no Okinawa bloodbath for thousands of American Marines and soldiers. Also there would have been no need for an American land invasion of Japan – the basis of the subsequent propaganda campaign that justified the use of atomic weapons on defenseless civilian populations and meets the definition of an international war crime and a crime against humanity.

American intelligence, with the full knowledge of President Truman's administration, was aware of Japan's desperate search for ways to honorably surrender months before Truman gave the fateful order to incinerate Hiroshima.

Intelligence data, revealed in the 1980s, showed that the contingency plans for a large-scale US invasion (planned for no sooner than November 1, 1945) would have been unnecessary. Japan was working on peace negotiations through its Moscow ambassador as early as April of 1945. Truman knew of these developments because the US had broken the Japanese code years earlier, and all of Japan's military and diplomatic messages were being intercepted. On July 13, 1945, Foreign Minister Togo said: "Unconditional surrender (giving up all sovereignty, especially deposing the Emperor) is the only obstacle to peace."

Truman and his advisors knew about these efforts, and the war could have ended through diplomacy by simply conceding a post-war figurehead position for the emperor Hirohito – who was regarded as a deity in Japan. That reasonable concession was – seemingly illogically – refused by the US in their demands for unconditional surrender, initially demanded at the 1943 Casablanca Conference between Roosevelt and Churchill and reiterated at the Potsdam Conference between Truman, Churchill and Stalin. Still, the Japanese continued searching for an honorable peace through negotiations.

Even Secretary of War Henry Stimson, said: "the true question was not whether surrender could have been achieved without the use of the bomb but whether a different diplomatic and military course would have led to an earlier surrender. A large segment of the Japanese cabinet was ready in the spring of 1945 to accept substantially the same terms as those finally agreed on." In other words, Stimson felt that the US had unnecessarily prolonged the war.

After Japan did surrender, MacArthur allowed the emperor to remain in place as spiritual head of Japan, the very condition that coerced the Japanese leadership to refuse to accept the humiliating "unconditional surrender" terms.

So the two essential questions that need answering to comprehend what was going on behind the scenes are these:

- 1) Why did the US refuse to accept Japan's only demand concerning their surrender (the retention of the emperor) and
- 2) why were the atomic bombs

used when victory in the Pacific was already a certainty?

Shortly after WWII, military analyst Hanson Baldwin wrote:

"The Japanese, in a military sense, were in a hopeless strategic situation by the time the Potsdam Declaration (insisting on Japan's unconditional surrender) was made on July 26, 1945."

Admiral William Leahy, top military aide to President Truman, said in his war memoirs, I Was There:

"It is my opinion that the use of this barbarous weapon at Hiroshima and Nagasaki was of no material assistance in our war against Japan. The Japanese were already defeated and ready to surrender because of the effective sea blockade and the successful bombing with conventional weapons. My own feeling is that in being the first to use it, we had adopted an ethical standard common to the barbarians of the Dark Ages."

And General Dwight D. Eisenhower, in a personal visit to President Truman a couple of weeks before the bombings, urged him not to use the atomic bombs. Eisenhower said (in a 1963 interview in Newsweek):

"It wasn't necessary to hit them with that awful thing . . . to use the atomic bomb, to kill and terrorize civilians, without even attempting [negotiations], was a double crime."

There are a number of factors that contributed to the Truman

administration's decision to use the bombs.

- 1) The US had made a huge investment in time, mind and money (a massive 2 billion in 1940 dollars) to produce three bombs, and there was no inclination and no guts to stop the momentum.
- 2) The US military and political leadership as did many ordinary Americans had a tremendous appetite for revenge because of Pearl Harbor. Mercy wasn't in the mindset of the US military or the war-weary populace, and the missions against Hiroshima and Nagasaki were accepted no questions asked by most of those folks who only knew the sanitized, national security version of events.
- 3) The fissionable material in Hiroshima's bomb was uranium. The Nagasaki bomb was a plutonium bomb. Scientific curiosity was a significant factor that pushed the project to its completion. The Manhattan Project scientists (and the US Army director of the project, General Leslie Groves) were curious about "what would happen if an entire city was leveled by a single uranium bomb?" "What about a plutonium bomb?"

The decision to use both bombs had been made well in advance of August 1945. Accepting the surrender of Japan was not an option if the science experiment was to go ahead. Of course the three-day interval between the two bombs was unconscionably short if the Hiroshima bomb was designed to coerce immediate surrender. Japan's communications and

transportation capabilities were in shambles, and no one, not even the US military, much less the Japanese high command, fully understood what had happened at Hiroshima. (The Manhattan Project was so top secret that even Douglas MacArthur, commanding general of the entire Pacific theatre, had been kept out of the loop until five days before Hiroshima.)



Nagasaki on August 9, 1945

4) The Russians had proclaimed their intent to enter the war with Japan 90 days after V-E Day (Victory in Europe Day, May 8), which would have been Aug. 8, two days after Hiroshima was bombed. Indeed, Russia did declare war on Japan on August 8 and was advancing eastward across Manchuria when Nagasaki was incinerated. The US didn't want Japan surrendering to Russia or sharing the spoils of war.

Russia was soon to be the only other superpower – and a future enemy – so the first nuclear threat "messages" of the Cold War were sent. Russia indeed received far less of the spoils of war than they had anticipated, and the two superpowers were instantly mired in the Cold War stalemate that led

to the unaffordable nuclear arms race and the possibility of total extinction of the human race. What did happen was the mutual moral and financial bankruptcies of both nations that occurred over the next couple of generations of military madness

An estimated 80,000 innocent civilians, plus 20,000 weaponless young Japanese conscripts died

instantly in the Hiroshima bombing. Hundreds of thousands more suffered slow deaths from agonizing burns, radiation sickness, leukemias, anemias and untreatable infections for the rest of their shortened lives. Generations of the survivor's progeny were also afflicted with horrible radiation-induced illnesses, cancers and premature deaths, still going on to this very hour.

Another shameful reality that has been covered up is the fact that 12 American Navy pilots, their existence well known to the US command, were instantly incinerated in the Hiroshima jail on the fateful day

So the official War Department approved version of the end of the war in the Pacific contained a new batch of myths that took their places among the long lists of myths that Americans are continuously fed by our corporate, military, political and media opinion leaders, the gruesomeness of war being changed to glorification in the process. Among the other censored out realities include what really happened in the US military invasions and occupations of the countries of North Korea, Iran, Viet Nam, Laos, Cambodia, Lebanon, Granada, Panama, the Philippines, Chile, El Salvador, Nicaragua, Guatemala, Honduras, Haiti, Colombia, Kuwait, Iraq, Afghanistan, etc, etc. This list doesn't cover the uncountable secret Pentagon/ CIA covert operations and assassination plots in the rest of the world, where as many as 150 nations contain American military bases (permission lavishly paid for by bribery or threats of economic sanctions).

But somehow most of us still hang on to our shaky "my country right or wrong" patriotism, desperately wanting to believe the cunningly orchestrated myths that say that the war-profiteering multi-billionaire corporate elite (and their politicians, military leaders and media talking heads who are in their employ) only work for peace, justice, equality, liberty and "making the world safe" for predatory capitalism.

While it is true that the US military has faced down the occasional despot, with necessary sacrifice from dead and mortally-wounded (in body, mind and spirit) American soldiers and veterans, more often than not the rationalization for going to war are the same as those

of the "godless communists", the anti-American "insurgents" and "freedom fighters" who want to convince us Yankees to just go home where we belong.

August 6 and 9, 1945 are just two more examples of the brain-washing that goes on in all "total war" political agendas, which are always accompanied by the inevitable human slaughter that is euphemistically labeled "collateral damage" or "friendly fire".

It might already be too late to rescue and resuscitate the humanitarian, peacemaking America that we used to know and love. It might be too late to effectively confront the corporate hijacking of liberal democracy in America. It might be too late to successfully bring down the arrogant and greedy ruling elites who are selfishly dragging our world down the road to our destruction. The rolling coup d'etat of what I call Friendly American Fascism may have already accomplished its goals.

But there may still be some hope. Rather than being silent about the wars that the war-mongers are provoking all over the planet (with the very willing assistance of the Pentagon, the weapons industry and their lapdogs in Congress), people of conscience need to start learning the whole truth of history, despite the discomfort we will feel (cognitive dissonance) when the truth can't be ignored any more.

We need to start owning up to America's uncountable war crimes that have been orchestrated in our names. And then we need to go to the streets, publicly protesting and courageously refusing to cooperate with those who are transforming America into a criminal rogue nation that will eventually be targeted for downfall by its billions of suffering victims outside our borders ...

Doing what is right for the whole of humanity for a change, rather than just doing what is profitable or advantgeous for our over privileged, over-consumptive and unsustainable American way of life, would be real honor, real patriotism and an essential start toward real peace. [The author fails to mention the Jewish identity of the scientists and politicians responsible for these war crimes - Editor]



Nagasaki today



# Global Warming computer models collapse as Arctic ice sheets rapidly expand

### **Mike Adams Natural News**


For years, we've all heard that global warming is threatening our planet. But now, in a stunning turnaround, world scientists are warning that an era of **global cooling** seems to be upon us, complete with extra- ordinary expansions of ocean ice being recorded in just the past year.

Even the latest UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) report seems to indicate that an era of global cooling is now underway, according to many scientists.

It turns out that global warming predictions were little more than **doom-and-gloom fear mongering** based on failed computer models.

For example, in 2007, the BBC reported that the Arctic would be "ice-free" by the summer of 2013. Here's exactly how that fear mongering was published by the BBC:

Scientists in the US have presented



one of the most dramatic forecasts sea ice. Their latest modelling yet for the disappearance of Arctic studies indicate northern polar

waters could be ice-free in summers mongering article is still available within just 5-6 years. Professor Wieslaw Maslowski told an American Geophysical Union meeting that previous projections had underestimated the processes now driving ice loss.

That BBC global warming fear

7.stm

### Massive expansion of ice proves global warming alarmists wrong

Now the summer of 2013 is over,

and you can't argue with the satellite at: http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/713979 imagery of ice. Those images, shown below, reveal over one million square miles of new ice being formed in just the last year. That's an increase of 60 percent over the previous year, reports the Daily Mail.

Here's the satellite imagery they published, showing the expansion of ice:



As you can see from the image, the coverage of ice in the August, 2013 image (on the right) is vastly increased over the August, 2012 image (on the left).

Now, I wish I didn't have to actually state the obvious in an article, but warmer temperatures would cause ice to melt, thereby resulting in LESS ice, not more.

Thus, the presence of rapidly increasing quantities of ice is proof that temperatures in the region are falling, not rising. That is, unless you believe in magic in the same way that so many "scientists" apparently believe in magic. What magic? The magic that somehow results in *higher* temperatures resulting in MORE ice formation.

That sort of magic requires an elaborate magic wand, a set of finely crafted voodoo dolls and a bunch of tabloid astrology scrolls to pull off.

Nevertheless, there are many "scientists" who believe so deeply in their global warming arguments that they have been forced to come up with some elaborate new spin to try to explain away the formation of ice in the arctic. That spin is now called a "pause." The rapidly expanding ice sheets are due to a "pause" in global warming, they say!

### Global warming has always been a political argument, not a scientific one

In truth, global warming has never been a scientific argument from the get-go. It has always been -- and always will be -- a political argument dressed up in the language of science.

For political reasons that have nothing to do with science or reality, certain globalist operatives needed to convince the world's populations that doomsday was coming if they didn't reduce carbon dioxide emissions. But in order to pull this off, these operatives first needed to demonize carbon dioxide, the single most important nutrient on the planet for reforestation, plant growth, food production and "greening" the planet.

Carbon dioxide is so important to plants that greenhouse operators

buy and install carbon dioxide\_generators to provide extra nutrients to their plants which are starving from the low levels of CO2 in the atmosphere.

In fact, here's a photo of a catalog

mailed to commercial greenhouse growers. As you can see, this page features two different CO2 generators which convert propane or natural gas into carbon dioxide in order to vastly increase plant growth:

"The benefits of carbon dioxide supplementation on plant growth and production within the greenhouse environment have been well understood for many years," says the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food.



"CO2 increases productivity through improved plant growth and vigour. Some ways in which productivity is increased by CO2 include earlier flowering, higher fruit yields, reduced bud abortion in roses, improved stem strength and flower size. Growers should regard CO2 as a nutrient... increasing the CO2 level to 1,000 ppm will increase the photosynthesis by about 50% over ambient CO2 levels."

The attempted demonization of carbon dioxide, in other words, falls apart once you embrace the simple scientific reality that **plants use CO2 like a nutrient**. Carbon dioxide is 'atmospheric fertilizer' for nearly all plants. Thus, the

higher CO2 is in the atmosphere, the more quickly land areas of the plant can be reforested or restored from near-desert-like conditions.

## Guilt-tripping the public with a polar bear hoax

Thanks to propaganda brainwashing efforts conducted on the public, most people today believe that CO2 is somehow evil or bad. This idea is completely without scientific merit. It was implanted into the minds of the people via an elaborate, extremely well funded propaganda deception campaign for a political purpose.

Once people were convinced that CO2 was bad, they could be "guilt-tripped" into supporting

restrictions on CO2 emissions that ultimately allow government to control virtually every industry imaginable: agriculture, transportation, energy and even human reproduction and population (since humans exhale CO2 when they breathe).

CO2 became the leverage point by which the global economy could be enslaved to an anti-science control agenda called "global warming." That lie was sold to the public with a long list of bizarre claims and deceptions including the claim that polar bears can't swim and were drowning because all the floating ice was disappearing. As part of the global warming propaganda deception, American children

across the country were found tearfully sobbing over depressing images that attempted to depict polar bears as drowning.

But it turns out **polar bears are powerful swimmers**. This is common knowledge among arctic biologists, of course. Even *Sea World* knows this and publishes it openly on their website:

Polar bears are strong swimmers; they swim across bays. They can swim for several hours at a time over long distances. They've been tracked swimming continuously for 100 km (62 mi.). Polar bears can obtain a swimming speed of 10 kph (6.2 mph).



A thick layer of blubber (fat), up to 11 cm (4.3 in.) thick, keeps the polar bear warm while swimming in cold water... Polar bears are so well insulated they tend to overheat. Polar bears move slowly and rest often to avoid overheating.

The absurd claim that polar bears can't swim is the biological equivalent to claiming that humans can't walk. The entire polar-bear-global-warming hoax

was based on the single observation of just **four polar bears** floating in the ocean after being apparently killed in an arctic storm. The scientists who claimed these bears were killed by global warming were later reprimanded, reports a <u>Huffpost story</u>:

The article was based on observations that Monnett and Gleason made in 2004 while conducting an aerial survey of bowhead whales. They saw four dead polar bears floating in the water after a storm...

From this, global warming alarming like Al Gore leaped to the conclusion that polar bears were being decimated by global warming caused by rising CO2 levels.

The evidence for global warming, it turns out, is no more credible than Obama's evidence that Syria's government used chemical weapons (which actually turned out to be sodium fluoride). In both cases, we're witnessing the fabrication of evidence for political purposes.

# Bad computer modeling leads to bad predictions

Now that the global warming hoax has been utterly discredited, you have to ask how the scientists were so terribly wrong about it all along.

If you discount for a moment the idea that they were all just *lying* about it, you have to conclude that their <u>computer models</u> used to predict the effects of rising CO2 levels are **wildly inaccurate**.

www.naturalnews.com

Computer models are, of course, attempts by scientists to **guess how changes in certain variables** might impact outcomes.

But these models are based on guesses that may or may not reflect reality. After all, your local TV weatherman uses incredibly advanced modeling technology but can barely tell you with any degree of accuracy whether it's going to rain tomorrow. What makes anyone think similar computer models can accurately predict global temperature changes over the next century?

The <u>Daily Mail</u> is reporting US climate expert Professor Judith Curry as saying "In fact, the uncertainty is getting bigger. It's now clear the models are way too sensitive to carbon dioxide. I cannot see any basis for the IPCC increasing its confidence level."

Climate scientists in particular have earned a reputation for being no more accurate than TV psychics or carnival palm readers. After all, in the late 1960's and early 1970's many scientists, observing a cooling trend, publicly "forecast an imminent ice age." (Daily Mail)

Furthermore, it turns out that CO2 emissions from human activity are dwarfed by CO2 emissions from the world's oceans. So if CO2 alone could cause global warming, then we would have been living on a runaway greenhouse effect planet long before humans arrived on the scene. (And indeed, CO2 levels have been more than 15 times higher in Earth's history...)



### Statins Are the Greatest Medical Fraud of All Time

### **Heidi Stevenson**

A new study clarifies that statins are the greatest medical fraud of all time. The claims made for them are false. The amount of harm they do is staggering, resulting in millions of lives devastated and ended. The worst part of all, though, is that it was entirely predictable—but studies were designed to hide the truth. The media, the health agencies, and the doctors all provided cover for Big Pharma. After all, there was money to be made.

Statins are one of the most dangerous drugs prescribed by doctors. The risks from them were obvious before they were ever marketed. Nonetheless, they are among the best selling drugs of all time. Finally, genuine science has been looking at their adverse effects and lack of benefit to document the truth that was obvious from the beginning:

# Statins are the greatest medical fraud ever perpetrated

A new review of the science reports:

The statin industry, with all of its spin-off(s), is a 20-billion-a-year industry. We are observing the revealing of the utmost medical tragedy of all times. It is unprecedented that the healthcare industry has inadvertently induced life-threatening nutrient deficiency in millions of otherwise healthy people.<sup>1</sup>

The only point on which I can disagree is the statement that the travesty of statins was somehow "inadvertent". There is, in fact, absolutely no excuse for it.

The authors of the study, Sherif Sultan and Niamh Hynes, have produced a paper that is utterly condemnatory of the use of statins. Not only do they condemn the drugs, they also condemn the pseudo science behind it. Though they don't state it, and obviously could not take such a risk, there is simply no way around the fact that the science behind statins has been largely fraudulent, and that fraud has been perpetrated by Big Pharma

# So what did the study actually say?

Sultan and Hynes reviewed a large number of studies, using Pubmed, EM-BASE, and Cochrane review databases to find them. They focused primarily on clinical reviews, meta-analyses, and large-scale randomised controlled trials. The entire list of studies they selected is included in their paper, which you can read because it isn't hidden behind a pay wall.

### They stated:

We seem to have fallen into the marketing trap and ignored the niggling side effects with regard to the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors

The "we" the authors referred to was the medical industry. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors are statins. Their function is to interfere with HMG-CoA, which is a molecule that's a precursor to cholesterol. Of course, the purpose of a statin is to reduce cholesterol, which they do accomplish.

So what's the problem? As the authors state:

Cholesterol is crucial for energy, immunity, fat metabolism, leptin,

thyroid hormone activity, liver related synthesis, stress intolerance, adrenal function, sex hormone syntheses and brain function.

Cholesterol is a primary requirement for an enormous array of absolutely critical functions in the body. Obviously, if cholesterol is reduced, then health **must** be harmed:

Energy levels must be reduced. There must be interference with fat metabolism.

The thyroid must not be able to function properly.

Our ability to deal with stress is stressed.

The adrenal glands' functions must be damaged.

Sexual function and repro- ductive ability must suffer.

Our brain must be damaged, which can mean any part of our existence may be harmed, including mental functioning, autonomic processes, coordination, and every other function, including the heart.

There is simply no excuse for not recognizing that not only is there an obvious risk inherent in statins, but that it would be stunning if they didn't produce harm.

### The Benefit of Statins

In terms of benefit, the authors noted that the only people who are helped at all are middle aged men who have already suffered heart attacks. (Readers of Gaia Health will be familiar with this fact, as it's been stated here many times.) And that benefit is minimal. In fact, the authors point out that

statins produce less benefit for these men than aspirin. *Please note* that Gaia Health does not support aspirin as a treatment for heart disease, either.

In effect, statins produce not one whit of benefit to anyone in any manner.

## The Adverse Effects of Statins



The authors found that, for every 10,000 individuals in good health who take statins:

- 307 extra patients suffer from cataracts.
- 23 additional patients develop acute kidney failure.
- 74 extra patients develop liver dysfunction.
- Statins increase muscle fatigue by 30% and cause an 11.3% incidence of rhabdomyolysis at high doses.
- They also state, "What's more, it induces inflammatory myopathy, including necrotizing autoimmune myopathy with immunosuppression and the statin related myopathy can last for 12 months."

They also point out that statins cause erectile dysfunction, and that

young men suffer 10 times as much erectile dysfunction on low doses of statins. Beyond all these adverse effects:

- According to the FDA's adverse event reporting system, about 40 out of every 10,000 statin reports are for interstitial lung disease, which causes scarring in the lungs that is almost never reversible.
- Statins cause hyperglycemia after eating in both diabetics and nondiabetics.
- Statins "induce full blown type 2 diabetes in women."
- Statins increase the risk of developing HbA1c in people with and without diabetes. HbA1c is a condition that causes glucose to stick to hemoglobin, which is an indicator of greater harm from diabetes.
- Statins prescribed to the elderly cause a 9% increase in diabetes
- Statins can cause insulin resistance.
- A correlation between Parkinson's disease and low cholesterol exists, which clearly implicates statins.
- A correlation between statins and early-onset cataracts has been found. Statin users may be 50% more likely to develop cataracts early.

Here's the most shocking health risk of statins:

[S]tatin use is associated with an increased prevalence and extent of coronary plaques calcification. Ironically for a drug which was marketed to lower the risk of

cardiovascular disease, the confirm registry identified a strong association of statin use to the progression of coronary artery plaque features.

# This isn't simply irony. Statins increase the harm that they are supposedly meant to decrease!

In relation to this particular heart risk, the authors also found that:

Statin use was correlated with a greater incidence of severe coronary artery stenosis as well as increase in the numbers of coronary vessels developing obstructive coronary artery disease. Furthermore, statin use was linked to an increase in the prevalence and extent of mixed calcific plague. Five prospective studies have borne witness to the fact that statin therapy does not induce any coronary calcium regression and evolution of coronary calcium continues regardless of statin treatment

That is, statins increase the narrowing of coronary arteries, which can only increase the chance of heart attacks. They increase the development of obstructive coronary artery disease. Statins may increase calcium-related arterial plaques.

Statins also produce a significant increase in the risk of cancer and neuro- degenerative dysfunction in the elderly.

The authors point out even more than this—but just how much more do you need to know? Statins are health destroyers.

# Intentionally Hiding the Facts

The study points out that statins may increase the risk for nonmelanoma skin cancers by 1.6 times. The authors then state:

For unknown reasons, since these publications the squamous cell carcinoma has been excluded in all reports from subsequent statin trials.



Is there any way to interpret that other than that the statin industry does not want there to be more evidence that statins cause skin cancer?

The authors referenced studies that had claimed to demonstrate benefits from statins. However, when they were reanalyzed by independent scientists—that is, scientists who genuinely didn't have ties to Big Pharma—they found that the claimed results were false. The studies actually showed that statins produced no benefit and a great deal of harm.

### **Cohorts in Crime**

It's bad enough that Big Pharma produces studies that can only be called junk science to give an impression that statins are effective and safe. It's obviously fraudulent, and all those who have willingly taken part in such studies—
whether by paying for them or
doing them—should be prosecuted
criminally. There is simply no way
to get around the fact that, at the
very least, many of these people
are guilty of negligent homicide by
providing false evidence of both
efficacy and safety.

### **News Media**

The news industry has also been guilty, as this study was published over two months ago, yet there's been virtually no coverage by the mainstream media. This is news that could save the lives of millions of people, yet the mainstream media hasn't bothered with it. Clearly, their interests are not in real news, but are in their owners' financial interests. Every mainstream media corporation in the United States is owned by another corporation that also owns at least one major pharmaceutical corporation or is controlled by someone with heavy interests in them. For example:

News Corporation owns Viacom. Ropert Murdoch founded News Corporation. Murdoch sits on the board of GlaxoSmithKline (GSK).

If that weren't enough, consider also that the pharmaceutical industry is, by far, the biggest advertiser on mainstream media. To suggest that the news media hasn't also been complicit is either naive or intentionally misleading. The mainstream news media has clearly determined that their duty, to provide information that the public needs, is not their concern.

### **Health Agencies**

The agencies that are supposed to

protect us from harmful health products, such as the FDA, the CDC, and the NIH, have all been complicit in the promotion of statins. Even now, the CDC strongly recommends the use of statins. The FDA does nothing more than add warnings to the package inserts of statins, an utterly meaningless endeavor that has never been shown to have any significant effect on sales of drugs. The NIH states, "Statins are relatively safe for most people."

It's obvious that our health agencies are acting almost exclusively as marketing agents for Big Pharma.

### **Doctors**

Last, but certainly not least, are the doctors who prescribe statins. They tend to argue that it's not their fault, that they can only go by the studies. But the reality is that it's their job to stand between their patients and dangerous drugs. If they are unable or unwilling to do their jobs—which is clearly the case for any of them who prescribe

statins without informing their patients of the risks and almost complete lack of benefit—then they are no different than those who produce pseudo science, junk science, or outright fraudulent science to support these poisons. At a minimum, they are guilty of failing in their duty to their patients. They may also be guilty of negligent homicide for any patient who dies as a result of their lack of diligence.

## The Greatest Medical Fraud of All Time

Statins are the greatest medical fraud of all time. It had to be known from the very beginning that they would likely produce a great deal of harm. As the authors point out, statins interfere with the production of cholesterol, thus producing deficits in metabolic functions that are necessary for life.

There is, therefore, no excuse for doctors not to know.

There is no excuse for the news

media not to do the research that would have shown them the fraud being perpetrated.

There is no excuse for the health agencies that approved statins or the ones that promote them. They had to have the relevant information.

And finally, there is no excuse for the doctors, because they should have known. If they didn't, then they were derelict in their duty.

Everyone involved in the development, marketing, approval, promotion, and prescription of statins is guilty of perpetrating the greatest medical fraud of all time. Tallying up the death toll is most likely impossible, but there can be little doubt that the numbers run into multiple millions.

Please forward this article to anyone you know who is taking statins or considering it. They have a right to know the truth—and that truth is not being told by any doctor who prescribes them.

www.gaiahealth.com



## What is Christian Identity?

William Finck

Christian Identity, also sometimes called Israel Identity, is the only true conservative Christianity. It is true because it seeks to maintain the understanding - in accordance with Scripture - that the New Covenant was made only with those same people with whom the Old Covenant was made: the House (family) of Israel and the House (family) of Judah. These Israelite people are traceable through time to the Keltic and Germanic tribes of today. None of these people are Jews. The Jews are descended from a mere remnant of the old Kingdom of Judah along with assorted Edomite and other Arab who were mixed into the Roman province of Judaea during the Hellenic period. There are - at last count - at least sixteen detailed essays on this website which demonstrate this, and which are replete with Biblical, archaeological and historical citations.

Christian Identity is the belief that the Covenants of God are real and consistent. It professes that the people of the Old Testament were every bit as much Christian as the people of the New Testament. They were simply looking forward to the first advent of the Christ, while we today await His Second Advent. As the famous Christian bishop Ignatius said nineteen hundred years ago, Christianity did not come from Judaism: rather, Judaism is a perversion of Christianity.

Christian Identity is the belief that there is no disparity between the Word of God, His Creation, His prophecy, and world history. It is also the understanding that while Scripture was inspired by God when it was transmitted, men have certainly mistreated it since that time, and so every passage and every doctrine must be fully investigated from all of the most ancient sources possible. As it reads in the King James Version: Study to show thyself approved.

The audio file attached to this page is perhaps one of the best we have to offer for introducing Christian Identity to the uninitiated. [It can be downloaded at <a href="http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames">http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames</a>] Please listen to it objectively, rather than regarding the slanders of the ADL and similar Jewish organizations - forever the enemies of Christ.

This paper is under development, and so are our websites - always. We pray that you consider the things written here, and also in all of our other papers. And if you are one of His called, May God favor your journey. You may also want to note What Christian Identity is Not at

http://christogenea.org/what-christian-identity-is-not



### Announcements

The Saxon Messenger can be contacted by email editor@saxonmessenger.org

The Saxon Messenger Website is at <a href="http://saxonmessenger.org/">http://saxonmessenger.org/</a> where this issue and future issues will be archived.

Clifton A Emahiser's Non-Universal Teaching Ministries can be found at <a href="http://emahiser.christogenea.org/site/">http://emahiser.christogenea.org/site/</a> including all writings produced by his ministry since its inception in February 1998

### **Christian Identity Radio**

CHRISTOGENEA 8 pm EST FRIDAY Biblical Exegesis and Commentaries <a href="http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc">http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc</a>
Notes from Commentary on Revelation posted at <a href="http://christreich.christogenea.org/revelation">http://christreich.christogenea.org/revelation</a>

### CHRISTOGENEA SATURDAYS 8 pm EST

http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc Programme notes at http://christogenea.org/chrSaturdays



If you have not yet connected to the Christogenea Community Conference Voice/Chat Server go to http://christogenea.net/connect
Audios of all the above are available at http://christogenea.org/audio/feed

### Christogenea 24/7 Internet Radio Streaming

A listing of the radio streams can be found at http://christogenea.org/players.html or Search for Christogenea in Winamp or at Shoutcast.com