

The
Saxon
Messenger

November Edition Number 35 - 2013



Editorial

Dear Reader

Who are the Peacemakers?

One often-quoted verse from the Sermon on the Mount stands out among those several Bible passages which are frequently abused by universalists. From the Gospel of Matthew, chapter 5, from the King James Version: “9 Blessed are the peacemakers: for they shall be called the children of God.”

The pundits of the Judaized denominational sects often cite this passage while concluding that Christians should therefore be at peace with all of the peoples of the world, regardless of nation, sexual orientation, race, religion or creed. Sadly, certain Identity Christians would follow them. But what is a peacemaker? Is an appeaser or a placator of aliens, idolators and sinners really a peacemaker? Is a peacemaker one who “builds bridges”, so to speak, with peoples who are outside of the covenants of God? Is that really what Christ referred to when He gave us these words?

Examining the Word of God from the Old Testament, we are given a starkly different picture of what a peacemaker actually is. With all certainty, peacemakers in the Biblical sense are not the world's compromisers, and neither are such peacemakers found to be placators or appeasers. Rather, they are those who would uphold the Word of God, as the author of the Proverbs said: “He that winks with his eyes deceitfully, procures griefs for men; but he that reproves boldly is a peacemaker” (Proverbs 10:10, from the Septuagint).

In Deuteronomy chapter 23 we see the following, in part: “2 A bastard shall not enter into the congregation of the LORD; even to his tenth generation shall he not enter into the congregation of the LORD. 3 An Ammonite or Moabite shall not enter into the congregation of the LORD; even to their tenth generation shall they not enter into the congregation of the LORD for ever... 6 Thou shalt not seek their peace nor their prosperity all thy days for ever”.

In Deuteronomy chapter 29 we see even stronger and more general admonitions: “16 For ye know how we have dwelt in the land of Egypt; and how we came through the nations which ye passed by; 17 And ye have seen their abominations, and their idols, wood and stone, silver and gold, which were among them: 18 Lest there should be among you man, or woman, or family, or tribe, whose heart turneth away this day from the LORD our God, to go and serve the gods of these nations; lest there should be among you a root that beareth gall and wormwood; 19 And it come to pass, when he heareth the words of this curse, that he bless himself in his heart, saying, I shall have peace, though I walk in the imagination of mine heart, to add drunkenness to thirst: 20 The LORD will not spare him, but then the anger of the LORD and his jealousy shall smoke against that man, and all the curses that are written in this book shall lie upon him, and the LORD shall blot out his name from under heaven.”

With these two examples, we see that a man who pretends to be a peacemaker and who nevertheless respects those of the non-Christian-Israel nations is deceiving himself, and bringing upon himself the curses of an angry God.

The God and Messiah of Israel, Yahshua Christ, came only “but unto the lost sheep of the house of Israel”, and there are many explicit statements in the New Testament which make it quite clear that the Redemption and the promises which are in Christ are applicable only to the children of Israel, who can certainly be identified in the Saxon, Keltic and related nations of Europe. However when aliens and Judaized Christians talk about God and Jesus, they refer not to the God of the Bible nor to the Christ of the Gospel. They can not be referring to Him, because the ideas which they espouse are absolutely contrary to His Word. Rather, the aliens and Judaized Christians have formed their own god in their own image, and therefore they have created for themselves an idol.

A negro or any other non-Israelite who claims to worship the God of the Bible is lying, for the simple reason that by his pretending to be a Christian his profession is contrary to the words of the God of the Bible. However it is not the Identity Christian's place to convince the alien of that, because Christians are not to take their pearls and throw them before swine. The Word of God is only for the children of Israel, according to the Word of God Himself. For example, from Psalm 147: “19 He sheweth his word unto Jacob, his statutes and his judgments unto Israel. 20 He hath not dealt so with any nation: and as for his judgments, they have not known them. Praise ye the LORD.”

The aliens and the universalists have therefore created and are worshipping an idol, and when true Christians seek their peace, they are walking in the vain imaginations of their own hearts, adding drunkenness of mind to a thirst for understanding by respecting the idol of the aliens. For this reason, Identity Christians cannot talk to those of other races about *Jesus*. Agreement with the alien is disagreement with Yahweh God, with He who is the real Jesus. He never agreed with them, and rather He said of them “Get away from Me, I never knew you” (Matthew 7:23), as in Amos chapter 3 we read that that Yahweh said to Israel “2 You only have I known of all the families of the earth: therefore I will punish you for all your iniquities.” In the very verse which follows He asks “3 Can two walk together, except they be agreed?”

Christianity is not about the conciliation and appeasement of aliens. Rather, the apostle Paul quoted the prophet Isaiah where he said in his second epistle to the Corinthians, in chapter 6: “17 Wherefore come out from among them, and be ye separate, saith the Lord, and touch not the unclean *thing*; and I will receive you, 18 And will be a Father unto you, and ye shall be my sons and daughters, saith the Lord Almighty.” In our quotation here we have struck the added word “thing” from the text, since appearing in italics it admittedly does not belong to the original, and since the reference is clearly to *them*, in the admonishment “come out from among them”, to *people* and not to *things*.

From the Septuagint, from Proverbs chapter 3: “1 My son, forget not my law; but let thine heart keep my commandments: 2 For length of days, and long life, and peace, shall they add to thee.” And again, from chapter 10: “10 He that winks with his eyes deceitfully, procures griefs for men; but he that reproveth boldly is a peacemaker.”

There we see that the man who reproveth boldly, reproveth his fellows in accordance with laws of God, *that* man is the true peacemaker. The true peacemaker makes peace with God by obeying His law, and when all men follow the Law of God all men shall have peace. Yahshua Christ could not have meant to refer to placators, appeasers and compromisers in Matthew 5:9. Christians are never to seek their peace, and they are never to respect their idols, because Yahweh God does not know them and because they can never accept the truth of His Word. Christians are never to placate nor seek peace with aliens. Rather, Christians must obey the Word of God in deed.

Neither can men make peace for themselves, from their own reasonings. Rather, peace is one of the promises of obedience given in Deuteronomy chapter 28: “1 And it shall come to pass, if thou shalt hearken diligently unto the voice of the LORD thy God, to observe and to do all his commandments which I command thee this day, that the LORD thy God will set thee on high above all nations of the earth: 2 And all these blessings shall come on thee, and overtake thee, if thou shalt hearken unto the voice of the LORD thy God. 3 Blessed shalt thou be in the city, and blessed shalt thou be in the field. 4 Blessed shall be the fruit of thy body, and the fruit of thy ground, and the fruit of thy cattle, the increase of thy kine, and the flocks of

thy sheep. 5 Blessed shall be thy basket and thy store. 6 Blessed shalt thou be when thou comest in, and blessed shalt thou be when thou goest out. 7 The LORD shall cause thine enemies that rise up against thee to be smitten before thy face: they shall come out against thee one way, and flee before thee seven ways. 8 The LORD shall command the blessing upon thee in thy storehouses, and in all that thou settest thine hand unto; and he shall bless thee in the land which the LORD thy God giveth thee. 9 The LORD shall establish thee an holy people unto himself, as he hath sworn unto thee, if thou shalt keep the commandments of the LORD thy God, and walk in his ways. 10 And all people of the earth shall see that thou art called by the name of the LORD; and they shall be afraid of thee.”

Therefore the only way to real peace is to keep the commandments of Yahweh our God. Christians do not rationalize the commandments of God nor do they bargain them away. True peace for the people of God is when the other races and nations fear them. Christians do not compromise: for compromise is failure. True peacemakers cannot compromise the Word of God, or they will only have curses.

From Luke chapter 2: “14 Honor to Yahweh in the heights, and peace upon the earth among approved men.” For all of those who are not of the seed of Israel, who shall never have His approval, there is only the Lake of Fire.

William Finck

Christogenea.org


We first presented this theme for a Christogenea Open Forum program on May, 30th 2011.

C o n t e n t s


Editorial Who are the Peacemakers?

The Prophecy of Amos Part Five	6
The Coudenhove-Kalergi Plan - The Genocide of the Peoples of Europe	16
Truth about South African Icon at odds with Public Portrayal Pete Papaherakles	19
Mandela The Marxist Communist Dies Peacefully But Not	
These South African Whites	21
The Jew uses Aryan Beauty Against Us	23
Holocaust Revisionism in One Easy Lesson - John Bryant	24
Lord Breville Janner of Braunstone - Interviewed in Paedophile Enquiry	30
Icelanders Overthrow Government and Rewrite Constitution	32
Spectacular precedent-setting decision in Strassburg - Karl-Otto Sattler	34
Obamacare – Backdoor to UN Genocide	36
The Current Vaccine Reality: Disinformed Consent by Shawn Siegel	37
What is Christian Identity?	39

Announcements



192. Macabre representation of the Tree of Knowledge and Death. Woodcut by Jost Amman, from Jacob Rueff's *De conceptu et generatione hominis*, printed by Peter Fabricius, Frankfurt, 1587.



Ten-part series on the prophecy of Amos continues

The Prophecy of Amos

Part 5

William R Finck

Over the past four segments of this presentation on the prophecy of Amos, we have discussed the ancient Mesopotamian inscriptions and their evidences of the existence of and the demise of the ancient kingdoms of Damascus, Ammon, Moab, Edom, and then Judah. In the past two segments of this, we also witnessed some of the Greek historical attestations of the founding of the ancient Kingdom of Israel by Moses, as it was recounted by both Strabo the Geographer and Diodorus Siculus. Discussing the oracle of Amos against Judah last week, we saw three ancient witnesses attesting to the facts and circumstances concerning the history of the ancient Kingdom of Judah as they are outlined in the Old Testament. These were the Lachish Ostraca, the Taylor Prism containing the Annals of Sennacherib, and various Babylonian inscriptions attesting to the presence of the household of Jehoiachin the King of Judah in captivity in Babylon. All of these things are more than sufficient proof witnessing to the historicity of the books of the Old Testament.

Later in this presentation we shall discuss the religious and prophetic aspects of this chapter of Amos and the oracles against Judah and Israel. Here we shall commence with Amos 2:6, where we shall see even further evidence from ancient inscriptions verifying the truth of the historical circumstances found in the writings of the Bible, and of this prophet.

Amos 2:6 Thus saith the LORD; For three

transgressions of Israel, and for four, I will not turn away the punishment thereof; because they sold the righteous for silver, and the poor for a pair of shoes; 7 That pant after the dust of the earth on the head of the poor, and turn aside the way of the meek: and a man and his father will go in unto the same maid, to profane my holy name: 8 And they lay themselves down upon clothes laid to pledge by every altar, and they drink the wine of the condemned in the house of their god. 9 Yet destroyed I the Amorite before them, whose height was like the height of the cedars, and he was strong as the oaks; yet I destroyed his fruit from above, and his roots from beneath. 10 Also I brought you up from the land of Egypt, and led you forty years through the wilderness, to possess the land of the Amorite. 11 And I raised up of your sons for prophets, and of your young men for Nazarites. Is it not even thus, O ye children of Israel? saith the LORD. 12 But ye gave the Nazarites wine to drink; and commanded the prophets, saying, Prophecy not. 13 Behold, I am pressed under you, as a cart is pressed that is full of sheaves. 14 Therefore the flight shall perish from the swift, and the strong shall not strengthen his force, neither shall the mighty deliver himself: 15 Neither shall he stand that handleth the bow; and he that is swift of foot shall not deliver himself: neither shall he that rideth the horse deliver himself. 16 And he that is courageous among the mighty shall flee away

naked in that day, saith the LORD.

In this passage from Amos we see references to the Exodus from Egypt and the destruction of the Amorites before the children of Israel are presented as examples of God's favor for them. The Amorites, called both Martu and Amurru in the ancient inscriptions, were a once-powerful people who dwelt to the west of Babylonia. In some of the earliest known sources, the inscriptions of ancient Sumer which date as far back as the first half of the third millennium BC, which is over 500 years before the time of Abraham, the Martu were the rather nomadic people who occupied the lands to the west of Babylonia, including what we know today as southern Syria, Lebanon and the northern parts of ancient Israel. In an inscription of the Sumerian king Ibbi-Sin they are listed as allies

in the Sumerian cause against the Elamites (*Ancient Near Eastern Texts Relating to the Old Testament*, Princeton University Press, 1969, J. Pritchard, ed. [ANET] pp. 480-481). The Elamites are the principal tribe of the people who were later known as Persians, and the Hebrew name for *Elam* is translated as *Persia* throughout

Scripture.. The Akkadian name for the Martu was *Amurru*, and they were the Amorites of Scripture. There are a couple of extant copies, one in Akkadian and one in Hittite, of a treaty between the Amorite king Duppi-Tesub and the Hittite king Mursilis I, who is presumed to have ruled Hatti from circa 1620 to 1590 BC. The treaty contained mutual defense clauses against both the Egyptians and the Hurrians. While Bible students know there were originally ten tribes occupying the land of Canaan, which is evident from Genesis chapter 15, the Amorites certainly must have been the dominant tribe. While Genesis 15 distinguishes some of the tribes of the Canaanites, Genesis chapter 10 indicates that they are indeed to be reckoned with the Canaanites. So it is evident that for many centuries in antiquity, the Martu, or Amurru, were indeed a powerful nation, and that the Bible is


indeed accurate concerning the location and the strength of these people. Later, in the Egyptian inscriptions of the 14th and 13th centuries BC, notably those from Pharaoh Seti I and Ramses II, the “land of Amurru” remained the name which was used to describe the former land of the Amorites, including much of the land of Canaan (*ANET* pp. 254, 256), land which was by that time inhabited by Israelites. In the surviving poetry of ancient Ugarit, which mostly concerns their idols Baal and Anath, there is mention of Amorite crafts where there is a line translated “Gorgeous bowls shaped like small beasts like those of Amurru” (*ANET*, p. 132).

Joshua chapter 24, Judges chapter 11 and other scriptures describe the displacement of the Amorites by the children of Israel. However like the other

Canaanite tribes, the children of Israel failed to obliterate them completely, as they were instructed to do. There were still Amorites which remained even up to the time when the Israelites were deported by the Assyrians. From 2 Chronicles 8:7-8: “7 As for all the people that were left of the Hittites, and the Amorites, and the

Perizzites, and the Hivites, and the Jebusites, which were not of Israel, 8 But of their children, who were left after them in the land, whom the children of Israel consumed not, them did Solomon make to pay tribute until this day.”

In the later Assyrian inscriptions, those of the era of the Assyrian invasions of Palestine, one can see that the “land of Amurru” is greatly reduced from its former size, which certainly establishes the Biblical assertions that the Israelites had displaced the Amorites and others of the Canaanite tribes which inhabited the land. The Amurru and their land is mentioned in an inscription of Tiglath-pileser I, who presumably ruled Assyria from 1114 to 1076 BC, a time not long before that of Saul and David, where the exact extent of what he considered the “country of



Amurru” to be is unclear, however it clearly bordered the Mediterranean Sea (*ANET*, p. 275). In an inscription of Ashurnasirpal, who ruled from 883 to 859 BC, the Amurru are mentioned in a list of tributaries which included Tyre, Sidon, Byblos, Arvad, and other cities which are therefore distinguished from those of the Amorites (*ANET*, p. 276).


Therefore the Biblical assertion that the children of Israel displaced the Amorites and other tribes of the Canaanites certainly seems to be accurate, even if Assyrian and Egyptian inscriptions concerning the “land of Amurru” dating from the second millennium BC do not distinguish the Amorites who were originally the principal tribe of the land from the Hebrew Israelites who later occupied much of the same land. The Amarna Letters do describe some parts of the Hebrew invasion of the Levant in the 14th century BC.

The first mention of an Israelite in the surviving Assyrian inscriptions seems to be that of King Ahab, “Ahab the Israelite”, in an inscription of Shalmaneser III, who presumably ruled Assyria from 858 to 824 BC. Ahab was said to have provided ten thousand foot soldiers to a coalition army from mostly Syrian cities which fought against the Assyrians (*ANET*, p. 279). The account is not in our Scriptures. The earliest concern over the expansion of Assyria which is recorded in Scripture seems to be that of the prophet Jonah, whose ministry was no later than the early years of Jeroboam II, who was the king of Israel from perhaps 793 to 752 BC. This dating of Jonah is established at 2 Kings 14:25, where it says of Jeroboam II that “He restored the coast of Israel from the entering of Hamath unto the sea of the plain, according to the word of the LORD God of Israel, which he spake by the hand of his servant Jonah, the son of Amittai, the prophet, which was of Gathhepher.” Solomon was said to have first taken Hamath, and to have built cities in it, in 2 Chronicles 8:3-4. Hamath is nearly 120 miles north of Damascus. For the same coalition to which Ahab had contributed soldiers, the Assyrian inscriptions say that the city of Hamath had also contributed ten thousand soldiers. So there is clearly a struggle between Israel and Assyria for control of the areas to the north of Palestine, which we can determine from the Bible and the inscriptions.

In the Assyrian inscriptions which follow, the name *Israel* is always *Hu-um-ri*, or *Humria*, which should

be pronounced in our tongue as *Khumri*. That the *H* is actually a *Kh* is evident in many Assyrian words. For instance elsewhere in the Assyrian inscriptions we see *Hilakku* for *Kilikia* (i.e. *ANET*, p. 284), or as the Biblical *Habor* river is in modern times spelled [*Khabur*](#).

From another much later inscription of Shalmaneser III, we see “The tribute of Jehu, son of Omri (Hu-um-ri); I received from him silver, gold, a golden *saplu*-bowl, a golden vase with pointed bottom, golden tumblers,




Adad-nirari III

golden buckets, tin, a staff for a king, (and) wooden *puruhtu*” (*ANET*, p. 281). The Bible does not mention this tribute which Jehu had made to the Assyrians. The same inscription states that Tyre and Sidon were also under tribute to Assyria at this time, during which Assyria was frequently at war with the kings of the various cities of Syria. In an inscription of Adad-nirari III, who presumably ruled Assyria from 810 to 783 BC, Israel is listed among the states which became tributary to Assyria upon an expedition of this king to Palestine. Here is part of a longer list of tributaries provided in the inscription: “...from the banks of the Euphrates, the country of the Hittites, Amurru-country in its full extent, Tyre, Sidon, Israel (*Hu-um-ri*), Edom, Palestine (*Pa-la-as-tu*), as far as the shore of the Great Sea of the Setting Sun, I made them all submit to my feet, imposing upon them tribute” (*ANET*, p. 281) Neither is this tribute, which was probably either in the reign of Jehoash, or early in that of Jeroboam II, explicitly mentioned in our Scriptures. In the time of Jehoahaz, who preceded this Jehoash as king of Israel, it is said in Scripture that Israel was oppressed by the Syrians for his entire reign (2 Kings 13). Damascus was also taken by Adad-nirari III in this same campaign.

From an inscription of Tiglath-pileser III, who ruled Assyria from 744 to 727 BC, translated by D. D. Luckenbill: “...the towns of the Upper Sea, I brought

under my rule. Six officers of mine I installed as governors over them. [. . . the town of R]ashpuna which is (situated) at the coast of the Upper Sea, [the towns . . .]nrite, Gal'za, Abilakka which are adjacent to Israel (*Bit Hu-um-ri-a*) [and the] wide (land of) [Naphta]li, in its entire extent, I united with Assyria. Officers of mine I installed as governors upon them.” (*ANET*, p. 283) Now this inscription is fragmented, and the word Naphtali is assumed because only the end of the word is legible in the inscription. However it may be the only viable reading which fits the context.

What we have just witnessed from Assyrian inscriptions, is that the Assyrian king Adad-nirari III, who ruled from 810 to 783 BC, went on a long military campaign with a great army and subjected under tribute Syria and Israel and all the nations of the Levant. Then over 40 years later, Tiglath-pileser III once again gathered a large army and went out and conquered these same places. We are not told in the surviving inscriptions exactly why they had to be re-subjected to the Assyrians, but it is obvious that such is what had occurred. However we do have the answer to that in our Bibles. For roughly corresponding with the 40-plus years between these two Assyrian kings is the reign of Jeroboam II, king of Israel.



Jeroboam II regained all of these lands from the Assyrians and placed them back under the control of Israel. That is why Tiglath-pileser III had to launch a new campaign and regain Assyrian

dominion! Here is the Biblical account, from 2 Kings 14:23-29: “23 In the fifteenth year of Amaziah the son of Joash king of Judah Jeroboam the son of Joash king of Israel began to reign in Samaria, and reigned forty and one years. 24 And he did that which was evil in the sight of the LORD: he departed not from all the sins of Jeroboam the son of Nebat, who made Israel to sin. 25 He restored the coast of Israel from the entering of Hamath unto the sea of the plain,

according to the word of the LORD God of Israel, which he spake by the hand of his servant Jonah, the son of Amittai, the prophet, which was of Gathhepher. 26 For the LORD saw the affliction of Israel, that it was very bitter: for there was not any shut up, nor any left, nor any helper for Israel. 27 And the LORD said not that he would blot out the name of Israel from under heaven: but he saved them by the hand of Jeroboam the son of Joash. 28 Now the rest of the acts of Jeroboam, and all that he did, and his might, how he warred, and how he recovered Damascus, and Hamath, which belonged to Judah, for Israel, are they not written in the book of the chronicles of the kings of Israel? 29 And Jeroboam slept with his fathers, even with the kings of Israel; and Zachariah his son reigned in his stead.”

Adad-nirari III conquers the land under a wicked Israelite king, Jeroboam II ascends and recovers it for Israel. A few decades later Tiglath-pileser III launches a new campaign and gets it back for Assyria. Here is the struggle for what was then basically the center of what we may call Western Civilization. The Bible is a history book, even though it is recorded from what may be termed a religious perspective, and there is no doubt about it once it is properly studied.

Putting the world in perspective, while all of this is transpiring, the Ionians were conquering the Phoenician cities of Anatolia, and gained hegemony over Miletus and the rest of Caria. Rome, the so-called “eternal city”, is not even on the maps. The traditional founding of Rome is 753 BC, so the city is just now being founded. Where did the Romans come from? They came from Troy, from the east, according to all of their own legends and those of the Greeks. The Trojans in turn were said to have come from the Mediterranean isles. All of Italy was settled from the east, by Minoans, Lydians, Trojans, and Greeks. After Rome was founded, according to Livy, they sent men to Athens to study the laws of Solon, which formed the basis of the first Roman laws. Carthage, according to the reckoning of Josephus from the now-lost chronicles of ancient Tyre, is only about a hundred years old at this time, having been founded about 850 BC. Where did the Carthaginians come from? They came from Tyre, from the east, according to all of the histories of the Levant and of the Greeks. At this time the coasts of Spain and the isles of Britain were known only as Phoenician outposts. The Phocians, who were Ionian Greeks, had not yet founded


Marseilles. In 750 BC, the earliest surviving Greek poets had not even begun to write, yet by the time of Herodotus, three hundred years later, Athens would represent the new center of Western Civilization.

Continuing from the same inscription of Tiglath-pileser III: “As to Hanno of Gaza (*Ha-a-nu-ú-nu Ha-az-za-at-a-a*) who had fled before my army and run away to Egypt, [I conquered] the town of Gaza, ... his personal property, his images ... [and I placed (?)] (the images of) my [... gods] and my royal image in his own palace ... and declared (them) to be (thenceforward) the gods of their country. I imposed upon th[em tribute]. [As for Menahem I ov]erwhelmed him [like a snowstorm] and he ... fled like a bird, alone, [and bowed to my feet (?)]. I returned him to his place [and imposed tribute upon him, to wit:] gold, silver, linen, garments with multicolored trimmings, ... great ... [I re]ceived from him. Israel (literally: “Omri-land” *Bît Humria*) ... all its inhabitants (and) their possessions I led to Assyria. They overthrew their king Pekah (*Pa-qa-ha*) and I placed Hoshea (*A-ú-si-*”) as king over them. I received from them 10 talents of gold, 1000(?) talents of silver as their [tri]bute and brought them to Assyria.” (*ANET*, pp. 283-284)

Here in the annals of Tiglath-pileser III we witness the beginnings of the deportations of the Israelites to the cities of Assyria. The information in this ancient Assyrian inscription concerning Gal'za, Abilakka, and Naphtali, the beginnings of the deportations of the Israelites, and the events surrounding the kings Pekah and Hoshea, is very much in agreement with the account from 2 Kings 15:29-30, albeit from a somewhat different point of view: “29 In the days of Pekah king of Israel came Tiglathpileser king of Assyria, and took Ijon, and Abelbethmaachah, and Janoah, and Kedesh, and Hazor, and Gilead, and Galilee, all the land of Naphtali, and carried them captive to Assyria. 30 And Hoshea the son of Elah made a conspiracy against Pekah the son of Remaliah, and smote him, and slew him, and reigned in his stead, in the twentieth year of Jotham the son of Huzzah.”

Note that this Assyrian inscription also details the custom of an imperial power imposing its own gods on the subjected people which is evident here in this inscription. It is practically the same custom which imperial Rome had later followed, in placing the images of their emperor in the temples of subject peoples, and expecting those peoples to sacrifice to

those images. It is the same custom which the medieval Catholic Church began, and which the Protestant churches have continued, in forcing their own corrupted forms of Christianity onto the world's alien peoples. Today, American imperialism also imposes its own gods on the peoples of the world. Therefore they all wear Levi's, eat McDonald's, and enforce laws forbidding anti-Semitism and Holocaust denial.



From an inscription of Sargon II, who ruled Assyria from 721 to 705 BC: “(Property of Sargon, etc., king of Assyria, etc.) conqueror of Samaria (*Sa-mir-i-na*) and of the entire (country of) Israel (*Bît-Hu-um-ri-a*) who despoiled Ashdod (and) Shinuhti, who caught the Greeks who (live on islands) in the sea, like fish, who exterminated Kasku, all Tabali and Cilicia (*Hilakku*), who chased away Midas (*Mi-ta-a*) king of Musku, who defeated Musur (*Mu-šu-ri*) in Rapihu, who declared Hanno, king of Gaza, as booty, who subdued the seven kings of the country Ia', a district in Cyprus (*Ia-ad-na-na*), (who) dwell (on an island) in the sea, at (a distance of) a seven-day journey.” (*ANET*, p. 284) Here we see Assyria not only once again conquering the Levant, but also extending its reach into Anatolia. This Midas is not the famous Midas of Greek legend, who was about a hundred years later and the king of Phrygia. Rather, the name seems to have been popular in Anatolia. However the Tabali and Musku can certainly be associated with the Japhethite Tubal and Meshech of Scripture, of Genesis chapter 10 and Ezekiel chapters 38 and 39, and at this time they dwelt on the coasts of the Black Sea. Cilicia and Cyprus were at this time populated with Phoenicians.

From another quite fragmented inscription of Sargon

II: “At the begi[nning] of my royal rule, I ... the town of the Sama[r]ians [I besieged, conquered] (2 lines destroyed) [for the god ... who le]t me achieve (this) my triumph.... I led away as prisoners [27,290 inhabitants of it (and) [equipped] from among [them (soldiers to man)] 50 chariots for my royal corps. ... [The town I] re[built] better than (it was) before and [settled] therein people from countries which [I] myself [had con]quered. I placed an officer of mine as governor over them and imposed upon them tribute as (is customary) for Assyrian citizens.” (*ANET*, p. 284)

From yet another inscription witnessing the same events: “I besieged and conquered Samaria (*Sa-me-ri-na*), led away as booty 27,290 inhabitants of it. I formed from among them a contingent of 50 chariots and made remaining (inhabitants) assume their (social) positions. I installed over them an officer of mine and imposed upon them the tribute of the former king....” (*ANET*, pp. 284-285)

The primary Biblical record of this event is found in 2 Kings 17:1-6: “1 In the twelfth year of Ahaz king of Judah began Hoshea the son of Elah to reign in Samaria over Israel nine years. 2 And he did that which was evil in the sight of the LORD, but not as the kings of Israel that were before him. 3 Against him came up Shalmaneser king of Assyria; and Hoshea became his servant, and gave him presents. 4 And the king of Assyria found conspiracy in Hoshea: for he had sent messengers to So king of Egypt, and brought no present to the king of Assyria, as he had done year by year: therefore the king of Assyria shut him up, and bound him in prison. 5 Then the king of Assyria came up throughout all the land, and went up to Samaria, and besieged it three years. 6 In the ninth year of Hoshea the king of Assyria took Samaria, and carried Israel away into Assyria, and placed them in Halah and in Habor by the river of Gozan, and in the cities of the Medes.”

That the Assyrians brought in people from other places which they had conquered, and settled them in Samaria, as the inscription states, is attested to at 2 Kings 17:24: “And the king of Assyria brought men from Babylon, and from Cuthah, and from Ava, and from Hamath, and from Sepharvaim, and placed them in the cities of Samaria instead of the children of Israel: and they possessed Samaria, and dwelt in the cities thereof.”

The account in 2 Kings 17 is recapitulated in part at

2 Kings 18:9-12: “9 And it came to pass in the fourth year of king Hezekiah, which was the seventh year of Hoshea son of Elah king of Israel, that Shalmaneser king of Assyria came up against Samaria, and besieged it. 10 And at the end of three years they took it: even in the sixth year of Hezekiah, that is the ninth year of Hoshea king of Israel, Samaria was taken. 11 And the king of Assyria did carry away Israel unto Assyria, and put them in Halah and in Habor by the river of Gozan, and in the cities of the Medes: 12 Because they obeyed not the voice of the LORD their God, but transgressed his covenant, and all that Moses the servant of the LORD commanded, and would not hear them, nor do them.”


In 2 Kings the Assyrian king who did these things is called Shalmaneser, while in the Assyrian inscriptions he is Sargon II. This apparent conflict is easily remedied once it is realized that all of these Assyrian kings had multiple names, most of which were merely titles in the Akkadian language, and not the personal given names to which we today are accustomed. The use of names such as Darius and Ahasuerus (or Artaxerxes) in other Scriptures and in ancient inscriptions further illustrates this point.

From another inscription of Sargon II, from the first year of his rule as King: “Iamani from Ashdod, afraid of my armed force (literally: weapons), left his wife and children and fled to the frontier of M[usru] which belongs to Meluhha (i.e., Ethiopia) and hid (literally: stayed) there like a thief. I installed an officer of mine as governor over his entire large country and its prosperous inhabitants, (thus) aggrandizing (again) the territory belonging to Asshur, the king of the gods. The terror(-inspiring) glamor of Ashur, my lord, overpowered (however) the king of Meluhha and he threw him (i.e. Iamani) in fetters on hands and feet, and sent him to me, to Assyria. I conquered and sacked the towns of Shinuhtu (and) Samaria, and all Israel (literally: “Omri-land” *Bit-Hu-um-ri-ia*). I caught, like a fish, the Greek (Ionians) who live (on islands) amidst the Western Sea.” Later in the rule of Sargon, the year after it was first taken and not recorded in the Bible, was another revolt at Samaria which was again put down forcibly by the Assyrians (*ANET*, p. 285).

From another inscription of Sargon II, from the fifth year of his rule as King of Assyria: “Upon a trust(-inspiring oracle given by) Ashur, I crushed the tribes of Tamud, Ibadidi, Marsimanu, and Haiapa, the

Arabs who live, far away, in the desert (and) who know neither overseers nor official(s) and who had not (yet) brought their tribute to any king. I deported their survivors and settled (them) in Samaria.” (*ANET*, p. 286) It is no wonder that the Judahites who returned from Babylon would later despise the Samaritans. However the Scripture also demonstrates that a significant number of Israelites who managed to escape captivity remained in Samaria, and history attests that a significant number of the people brought into Samaria by the Assyrians were Adamic people from elsewhere in Mesopotamia and adjoining lands. Yet it is clear that there were also many Canaanites and other so-called Arabs, a word which at that time can designate people of mixed tribes regardless of their exact nature, or the word may have been used as a geographical designation as the Romans later used it - for the translator did not specify.

The Assyrian king Esar-haddon, who ruled from 680 to 669 BC, was also often occupied with seditions against the empire in Tyre, Sidon, and the lands of former Israel, and he was still importing aliens into Samaria, and therefore we see at Ezra 4:2 the following: “Then they [meaning certain of the



Esarhaddon

Samaritans] came to Zerubbabel, and to the chief of the fathers, and said unto them, Let us build with you: for we seek your God, as ye do; and we do sacrifice unto him since the days of Esarhaddon king of Assur, which brought us up hither.” Of course, the Judahites rejected the proposal and dealt with much political

strife on account of it. This occurred in the time of Cambyses the king of Persia.

In one of the inscriptions cited earlier, Sargon II boasted of being the “conqueror of Samaria”. In one of his later inscriptions, he boasted of himself as “... the subduer of the country of Judah which is far away, the uprooter of Hamath, the ruler of which - Iau'bidi - he captured personally” (*ANET*, p. 287). The next king, a few short years later, was Sennacherib who conquered Judah, and the records insist that he had at least several hundred thousand troops with him when he besieged Jerusalem. Sargon must have considered it no small feat to be “subduer of Judah”, or “uprooter

of Hamath”, or “conqueror of Samaria”, as we have seen him boast in his inscriptions cited here. These are large and powerful cities, as we have seen in the Assyrian inscriptions of Shalmaneser III, who ruled Assyria from 858 to 824 BC, that Ahab was able to lend 10,000 foot soldiers to a confederacy which fought against that king, and Hamath also lent 10,000 foot soldiers to that same cause. The Scripture did not even mention Ahab's loan of such a large army to such a cause, and therefore it certainly may not have been considered a significant act. Likewise, Hamath, nearly 120 miles north of Damascus, was a vassal state to Israel for most of the history of Israel, which is evident in several places in Scripture. The tenor of the Assyrian inscriptions certainly helps to prove that Israel was every bit the great nation-state that the Bible purports it to have been. The narrative of the Assyrian inscriptions certainly helps to prove that the Bible is an important and historically accurate book.

Now to briefly discuss the religious reasons for the punishment of Israel and Judah, as they are uttered by Amos. There were, of course, many other reasons illustrated in the books of Hosea, and in Isaiah, and some of the other prophets.

Amos 2:4 Thus saith the LORD; For three transgressions of Judah, and for four, I will not turn away the punishment thereof; because they have despised the law of the LORD, and have not kept his commandments, and their lies caused them to err, after the which their fathers have walked: 5 But I will send a fire upon Judah, and it shall devour the palaces of Jerusalem.

Judah was primarily chastised here for having despised the law of Yahweh their God and not keeping His commandments. Scriptural evidence of this is found in 2 Chronicles, chapters 34 and 35, where the later reforms of the young king Josiah are described. Unfortunately, Josiah's reforms did not extend past his own reign, and are a classic example of “too little, too late”.


2 Chronicles 34: “1 Josiah was eight years old when he began to reign, and he reigned in Jerusalem one and thirty years. 2 And he did that which was right in the sight of the LORD, and walked in the ways of David his father, and declined neither to the right hand, nor to the left. 3 For in the eighth year of his reign, while he was yet young, he began to seek after the God of David his father: and in the twelfth year he began to purge Judah and Jerusalem from the high

places, and the groves, and the carved images, and the molten images. 4 And they brake down the altars of Baalim in his presence; and the images, that were on high above them, he cut down; and the groves, and the carved images, and the molten images, he brake in pieces, and made dust of them, and strowed it upon the graves of them that had sacrificed unto them. 5 And he burnt the bones of the priests upon their altars, and cleansed Judah and Jerusalem. 6 And so did he in the cities of Manasseh, and Ephraim, and Simeon, even unto Naphtali, with their mattocks round about. (it is 628 BC, and not all of the children of Israel were deported by the Assyrians, there were certainly a remnant of those who had escaped.) 7 And when he had broken down the altars and the groves, and had beaten the graven images into powder, and cut down all the idols throughout all the land of Israel, he returned to Jerusalem. 8 Now in the eighteenth year of his reign, when he had purged the land, and the house, he sent Shaphan the son of Azaliah, and Maaseiah the governor of the city, and Joah the son of Joahaz the recorder, to repair the house of the LORD his God. 9 And when they came to Hilkiyah the high priest, they delivered the money that was brought into the house of God, which the Levites that kept the doors had gathered of the hand of Manasseh and Ephraim, and of all the remnant of Israel, and of all Judah and Benjamin; and they returned to Jerusalem. 10 And they put it in the hand of the workmen that had the oversight of the house of the LORD, and they gave it to the workmen that wrought in the house of the LORD, to repair and amend the house: 11 Even to the artificers and builders gave they it, to buy hewn stone, and timber for couplings, and to floor the houses which the kings of Judah had destroyed. 12 And the men did the work faithfully: and the overseers of them were Jahath and Obadiah, the Levites, of the sons of Merari; and Zechariah and Meshullam, of the sons of the Kohathites, to set it forward; and other of the Levites, all that could skill of instruments of musick. (Here it is readily evident just how far into disrepair the ancient Temple of Yahweh had fallen!) 13 Also they were over the bearers of burdens, and were overseers of all that wrought the work in any manner of service: and of the Levites there were scribes, and officers, and porters. 14 And when they brought out the money that was brought into the house of the LORD, Hilkiyah the priest found a book of the law of the LORD given by Moses. 15 And Hilkiyah answered and said to Shaphan the scribe, I have found the book

of the law in the house of the LORD. And Hilkiyah delivered the book to Shaphan. 16 And Shaphan carried the book to the king, and brought the king word back again, saying, All that was committed to thy servants, they do it. 17 And they have gathered together the money that was found in the house of the LORD, and have delivered it into the hand of the overseers, and to the hand of the workmen. 18 Then Shaphan the scribe told the king, saying, Hilkiyah the priest hath given me a book. And Shaphan read it before the king. 19 And it came to pass, when the king had heard the words of the law, that he rent his clothes. 20 And the king commanded Hilkiyah, and Ahikam the son of Shaphan, and Abdon the son of Micah, and Shaphan the scribe, and Asaiah a servant of the king's, saying, 21 Go, enquire of the LORD for me, and for them that are left in Israel and in Judah, concerning the words of the book that is found: for great is the wrath of the LORD that is poured out upon us, because our fathers have not kept the word of the LORD, to do after all that is written in this book. 22 And Hilkiyah, and they that the king had appointed, went to Huldah the prophetess, the wife of Shallum the son of Tikvath, the son of Hasrah, keeper of the wardrobe; (now she dwelt in Jerusalem in the college:) and they spake to her to that effect. 23 And she answered them, Thus saith the LORD God of Israel, Tell ye the man that sent you to me, 24 Thus saith the LORD, Behold, I will bring evil upon this place, and upon the inhabitants thereof, even all the curses that are written in the book which they have read before the king of Judah: 25 Because they have forsaken me, and have burned incense unto other gods, that they might provoke me to anger with all the works of their hands; therefore my wrath shall be poured out upon this place, and shall not be quenched. 26 And as for the king of Judah, who sent you to enquire of the LORD, so shall ye say unto him, Thus saith the LORD God of Israel concerning the words which thou hast heard;

27 Because thine heart was tender, and thou didst humble thyself before God, when thou heardest his words against this place, and against the inhabitants thereof, and humbledst thyself before me, and didst rend thy clothes, and weep before me; I have even heard thee also, saith the LORD. 28 Behold, I will gather thee to thy fathers, and thou shalt be gathered to thy grave in peace, neither shall thine eyes see all the evil that I will bring upon this place, and upon the inhabitants of the same. So they brought the king word

again. 29 Then the king sent and gathered together all the elders of Judah and Jerusalem.



30 And the king went up into the house of the LORD, and all the men of Judah, and the inhabitants of Jerusalem, and the priests, and the Levites, and all the people, great and small: and he read in their ears all the words of the book of the covenant that was found in the house of the LORD. 31 And the king stood in his place, and made a covenant before the LORD, to walk after the LORD, and to keep his commandments, and his testimonies, and his statutes, with all his heart, and with all his soul, to perform the words of the covenant which are written in this book. 32 And he caused all that were present in Jerusalem and Benjamin to stand to it. And the inhabitants of Jerusalem did according to the covenant of God, the God of their fathers. 33 And Josiah took away all the abominations out of all the countries that pertained to the children of Israel, and made all that were present in Israel to serve, even to serve the LORD their God. And all his days they departed not from following the LORD, the God of their fathers.”

So we see that on account of Josiah's reforms, Jerusalem was spared destruction during the time of Josiah only, but that the punishment of Jerusalem uttered by prophets such as Amos would not be curtailed. Much of this promised punishment upon Judah had occurred long before Josiah, when in approximately 701 BC Sennacherib the King of Assyria had taken 46 fenced cities of Judah, destroyed most of them, and deported over 200,000 of their inhabitants to lands far in the north.

However the destruction of Jerusalem, and also - although it is little-discussed by Bible expositors - the

destruction of mainland Tyre and some of the others of the remaining cities of the Levant, was not to happen until the rise of the Babylonian empire which had replaced that of the Assyrians, and the reign of Nebuchadnezzar II. Jerusalem was finally destroyed circa 586-585 BC.

Amos 2:6 Thus saith the LORD; For three transgressions of Israel, and for four, I will not turn away the punishment thereof; because they sold the righteous for silver, and the poor for a pair of shoes; 7 That pant after the dust of the earth on the head of the poor, and turn aside the way of the meek: and a man and his father will go in unto the same maid, to profane my holy name: 8 And they lay themselves down upon clothes laid to pledge by every altar, and they drink the wine of the condemned in the house of their god. 9 Yet destroyed I the Amorite before them, whose height was like the height of the cedars, and he was strong as the oaks; yet I destroyed his fruit from above, and his roots from beneath. 10 Also I brought you up from the land of Egypt, and led you forty years through the wilderness, to possess the land of the Amorite. 11 And I raised up of your sons for prophets, and of your young men for Nazarites. Is it not even thus, O ye children of Israel? saith the LORD. 12 But ye gave the Nazarites wine to drink; and commanded the prophets, saying, Prophecy not. 13 Behold, I am pressed under you, as a cart is pressed that is full of sheaves. 14 Therefore the flight shall perish from the swift, and the strong shall not strengthen his force, neither shall the mighty deliver himself: 15 Neither shall he stand that handleth the bow; and he that is swift of foot shall not deliver himself: neither shall he that rideth the horse deliver himself. 16 And he that is courageous among the mighty shall flee away naked in that day, saith the LORD.


Although Yahweh their God had led them out of the captivity in Egypt, and had shown them his favor by destroying the ancient nations of Canaan, and specifically that of the Amorites, so that they may have their land, the children of Israel still could not deal justly with their own people.

Here the children of Israel, contrary to Judah, are not really chastised for not keeping Yahweh's laws and commandments. While they had indeed long forsaken those, that was probably inevitable as soon as the original Kingdom of David and Solomon was split

into twain. At that time, the king that Yahweh Himself anointed over Israel had commanded the ten northern tribes to forsake the worship at Jerusalem, and he led them off into paganism.

After the death of Solomon and the division of the Kingdom, Rehoboam the son of Solomon sought to prevent it, yet Yahweh commanded him not to prevent it. At that time did Jeroboam, the newly anointed King of Israel, change the worship of the people to paganism. Here is the synopsis, from 2 Kings 12:22-31: “22 But the word of God came unto Shemaiah the man of God, saying, 23 Speak unto Rehoboam, the son of Solomon, king of Judah, and unto all the house of Judah and Benjamin, and to the remnant of the people, saying, 24 Thus saith the LORD, Ye shall not go up, nor fight against your brethren the children of Israel: return every man to his house; for this thing is from me. They hearkened therefore to the word of the LORD, and returned to depart, according to the word of the LORD. 25 Then Jeroboam built Shechem in mount Ephraim, and dwelt therein; and went out from thence, and built Peniel. 26 And Jeroboam said in his heart, Now shall the kingdom return to the house of David: 27 If this people go up to do sacrifice in the house of the LORD at Jerusalem, then shall the heart of this people turn again unto their lord, even unto Rehoboam king of Judah, and they shall kill me, and go again to Rehoboam king of Judah. 28 Whereupon the king took counsel, and made two calves of gold, and said unto them, It is too much for you to go up to Jerusalem: behold thy gods, O Israel, which brought thee up out of the land of Egypt. 29 And he set the one in Bethel, and the other put he in Dan. 30 And this thing became a sin: for the people went to worship before the one, even unto Dan. 31 And he made an house of high places, and made priests of the lowest of the people, which were not of the sons of Levi.”

While of course the pagan worship was not right in the eyes of God, it was nevertheless inevitable. Yet Israel



is chastised here in Amos because “they lay themselves down upon clothes laid to pledge by every altar, and they drink the wine of the condemned in the house of their god”, which are references to the fornication committed in their paganism. They were also chastised for the manner in which they treated their fellows, selling the righteous and the poor into slavery, and abandoning brotherly love.

They also gave the Nazarites wine to drink, meaning that those who would be righteous before God, they resented and corrupted, forcing them to comply with the general immorality of the nation. We see those same circumstances throughout the West today, that if one desires to act righteously, he is resented and persecuted by society.

In addition to these things, Amos here chastises the children of Israel because they forbid the prophets from prophesying. That they did not want the prophets to speak the truth and to teach the Word of God. From

Isaiah chapter 30, speaking to the children of Israel: “1 Woe to the rebellious children, saith the LORD, that take counsel, but not of me; and that cover with a covering, but not of my spirit, that they may add sin to sin ... 8 Now go,

write it before them in a table, and note it in a book, that it may be for the time to come for ever and ever: 9 That this is a rebellious people, lying children, children that will not hear the law of the LORD: 10 Which say to the seers, See not; and to the prophets, Prophecy not unto us right things, speak unto us smooth things, prophesy deceits: 11 Get you out of the way, turn aside out of the path, cause the Holy One of Israel to cease from before us. 12 Wherefore thus saith the Holy One of Israel, Because ye despise this word, and trust in oppression and perverseness, and stay thereon: 13 Therefore this iniquity shall be to you as a breach ready to fall, swelling out in a high wall, whose breaking cometh suddenly at an instant.” Today the forbidding of men from speaking the truth is called “political correctness”.



The Coudenhove-Kalergi Plan The Genocide of the Peoples of Europe

Mass immigration is a phenomenon, the causes of which are still cleverly concealed by the system, and the multicultural propaganda is trying to falsely portray it as inevitable. With this article we intend to prove once and for all, that this is not a spontaneous phenomenon. What they want to present as an inevitable outcome of modern life, is actually a plan conceived around a table and prepared for decades, to completely destroy the face of the continent.

The Pan-Europe

Few people know that one of the main initiators of the process of European integration, was also the man who designed the genocide plan of the Peoples of Europe. He is a dark person whose existence is unknown to the masses, but the elite consider him as the founder of the European Union. His name is Richard Coudenhove Kalergi. His father was an Austrian diplomat named Heinrich von Coudenhove-Kalergi (with connections to the Byzantine family of the Kallergis) and his mother the Japanese Mitsu Aoyama. Kalergi, thanks to his close contacts with all European aristocrats and politicians due to the relationships of his nobleman-diplomat father, and by moving behind the scenes away from the glare of publicity, he managed to attract the most important heads of state to his plan, making them supporters and collaborators for the 'project of European integration'.

In 1922 he founded the 'Pan-European' movement in Vienna, which aimed to create a New World Order,

based on a federation of nations led by the United States. European integration would be the first step in creating a world government. Among the first supporters were the Czech politicians Tomáš Masaryk and Edvard Beneš and the banker Max Warburg, who invested the first 60,000 marks. The Austrian Chancellor Ignaz Seipel and the next president of Austria, Karl Renner took the responsibility for leading the 'Pan-European' movement. Later, French politicians, such as Léon Bloum, Aristide Briand, Alcide De Gasperi would offer their help.

With the rise of Fascism in Europe, the project was abandoned and the 'Pan-European' movement was forced to dissolve but after the Second World War, Kalergi, thanks to frantic and tireless activity and the support of Winston Churchill, the Jewish Masonic Lodge B'nai B'rith and major newspapers like the New York Times, the plan manages to be accepted by the United States Government. The CIA later undertakes the completion of the project.

The Essence of the Kalergi Plan

In his book 'Praktischer Idealismus', Kalergi indicates that the residents of the future 'United States of Europe' will not be the People of the Old Continent but a kind of sub-humans, products of miscegenation. He clearly states that the peoples of Europe should interbreed with Asians and colored races, thus creating a multinational flock with no quality and easily controlled by the ruling elite.

Kalergi proclaims the abolition of the right of self-determination and then the elimination of nations

with the use of ethnic separatist movements and mass migration. In order for Europe to be controlled by an elite, he wants to turn people into one homogeneous mixed breed of Blacks, Whites and Asians. Who is this elite however? Kalergi is particularly illuminating on this:

The man of the future will be of mixed race. The races and classes of today will gradually disappear due to the elimination of space, time, and prejudice. The Eurasian-negroid race of the future, similar in appearance to the Ancient Egyptians, [Not so!- Editor] will replace the diversity of peoples and the diversity of individuals. Instead of destroying European Judaism, Europe, against her will, refined and educated this people, driving them to their future status as a leading nation through this artificial evolutionary process. It's not surprising that the people that escaped from the Ghetto-Prison, became the spiritual nobility of Europe. Thus, the compassionate care given by Europe created a new breed of aristocrats. This happened when the European feudal aristocracy crashed because of the emancipation of the Jews [due to the actions taken by the French Revolution]

Although no textbook mentions Kalergi, his ideas are the guiding principles of the European Union. The belief that the peoples of Europe should be mixed with Africans and Asians, to destroy our identity and create a single mestizo race, is the basis of all community policies that aim to protect minorities. Not for humanitarian reasons, but because of the directives issued by the ruthless Regime that machinates the greatest genocide in history. The Coudenhove-Kalergi European Prize is awarded every two years to Europeans who have excelled in promoting this criminal plan. Among those awarded with such a prize are Franco Komarica, Catholic Bishop of Bosnia [2000], Silivius Magnago, Former Governor of South Tyrol [2002], Ibrahim Rugova, President of Kosovo [2004], Vaira Vike-Freiberga, President of Latvia [2006], Bartoszewski, State Secretary of Poland [2008] Angela Merkel [2010] and Herman Van Rompuy [2012].

The incitement to genocide, is also the basis of the constant appeals of the United Nations, that demands we accept millions of immigrants to help with the low birth rates of the EU. According to a report published on January 2000 in 'Population Division' Review of the United Nations in New York, under the title

'Immigration replacement: A solution to declining and aging population', Europe will need by 2025 159,000,000 migrants.

One could wonder how there can be such accuracy on the estimates of immigration, although it was not a premeditated plan. It is certain that the low birth rate could easily be reversed with appropriate measures to support families. It is just as clear that the contribution of foreign genes do not protect our genetic heritage, but that it enables their disappearance. The sole purpose of these measures is to completely distort our people, to turn them into a group of people without national, historical and cultural cohesion. In short, the policies of the Kalergi plan was and still is, the basis of official government policies aimed at genocide of the Peoples of Europe, through mass immigration. G. Brock Chisholm, former director of the World Health Organization (OMS), proves that he has learned the lesson of Kalergi well when he says: "What people in all places have to do is to limit birthrates and promote mixed marriages (between different races), this aims to create a single race in a world which will be directed by a central authority. "

Conclusions

If we look around us, the Kalergi plan seems to be fully realized. We face Europe's fusion with the Third World. The plague of interracial marriage produces each year thousands of young people of mixed race: 'The children of Kalergi'. Under the dual pressures of misinformation and humanitarian stupefaction, promoted by the Main Stream Media, the Europeans are being taught to renounce their origin, to renounce their national identity.

The servants of globalization are trying to convince us that to deny our identity, is a progressive and humanitarian act, that 'racism' is wrong because they want us all to be blind consumers. It is necessary, now more than ever, to counter the lies of the System, to awaken the revolutionary spirit of the Europeans. Every one must see this truth, that European Integration amounts to genocide. We have no other option, the alternative is national suicide.#

The Translator asked in his end note: How a European aristocrat with Flemish, Polish, Greek-Byzantine roots and even with some samurai blood in his veins (from his mother) could hold such beliefs?

Perhaps he should have read [Balder blog](#) which states: Coudenhove-Kalergi, credits the Jews with most of the European civilizations philosophical and humanistic attainments, and literally states that: 'Europe has been conquered religiously by Jews'.

Europeans are described as **slave people** who damaged '**the spiritual master race**', always jealous of the Jews, therefore eternally seeking to exterminate them.

The fanatical campaigning and legislating of the European Union against real or perceived anti-Semitism, racism, islamophobia, xenophobia, homophobia etc. becomes even more questionable when one realizes that the strategies from the EU are nothing but a different kind of racism, inspired by the philosemitic racist and supremacist philosopher Coudenhove-Kalergi, who seems to have the destruction of the European race, European nations, culture and identity as a prime objective.

EUROPEAN COUNCIL

The Coudenhove-Kalergi Prize goes to President Van Rompuy



On November 16th 2012, the President of the European Council, Herman Van Rompuy, was awarded the Coudenhove-Kalergi Prize, during a special conference in Vienna, to celebrate the 90 years of the pan-European movement. The prize is awarded every two years to leading personalities for their outstanding contribution to the process of European integration.

A decisive factor that helped him win the prize was the balanced way in which President Van Rompuy executed his duties in the new position of President of the European Council, which was established by the Treaty of Lisbon. He handled this particularly sensitive leading and coordinating role with a spirit of determination and reconciliation, while emphasis was also given to his skilful arbitration on European affairs and unflinching commitment to European moral values.

During his speech, Mr Van Rompuy described the unification of Europe as a peace project. This idea, which was also the objective of the work of Coudenhove-Kalergi, after 90 years is still important. The award bears the name of Count Richard Nicolaus von Coudenhove-Kalergi (1894-1972), philosopher, diplomat, publisher and founder of the Pan-European Movement (1923). Coudenhove-Kalergi was the pioneer of European integration and popularized the idea of a federal Europe with his work.

Among the winners of the award, the Federal Chancellor of Germany Angela Merkel (2010) and the President of Latvia Vaira Vike-Freiberga (2006), are included.

Coudenhove-Kalergi was the first recipient of the [Charlemagne Prize](#) in 1950. The 1972–1973 academic year at the [College of Europe](#) was named in his honour. Coudenhove-Kalergi also successfully proposed Beethoven's [Ode to Joy](#) as the music for the [European Anthem](#).

By Greek Blogger [blueEyesWhiteSlav](#) & originally posted on the Italian website [Identità](#).



Julius Malema's 'political party' slogan displayed at Marikana Oct 13 2013 rally: 'Honeymoon is over for White People in South Africa'. He reportedly also sang the prohibited song *Kill the Boer, Kill the Farmer*, which is banned with two SA court-orders "or inciting murder against the Afrikaner minority"

The Truth about South African Icon at odds with Public Portrayal

Pete Papaherakles

As South Africa's 95-year-old Nelson Mandela lies in the hospital, the worldwide media portrays him as a larger-than-life heroic figure and the liberator of his people. But is that truth or fiction? And how will honest historians judge him?

The official story goes something like this: Nelson Rolihlahla Mandela was born in 1918 into the Thembu tribe's royal family. He studied law at two prestigious universities and became involved in "anti-colonial politics," joining the African National Congress (ANC). He was committed to non-violent protest in gaining sovereignty for blacks. In 1962 he was arrested and convicted of sabotage and conspiracy to overthrow the government and was sentenced to life in prison.

An international campaign lobbied for his release, which was granted in 1990, and he was hailed as martyr of white racism by the international media. This popularity propelled him to be elected president of South Africa in 1994, where he continued with his struggle to "end ethnic tensions and bring about racial equality." Over the years, Mandela has received over 250 awards, including the 1993 Nobel Peace Prize, the U.S. Presidential Medal of Freedom and the Soviet Order of Lenin.

That's the official story. His critics, however, have a different opinion.

They point to the fact that Mandela was not

imprisoned for opposing apartheid, or segregation, in Africa, but for being a communist terrorist murderer-bomber in service to the Soviet Union.

The ANC's guerrilla force, known as uMkhonto we Sizwe—MK, or "Spear of the Nation"—was founded in 1961 by Mandela and his advisor, the Lithuanian-born communist Jew Joe Slovo, born Yossel Mashel Slovo, who was officially named secretary general of the South African Communist Party in 1986.

Slovo had been the planner of many of the ANC terrorist attacks, as detailed in the book *Victory or Violence: The Story of the AWB of South Africa*, including the January 8, 1982 attack on the Koeberg nuclear power plant near Cape Town, the Church Street bombing on May 20, 1983, which killed 19 people, and the June 14, 1986 car-bombing of Magoo's Bar in Durban, in which three people were killed and 73 injured.

In 1962, Mandela was arrested along with 19 others, half of whom were White communist Jews, in a police raid of ANC headquarters at a farm owned by Andrew Goldreich, also a communist Jew, at Rivonia, a Johannesburg suburb.



In the [Rivonia Trial](#), which took place between 1963 and 1964, the defendants were tried for 221 acts of sabotage designed to overthrow the government and conspiring to aid foreign military units, when they invaded SA to further the objects of communism.

The prosecutor, Percy Yutar said at the trial that “production requirements for munitions were sufficient to blow up a city the size of Johannesburg.” “Escaping the death sentence, Mandela was given life in prison. By 1990, the communists behind Mandela had gained enough power to force his release. Apartheid was abolished in 1992 and the ANC was put into power in 1994 with Mandela as president. Slovo became his secretary of housing.

Shortly thereafter, Mandela and Slovo, along with a group of ANC leaders, were filmed chanting a pledge to kill all whites in South Africa.

Current South African President Jacob Zuma, also of the ANC, was also filmed as late as January 2012 singing a song called “Kill the Boer” in front of a crowd of thousands of blacks while they cheered and danced. The song advocates the murder of the descendents of the original white settlers of South Africa, with lyrics encouraging blacks to gun down the farmers with machine guns.

Mandela’s ex-wife Winnie, also a longtime ANC activist, prefers a method called “necklacing,” where a gasoline-filled tire is placed around the neck of a victim and set ablaze. “With our boxes of matches and

our necklaces we shall liberate this country,” she is infamous for saying.

(Mandela was in solitary confinement at the time of the necklacing torture-murders. An estimated 3,000 victims died by necklacing.)

Since 1994, 68,000 whites have been brutally tortured and murdered by blacks in South Africa, in ways too gruesome to describe, including almost 4,000 Boers whose farms were confiscated by savage murderers, a combined area of over 25,000 square miles.

Contrary to popular belief, the vast majority of blacks in South Africa aren’t natives, but came by the millions from neighboring countries only after the white Boers created a country with a thriving economy, education opportunities and medical benefits.

Under white rule, blacks in South Africa enjoyed better living conditions than any other African country, where blacks kill each other in tribal warfare.

In 1994, the same year Mandela took power, the Hutu tribe killed 800,000 Tutsis in Rwanda. Similar tribal genocides have taken place in Congo, Somalia, Ethiopia, Chad, Mali, Zimbabwe, Angola and many more African countries. Tribal savagery and genocide has always been a way of life for Africans.

Since Mandela took over, South Africa has become a Third World country. It went from being the safest country in Africa, to being the rape and murder capital of the world. In Johannesburg, 5,000 people are murdered every year. Unemployment went from 5% in 1994 to 50% today.

South Africa also has the largest number of people infected with HIV/AIDS in the world. In 2007, over 18% of adults, or 5,700,000 people had AIDS. In 2010, an estimated 280,000 died of AIDS.

Looking beyond the media myth of a “demigod Mandela” as he faces his twilight, one can only say, “good riddance.”

[Written a short time before Mandela's death - Editor]

Pete Papaherakles is a writer and political cartoonist for AFP and is also AFP's outreach director.



Mandela The Marxist Communist Dies Peacefully But Not These South African Whites

Whites Brutally Murdered in South Africa – in 2013 only

John Commins (71) Cape Town – Stabbed to death. • Jannie du Plessis (58) Villiers – Stabbed and tortured. • Rudolf van Heerden (79) Belfast – Beaten and suffocated. • Elna van Heerden (76) Belfast – Beaten and suffocated. • Ernst van Rooyen (77) Parys – Beaten to death. • Annatjie van Rooyen (75) Parys – Beaten and suffocated. • Pieter Kellerman (85) Johannesburg – Beaten to death. • Desmond Botha (59) Muldersdrift – Shot dead. • Andries Becker (29) Muldersdrift – Shot dead. • Eddie Fouche (30) Kemptonpark – Shot dead. • Shaun Lipshitz (30) Johannesburg – Shot dead. • Gordon Bazzard (75) Bloemfontein – Stabbed to death. • Manfred Schmidt (42) Kemptonpark – Shot dead. • Matty Smith, Gordons Bay • Chad Harris, Estcourt – Shot dead. • Fiona Finnis (57) Centurion – Shot dead. • Lorraine Shepheard (76) Port Elizabeth – Stabbed to death. • Hettie Phillips (55) Cradock – Gang-raped and tortured to death. February 2013: (12) • Dawnsy Mieny (47) Cape Town – Pushed down a quarry. • Robbie Malan (39) Port Elizabeth – Shot dead. • Carmen van der Westhuizen (38) Welkom – Raped and tortured. • Gordon Adam (70) Bethuli – Hacked to death. • Lorraine Adam (70) Bethuli – Hacked to death. • Derek Cornelius (75) Roodepoort – Stabbed to death. • Zarco Bulajic (58) Brits – Skull crushed and shot dead. • Carel Ferreira (25) Brakpan – Shot dead. • Laurens de Wet (20) Bloemfontein – Shot dead. • David Barclay (66) Wolmaransstad – Shot dead. • Bertus Coetzee (42) Vanderbijlpark – Shot dead. • Nick Basson (67) Vereeniging – Beaten to death. March 2013: (13) • Waldemar Strauss (42) Danabaai – Shot dead. • Gert van Wyk (52) Kemptonpark – Shot dead. • Sarel Pretorius(43) Glenharvie – Shot dead. • Peet Du Plooy (42) Johannesburg – Stabbed and tortured. • Suzie Willemse (84) Port Elizabeth – Strangled and stabbed. • Johan Stighlingh (65) Ventersdorp – Beaten and tortured. • Eric Labuschagne (51) Rustenburg – Bludgeoned and stabbed to death. • Marius Hayward (31) Durban • Nico Nel (27), Durban • Johan Kemp (37) Johannesburg – Shot dead. • Talbot Smith, Ruimsig – Shot dead. • Quintin Brits (23) Rustenburg – Shot dead. • Hannes Hattingh (53) Stilfontein – Shot dead. April 2013: (17) • Paul Shultze (56) Muldersdrift – Shot dead. • Anton Bidlingmaier (43) Strand – Shot dead. • Bob Heunis (60) Seaview – Shot dead. • Francois Potgieter (59) Boschkop – Shot dead. • Robin Bothma (67) Uvongo – Shot dead. • Karel Pretorius (78) Pinetown – Stabbed and bludgeoned to death. • Jannie Dreyer (62) Paarl – Stabbed to death. • Louis Eksteen (45) Pretoria – Bludgeoned to death. • Walkie Walkinshaw (65), Belfast – Shot dead. • Jacques Oosthuizen (35), Waterfall – Stabbed to death. • Rodney Bradley (35) Hillcrest – Shot dead. • Hendrik Potgieter (78) Pinetown – Tied to a chair and stabbed to death. • Adriaan Stander (33) Bethal – Beaten to death. • Jacques du Plessis (29) Alberton – Beaten to death. • Carl Mischke (63) Norwood – Stabbed and tortured. • Shirley Soffiantini (69) Umlhanga – Raped and tortured. • Nadine Prinsloo (20) Centurion – Shot dead. May 2013: (22) • Babs Roos (80), Klerksdorp – Raped and stabbed to death. • Peter Hackland (61), Ixopo – Stabbed and shot dead. • Daan Rousseau (72), Wellington – Beaten and suffocated • Erka Rousseau (72), Wellington – Beaten and suffocated. • Kenny Linmeyer (75), Johannesburg – Beaten and set alight. • Rui Moutinho (37), Nigel – Beaten and tortured. • Fred van den Bergh (58), Pretoria – Shot dead. • William Sharman (43), New Germany – Shot dead. • Francois le Grange (51), Pretoria – Bludgeoned to death. • Kobus Larrem (42) Springs – Shot dead. • Jenny Lachenicht (57), Kemptonpark – Beaten and tortured. • Morne Nel (32), Muldersdrift – Shot dead. • Annebe Lategan (33), Reigerpark – Raped, tortured, stabbed to death. • Dave Maratos (65), Greytown – Stabbed to death. • Traudel Kretschmer (67), Piketberg – Bludgeoned to death. • Johan Janse van Rensburg (62) Rustenburg – Shot dead. • Dennis Kleynhans (65) – Shot dead. • Carmen Mathe (37), Willowvale – Hacked to death. • Jeandre van Rensburg (20), Springs – Stabbed to death. • Freek van Niekerk (26), Wolsely – Shot dead. • Johan Raaths (29), Carletonville – Shot dead. • Dave Shaw (58), Johannesburg – Shot dead. June 2013: (14) • Dudley Buss (67), Queenstown – Stabbed, tortured and suffocated. • Michael Zafiris (42), Springs – Stabbed to death. • Melinda Lee Bam (30), Gravelotte – Shot dead. • Michael Quin (52), Hole-in-the-Wall – Stabbed to death. • Louis Traut (80), Hofmeyr – Stabbed to death. • Herman van der Schyff (34), Olifantshoek – Stabbed to death. • Lindie Nel (29) Pretoria – Bludgeoned to death. • Eugene Preston (70), East London – Beaten to death. • Jeffrey Wiggel (59), Johannesburg – Shot dead. • Barend Nel (19), Sasolburg – Stabbed to death. • John Hatfield (42), Amanzimtoti – Stabbed to death. • Roelof du Plessis (51), Bronkhorstspuit – Shot dead. • Frank du Plessis (62), Cookhouse – Stabbed to death. • Willem vd Westhuizen (22), Potchefstroom – Stabbed to death. July 2013:

(14) • Dirk Koekemoer (72), Springs – Stabbed and tortured. • Brian Shalkof (65), Johannesburg – Shot dead. • Andrew Brown (42), Port Elizabeth – Shot dead. • Clyde Byrns (26), Port Elizabeth – Stabbed to death. • Isabel Hugo (67), Clocolan – Stoned to death. • Onika Bakkes (29), Saldanah – Stabbed to death. • Lizelle le Roux (42), Molteno – Stabbed to death. • Robert Bader (62), Cape Town – Shot dead. • Bossie Barnard (39), Rustenburg – Shot dead. • Judith Muller (84), Vanderbijlpark – Stabbed and strangled. • Neil Muller (43) Krugersdorp – Shot dead. • Nicky Klopper (56), Alberton – Shot dead. • Claudi van der Merwe (33), Hendrina – Stabbed, raped, throat slit. • Louis van Wyk (77), Nigel – Tortured and beaten to death. August 2013: (25) • Craig Botha (37), Pietermaritzburg – Shot dead. • Gerrit Myburgh (79), Heidelberg – Tortured, burnt and stabbed to death. • Attie Cronje (47), Germiston – Shot dead. • Janetta van der Walt (60), Margate – Raped and tortured. • Hennie Bentley (73), Vanderbijlpark – Bludgeoned and shot dead. • Brian van Blerk (42), East London – Bludgeoned to death. • Schalk Burger (95), Kakemas – Beaten to death. • Timothy Green (44), Newcastle – Shot dead. • Vivien Ponte (47), Oranjeville – Raped, tortured and set alight. • Michael John Poval (81), Bulwer – Tortured and beaten to death. • Johnny Meyer (46), Randfontein – Shot dead. • Mr. Thompson (69), Rooodepoort – Stabbed to death. • Wilhelm Pretorius (36), Port Elizabeth – Stabbed to death. • Johan Botha (51), Port Elizabeth – Shot dead. • Willem Swanepoel (59), Witbank – Stabbed to death. • Jochen Rädell (59), Stellenbosch – Bludgeoned to death. • Pikkie Stassen (72), Kempton Park – Stabbed to death. • Rina Stassen (69), Kemptonpark – Stabbed and strangled. • Bill Daly (81), Morningside – Stabbed to death. • Kulu Clark (92), Robertson – Bludgeoned to death. • Craig Bailey (32), Randfontein – Stabbed to death. • Jannie Hills (41), Hanover – Stabbed to death. • Miems Robberts (62), Mooinooi – Tortured to death. • Johan Harding (51), Utrecht – Stabbed to death. • Garth Johnson (67), Waterval Onder – Shot dead. Septebmer 2013: (17) • Gert Trucker (52), Hanover – Stabbed to death. • Eddy Reid (68), Erasmia – Shot dead. • Sollie Botha (72), Zeerust – Shot dead. • Elisabeth de Bruyn (71), Brandfort – Stabbed and strangled. • Noreen Bryant (84), Hermanus – Beaten to death. • Doris Labuschagne (72), Duncanville – Beaten to death. • Sollie Botha (71), Zeerust – Shot dead. • Louisa Francis (68), Middelburg, EC – Beaten and tortured to death. • David Shacklock (69), Oviston – Tortured to death. • Danie Geldenhuys (82), Kraaifontein – Bludgeoned and strangled. • Hannes de Wet (52), Fochville – Stabbed to death. • Hans Jonker (42), Boksburg – Stabbed to death. • Leanne Douglas (43), Port Shepstone – Murdered by black police officers. • Boetman Swart, Swellendam – Stabbed to death. • Johan Gouws (23), Pretoria – Stabbed to death. • Mick Hampson (69), Eston – Shot dead. • Rocco Swanepoel (39), Despatch – Stabbed to death. October 2013: • Paul Madison (63) Sabie – Shot dead. • Daan Wybenga (69) Clarens – Tortured to death. • Michael Foltin (61) Groblersdal – Shot dead. • Heidi Foltin (56) Groblersdal – Shot dead. • Deon Bezuidenhout (68) Pretoria – Stabbed to death. • Hennie van der Schyff (72) Klerksdorp – Bludgeoned to death. • Eugene van der Merwe (61) Ixopo – Beaten to death. • Maria Erasmus (52) Durban – Raped, tortured and stabbed to death. • Frans van der Schyff (75) Klerksdorp – Bludgeoned to death. • Gerhard de Beer (82) Witrivier – Bludgeoned to death. • Elize Douglas (52) Carletonville – Shot dead. • Dawid de Villiers (83) Barkley-East – Stabbed and throat slit. • Ralie de Villiers (81) Barkley-East – Stabbed and throat slit. • Susan Olivier (60) Bloemfontein – Stabbed and throat slit • Peter Rassloff (72) Kommetjie – Shot dead. • Dan Knight (56) Underberg – Bludgeoned to death. • Judy Washington (67) Kei Mouth – Throat slit. • Kevin Launspach (38) Durban – Shot dead. • Willem Weites (79) Vryheid – Shot dead.

From RAS **THIS IS JUST A PARTIAL LISTING UP TO A COUPLE OF MONTHS AGO!**





The Jew uses Aryan Beauty as a Weapon against us.

Clement Pulaski

Clement writes for [the Daily Stormer](#).

The Jewish subversion of Western civilization was most visible in the cultural revolutions of the 1960s, but the process was already well under way in the 1930s. From the very inception of the motion picture industry in the early 1900s, Jews have dominated the medium, and early on they used this dominance to promote immorality. The 1933 film *Flying Down to Rio* (produced by RKO Pictures, a movie studio founded by Jew David Sarnoff) is a shocking example of this. The film features the song *Music Makes Me*, with lyrics written by Jew Gus Kahn and Edward Eliscu (I have been unable to verify Eliscu's ethnic background, but given that he was born in New York, was involved in the entertainment industry, and his name appears to be of Eastern European origin, it is quite likely that he was a Jew). Kahn is perhaps best known for his sexually suggestive hit *Makin' Whoopee* which was first popularized by Jewish entertainer Eddie Cantor. In this performance of the song *Music Makes Me*, we see Ginger Rogers, a beautiful Aryan woman, singing the Jew's twisted words and gyrating to Negro jazz music while dressed in a revealing outfit.

Our contemporary culture mocks the notion that jazz or rock music is inherently sexual or causes people to act in an unchaste manner, but in this song there is a celebration of modern music's power to do just that. Rogers gleefully sings that "music makes me do the things I never should do", and that "my self control was something to brag about, now it's a gag about town". While Rogers never says exactly what "the things I never should do" are, when singing this line she runs her hands over her swaying hips, and also mentions that "the things I do are never forgiven", showing that her transgressions are certainly sexual. Here we see the Jewish vice-peddlers celebrating the destructiveness of their degenerate art, using the beauty of the Aryan woman to draw more and more victims into their prison of immorality. People today often think of entertainment from the 1930s as being quaint or innocent,

but this song is every bit as corrosive to moral standards as the vilest examples of Negro hip-hop. (To any nationalists who do think of the above video as quaint and innocent, I hope that you are able to realize that you have yet to completely purge your soul of Jewish poison).

America in the 1930s was not the only nation suffering under a degenerate entertainment industry controlled by Jews. In fact, we see a very similar situation in Weimar era Germany.

The two nations differed greatly, however, when we look at how they tried to deal with this Jewish filth. Christians in the United States instituted the Motion Picture Production Code which regulated what type of material was acceptable onscreen. The code had some success, but it ultimately failed because it merely attempted to control the Jews who ran Hollywood rather than removing them from the industry altogether. The Jews were allowed to maintain their dominance in Hollywood, and consistently found ways to violate the spirit of the production code and continued to produce films that undermined American morality and controlled public opinion.

Germany, on the other hand, decided to completely remove Jews from the entertainment industry, and was thereby able to promote public virtue and regain her destiny. When World War II broke out in Europe, it was inevitable that American opinion on the conflict would be governed by those who controlled the media, and rather than joining with Hitler in a crusade to destroy Jewish Marxism once and for all, we were driven into a war to make Europe safe for Stalin's Soviet Empire. Our fate was sealed when white Christians let down their guard and allowed the Jews to gain control of the news and entertainment industries.

Only by dealing with the root of the problem as Hitler did can we have any success in winning back our civilization.



Holocaust Revisionism in One Easy Lesson

John Bryant, Birdman December 2006

Please note the date this was written; three years before his early death in 2009.

Eisenhower's Crusade in Europe is a book of 559 pages; the six volumes of Churchill's Second World War total 4,448 pages; and de Gaulle's three-volume Memoires de Guerre is 2,054 pages. In this mass of writing, which altogether totals 7,061 pages (not including the introductory parts), published from 1948 to 1959, one will find no mention either of Nazi "gas chambers," a "genocide" of the Jews, or of "six million" Jewish victims of the war. -- Richard Lynn, Professor Emeritus, University of Ulster - <http://www.rlynn.co.uk>

The term 'historical revisionism' was first used to apply to the work of historian Harry Elmer Barnes and his associates, whose earliest historical work was motivated by the belief that the generally-accepted versions of events of the First World War not only harbored serious errors, but were heavily influenced by the biases of the institutions which underwrote the "Court Historians" responsible for these versions. Barnes, however, noted that historical revisionism -- "The effort to correct the historical record in the light of a more complete collection of historical facts, a more calm political atmosphere, and a more objective attitude" in his words (Barnes Review Oct 94: 3) -- was itself an activity with a very long history, going back at least as far as the exposure of the forgery of the 'Donation of Constantine' by Lorenzo Valla (1407-57AD).

The subject which has attracted the most attention in

historical revisionism, both among scholars who contribute to the revisionist literature, and those who are interested in the results of revisionist work, is Holocaust revisionism, that is, the examination of the supposed genociding of Jews in the Third Reich. The generally-accepted version of this event -- or, more properly, this NON-event -- is what I call the Orthodox Jewish Version of the Holocaust, or OJV for short, which holds in its present version that the nazis killed 'six million' Jews in 'gas chambers'. As it happens, however, there are numerous problems with the OJV. The following is a list of the major ones.

The 'evidence' for the OJV consists primarily of the records of the court proceedings of the Nuremberg trials. As it happens, however, vengeful Jews were largely in charge of these trials. (According to Louis Marshalko in his book *The World Conquerors*, of the 3000 persons on the trial staff, 2400 were Jews.) Adding to the problem was the fact that (1) there was no historical precedent for war crimes trials in which only the vanquished were alled to account for their actions; and (2) these trials violated the fundamental principle of fairness that no one is to be tried for violating a law that was instituted 'ex post facto', ie, after the crime was committed.

'Confessions' used in the trial were highly dubious, since many were extracted by torture or other unethical means, such as threatening the families of the accused (According to British scholar Vivian Bird, more than one hundred German defendants had their testicles beaten to a pulp by 'interrogators'.) Two confessions were particularly egregious: That of

Rudolf Hoss, commandant of Auschwitz, which was (among other things) written in a language he did not even understand, and which provided the major basis for the 'six million' figure; and that of Kurt Gerstein, the dubiousness of which was the subject of a French doctoral dissertation.

Many of the atrocities that were seriously alleged at the trials are now rejected even by establishment historians as false, the most prominent of which are the stories that Jewish bodies were made into soap and their skin was made into lampshades. Evidently such stories were created as war propaganda, just as were similar stories in WW1 about 'Huns' who were 'bayonetting babies'.

The defendants in the trials had no opportunity to gather evidence in their defense, and in addition were often given poor food, subjected to freezing weather without proper clothing, deprived of sleep, and -- as stated earlier -- often brutally beaten. Furthermore, those who were condemned to death had their sentences postponed until they could be carried out on the Jewish High Holy Days in a sort of 'blood libel' celebration.

The printed trial transcripts often do not match the trial recordings, and were evidently deliberately changed to cover up embarrassing facts brought out by defendants in their trials.

Auschwitz was not a 'death camp', as alleged at the trials, but a large industrial complex in Poland, and the inmates were forced laborers. The nazis were desperate for labor, so it would have been irrational for them to have 'gassed' anyone, and equally irrational for them to have mistreated inmates or underfed them. In fact, there was a special court, under SS Judge Konrad Morgen, to try complaints against camp personnel for abusing inmates. Beyond this, Heinrich Himmler, who held principal authority over the camps, sent a memo to all camp commandants stating that inmate deaths must be reduced 'at all costs' -- hardly something one would expect to find in a 'death camp'. And while it was alleged at the trials that 4 million Jews were 'gassed' at Auschwitz, the German camp records were not admitted into evidence, and would probably have vindicated many of the defendants if they had been. In particular, the Auschwitz death books, which were

released by the Russian government about a decade ago, show that only about 74,000 people died at Auschwitz in all the years of its operation, most from typhus, with only about 30,000 of them being Jews. Furthermore, the crematoria were intended not for the 'killing of Jews', but rather for the sanitary disposal of the bodies of those who died from typhus.



Theatre at Auschwitz

While there were Allied spies in most camps reporting on camp conditions by radio, none of these spies ever made a report about mass killings or 'gas chambers'. The idea of 'gas chambers' evidently arose from the fact that all the clothes of arriving inmates were disinfected in a kind of gas chamber in which Zyklon B was used to kill lice which were feared as disease vectors (Lousy Jews?). These delousing chambers, it should be noted, were far too small for killing people, particularly in the numbers posited by the OJV. It should also be noted that Zyklon B, the form of cyanide supposedly used to kill Jews, was in fact a special form of slow-release cyanide which was appropriate for de-lousing clothing, but inappropriate for the instantaneous killing that was supposedly done in the "gas chambers". (The irony of Germans being accused of killing Jews by an instrument which they (Germans) used for preserving Jewish lives should not go unnoticed.) In addition, as revisionists have noted, such killings would have been impossible on the scale claimed by the OJV because cyanide is so dangerous that the bodies would have had to lie for hours before they could be safely removed, even by those wearing protective clothing and gas masks. Beyond this, cyanide gas is explosive, so that any little spark, as from the friction of shoes on the floor, or any flame, as from a cigaret, would have caused any 'gas chamber'

to be transported to the place where it was supposedly sending Jews.

Revisionists have proved that the rooms alleged to be 'gas chambers' could not possibly have served this purpose. The first investigation of this problem was done not for the Nuremberg trials, but rather many years later by Fred Leuchter, an American engineer and execution expert, who took samples from the walls of supposed 'gas chambers' at several camps and found that there was essentially no cyanide residue -- an impossibility if the rooms had been used as alleged. (Altho Leuchter's work was flawed, his conclusions have been confirmed independently by two other experts, Walter Luftl and Germar Rudolf.)

Other problems posed for the OJV by the alleged 'gas chambers' involve such things as no air circulatory system for dispersing or ventilating the gas, no means for heating the Zyklon B discs for proper dispersal, the fact that the doors of the 'gas chambers' opened from the INSIDE, and that Allied aerial photographs of Auschwitz during the war showed no holes in the roof of the supposed 'gas chambers' which would have allowed the introduction of Zyklon B -- a point made by Holocaust revisionists in their oft-repeated challenge, "No holes; no Holocaust!"

There is no good evidence that nazi references to the 'final solution to the Jewish question' referred to anything other than removal of Jews from the area of the Third Reich, the (false) allegations about the Wansee Conference notwithstanding. In particular, no 'Hitler order' (or order from anyone else) has ever been discovered, in spite of the known German propensity for extensive record-keeping, altho there is an internal memo of a phone conversation with Hitler signed by Hans Lemmerer of the Ministry of the Interior showing that Hitler wanted the solution of the Jewish problem SHELVED until the end of the war. Beyond this, the nazis actually cooperated with the Zionists under the so-called Transfer Agreement ('Ha'avara') to train Jews for settlement in Palestine, and the training camps for Zionists were the only places in nazi Germany in which the flag of the Zionist state was allowed to fly.

Jewish population numbers published in standard reference works both before and after the war do not show a decrease of Jewish numbers, but rather an

INCREASE. These reference works also demonstrate that THERE WERE NOT EVEN SIX MILLION JEWS IN NAZI- OCCUPIED EUROPE DURING THE PERIOD.

The 'six million' is a mystical number derived from Jewish scripture, and in particular is the number of Jews who are said to be required to die before Israel can be re-established.

This accounts for why New York governor Martin Glynn, in a major Albany speech in October 1919 [that's TWENTY YEARS BEFORE THE START OF WORLD WAR TWO, for all you who are a tad weak on dates], reported at length on the 'holocaust [of] six million Jewish men and women' who were dying due to the 'awful tyranny of war and a bigoted lust for Jewish blood' during the 'Great War'" (Irena Zdiarska, "Holocaust Is Undeniable -- But Should Be Debated", Barnes Review Oct 94: 27)). It also accounts for the fact that, in spite of the formal reduction from 4 to 1.1 million of the number of Jews claimed to have been killed at Auschwitz (see pix of Auschwitz plaques below), the 'six million' number has never changed, and thus that in the Orwellian Kabbalistic mathematics on which it is based, six minus three still equals six.

The OJV has changed significantly over the years. We have already mentioned that the 'soap' and 'lampshade' allegations are now rejected by even establishment historians, altho this does not keep Jews from continuing to hold burial ceremonies for newly-discovered bars of old Reich soap (we don't know whether they have also done the same for lampshades.) Another feature of the original OJV that has now changed was the allegation that Jews were exterminated at the camps in Germany as well as Poland -- an allegation which has been abandoned for some time. Yet another abandoned allegation is that mass killings were carried out by means of steam, electricity, gas vans (using the exhaust), and burning in pits; and in fact, the Holocaust received its name from the latter allegation -- yet another irony of this congeries of lies.

The one thing which has done most to convince people that the allegations of German atrocities are true is the film clips we have all seen of the liberation of the concentration camps, in which bodies are

shown piled high, and surviving inmates are seen to be little more than walking skeletons. But in fact these admittedly-shocking films do not make a case for German atrocities, and in fact actually refute the notion of 'gas chambers': If Germans were gassing Jews by the millions, as the OJV alleges, then Jews simply would not be around long enough to starve, as the 'walking skeletons' and emaciated bodies of the dead obviously were doing. The starvation, it should be noted, was simply a reflection of the fact that, toward the end of the war, the German supply lines had broken down, and food was not getting to the camps. And above all, one should not think that there is anything unique to Germany about 'walking skeletons' in 'concentration camps': Exactly the same thing happened at the Andersonville prison during the American Civil War, and the photo at the left is a picture of one of those inmates.

If there is any one thing which is a clinching argument to the matter of the OJV, it is the fact that it is illegal to openly express doubt about this story in most countries of the Western world, including Germany (of course!), Israel (of course!), Austria (of course!), Spain, France, Australia, and Canada; and in those few countries in which it is not illegal, the laws forbidding 'race hate' are increasingly being interpreted as forbidding such expression. The point here is that truth does not require the support of legislation -- only falsehood does. And of course it does not take a rocket scientist to figure who is behind this illegalization; nor does it take a rocket scientist to figure why cases of 'Holocaust denial' are so vigorously prosecuted: Jews, and particularly Israel, have profited in numerous ways and by billions of dollars in playing this scam, including an unending number of Holocaust movies (more than 400 at last count, according to scholar Michael Hoffman), Holocaust museums (popping up everywhere), Holocaust books (Elie 'The Weasel' Wiesel has written more than 30; The Diary of Anne Frank is a perennial best-seller, etc, etc, etc), TV dramas (the airing of 'Holocaust' in 1970 is when the scam really took off), 'survivors' by the millions -- all pensioned by the German government, shakedown of companies which supposedly profited from 'slave labor' or were otherwise tinged by Third-Reich-related activities (eg, IBM, Swiss banks), and of course the billions in 'reparations', 'foreign aid' and other 'guilt money' showered on Israel by Germany and the US. It has gotten so bad that Jewish Professor Norman

Finkelstein calls it 'The Holocaust Racket' in his book by the same name, where he quotes his mother as asking, "If Hitler killed so many Jews, then where did all the 'survivors' come from?" No need to explain, then, why there is a saying among Jews that "There's no business like Shoah (Holocaust) business."

The only facts that come within even a country mile of supporting the contention of nazi extermination of Jews are reports of the shootings on the Eastern front of communist partisans, many of whom were Jews. The following is what Lawrence Nevers has had to say on the subject:

"The notion that the Germans were 'exterminating' the Jews in Russia rests on two sources. The first is British intercepts of captured German anti-partisan radio decrypts claiming huge numbers of Jews executed during Operation Barbarossa. The second are the Einsatzgruppen reports of executed partisans sent back to Berlin. Before considering these two sources it is necessary to realize, as Walter Sunning has demonstrated, that between one-half and two-thirds of all the Jews in European Russia had been deported into the interior of the Soviet Union by the largely Jewish commissars ahead of the German advance. How could the Germans have killed the number of Jews alleged when most had already been removed? The conclusion must be that the intercepts are either forgeries or that the kill totals are interpolations. The English forged a great many claims of German atrocities during the First World War. Why would they not have done the same a second time? With respect to the Einsatzgruppen reports, the reports still extant are only the reports to Berlin. The field reports from the units to their commanders in Russia have conveniently disappeared. One suspects that the numbers in the field reports are considerably lower than the numbers claimed in the easily-doctored-after-the-war Berlin reports. The diaries of the German police chief Heinrich Himmler have been in Israeli hands since the war. What is there in those diaries which the Israelis do not want the rest of the world to see?" (Nevers, personal communication)

If anyone were guilty of 'war crimes' during WW2, it was the Allies. The RAF's General "Bomber" Harris' terror firebombing of Dresden, a city of no military importance, caused the deaths of some quarter-million civilians; and a similar effect was produced by Gen

Curtis LeMay's firebombing of Tokyo. The dropping of the two atomic bombs on Hiroshima and Nagasaki may also be mentioned, particularly in view of the almost desperate attempts of the Japanese government to surrender well before those events. And then there was the Allied treatment of Germans and their allies at the end of the war -- a curious replay of what happened at the end of WW1, but worse: Much of the story is recounted in James Bacque's books *Other Losses* and *Crimes and Mercies*; while another part of the story will be found in Jewish author John Sack's book *An Eye For an Eye*. And guess what: The death rate for Allied prisoners in German POW camps was lower than for the Allied civilian population as a whole!

But if, as the revisionists allege, the OJV is wrong in so many important respects, we should ask why this came about. The general answer, I think, is that, as Harry Elmer Barnes remarked, "Truth is the first



Dresden

casualty of war." This point is of special interest here because it was actually the Jews who were first to declare war on Germany (in the form of an economic boycott), which they did shortly after Hitler came to power in 1933, and which predated by some six years the beginning of military conflict. But if Jewish hatred of Hitler were a major factor in the lies of the OJV, there is yet another factor whose importance may be considerable, namely, that Jews were looking for a devil to take attention away from the atrocities committed by the regime of 'Jewish Bolsheviks' in Russia, particularly those of Stalin, now known to be a Jew (his family name, Dugashvili, means 'son of a Jew' in Georgian), who -- with his right-hand man the Jew Kaganovich -- deliberately starved millions to death, and sent other millions to the Gulag. In fact, as

Robert Conquest and other scholars have discovered, deaths under the communist regime in the Soviet Union totalled some 60 million, and in China some 80 million, both of which far outnumber anything Hitler was ever accused of. And yet we hear little or nothing about 'communist atrocities', in spite of being deluged on an almost-daily basis with Holocaust mythology.

As a final important point, it should be noted that a number of men have had to suffer considerably for daring to speak out about the Jewish 'Big Lie' of the Holocaust. (Jews accuse Hitler of using the Big Lie technique -- telling a lie so big that it is believed because no one could conceive of such a lie being told unless it were true -- but in reality this was a lie, for it was Hitler that accused the JEWS of using the Big Lie technique, which they have certainly done with the Holohoax, er, Holocaust.) Among the best-known of these are the following: Germar Rudolf, because of his revisionist *Rudolf Report* which concluded that gassings were 'irreconcilable with the laws of physical science', was denied his PhD and fired from his job at the prestigious Max Planck Institute, and was forced to leave Germany in order to avoid a 14-month prison sentence. [*Rudolph was sentenced to two and half years being released in 2009 -Editor*]

Fred Leuchter, the execution expert who did a forensic examination of the 'gas chambers' has been hounded unmercifully, and in particular was required to fight an artificial charge in Massachusetts of 'practicing engineering without a license'.

Ernst Zundel was charged with 'hate crimes' and 'reporting false news' in the Pimple Republik of Kanada for publishing revisionist writings, but, after protracted battles which twice went all the way to the Kanadian Supreme Court, won a stunning victory. Unfortunately, this victory has now been largely nullified, both from the legal standpoint which allows Kanadian 'Human Rights Commissions' staffed with easily-offended minorities to pass judgment on 'hate incidents' and which have formally declared that 'truth is no defense' against minority offense; and also from Zundel's personal standpoint, as he was hounded out of Canada by one of these tribunals, and then kidnapped in the US and -- after more than a year in solitary confinement in Kanada, was extradited to Germany where he is still a citizen and where he will

probably remain incarcerated for the remainder of his life.

Revisionist scholar and "Shoah Constrictor" Robert Faurisson, author of *Are the Diaries of Ann Frank Genuine?* (It turns out parts of the diary were written with a ball-point pen which was manufactured after 1945) was beaten almost to death by a bunch of Jewish thugs.

Henri Roques wrote his doctoral thesis debunking the 'Confessions' of Kurt Gerstein, a set of documents on which the OJV is significantly based; but altho the doctorate was awarded, it was later revoked because of pressure from the Uno Hooze.

The revisionist Institute for Historical Review was burned down on July 4, 1984 by an unknown group -- most probably the Mossad (the Israeli equivalent of the CIA).

But if the cases of the men whom we have mentioned above are tragic, it is at least as tragic that the organizations which are supposed to stand up for free speech have had a severe case of weak knees in the case of revisionism, and for that matter, in virtually every case where there is opposition to establishment

Jewish interests. These particularly include Amnesty International, which supposedly supports 'prisoners of conscience', but seems to think that those who engage in 'hate speech' (ie, anything the Self-Chosen do not like) do not qualify for support. Likewise, the premier organization supporting free speech on the Internet, the Electronic Frontier Foundation, will not touch 'hate speech' with a ten-foot pole. As a third example, the ACLU became infamous among its liberal clientele several years ago for supporting the free speech rights of the 'Skokie nazis', but since that time has not to my knowledge offered any help to the 'hate community'. But it is of course precisely the most unpopular speech that requires defense, and that is exactly what 'hate speech' is in the present day. And with these organizations in the lead, there is virtually no support at all for real free speech, except among those who dare to do it and be damned.

In conclusion, some might say that the Jews and their friends are trying to suppress revisionism because they think it is false; but my suggestion is that they are trying to suppress it because they know damn well it is true. <http://www.thebirdman.org>

From the reign of Nero to that of Antoninus Pius, the Jews discovered a fierce impatience of the dominion of Rome, which repeatedly broke out in the most furious massacres and insurrections. Humanity is shocked at the recital of the horrid cruelties which they committed in the cities of Egypt, of Cyprus, and of Cyrene, where they dwelt in treacherous friendship with the unsuspecting natives; and we are tempted to applaud the severe retaliation which was exercised by the arms of the legions against a race of fanatics whose dire and credulous superstition seemed to render them the implacable enemies not only of the Roman government, but of human kind.

Gibbon's Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire, Edward Gibbon, 1776



Lord Breville Janner of Braunstone Interviewed in Paedophile Enquiry

The *Daily Mail* and other sites are reporting correctly, that the London home of the Jewish Labour Lord Greville Janner, a £600,000 apartment, has been raided as part of their long overdue criminal investigation into historic sex abuse allegations, [Green Arrow](#) at British Resistance reports.

These allegations should **never** have been allowed to become "*historic*", as information pointing to Janner as a paedophile has been published and written about for decades by British Nationalists.

Of course these nationalist allegations were ignored by the Jewish owned press, because Janner is one of them who has helped defraud billions from the whites of the world.

Money is being handed over to so called Jewish "*victims*" of their fabricated holohoax that they use as a shield against all their crimes against humanity.

However, I suggest that the police do not confine their enquiries into just sexual abuse, because as this site reported back in January, 2011, the Jew who should never have been elevated to the peerage, should also be investigated for his possible implication in [the murder of a man called Frank Beck](#), who said:

At his trial, Beck stated that: "One child has been bugged and abused for two solid years by Greville

Janner".

As I said in 2011 and repeat now. Nothing was done because homosexuality, paedophilia and other perversions are the norm among the Jews, Zionists and global Marxists who rule over us and have control of the judiciary and the press.

If these old perverts like the former *President of the Board of British Jews* Janner and Saville are being exposed, then it is for a reason, and we should look around for some equally vile crimes being committed by those who would seek to steal the world.

[Carolyn Yeager](#) adds more information:

Francis Lawrence, founder of the Campaign for Decency, wrote this about Janner in 2005:

JANNER is a child molester well known to the police. An active file on his activities has been maintained at Scotland Yard since even before the eruption of the Leicester Children's Home scandal of 1991. JANNER used the device of a "Personal Statement" to deny all the accusations against him. Statements to the House of Commons of this kind, apart from being covered by Parliamentary privilege, are exempt from the usual interjections and questions from other MPs. After making his statement JANNER was invited by the

press to answer their questions outside the privileged confines of the House. He refused to do so, and refused to explain why.

Thus it may be seen that his subsequent claims to have been "cleared by Parliament of all accusations" is utterly untrue. JANNER ducked a genuine opportunity to clear his name by taking legal action against his former victim who, as a grown man, has re-iterated his evidence outside the protection of the witness box.

Instead of quitting public life, JANNER simply kept his head down for a while. Now, in the mistaken belief that the dust from the Frank Beck affair has settled, JANNER has had the impudence to take a leading role in the crusade to recover Holocaust Loot allegedly held in Swiss banks.

Signed:

*Frances Lawrence Campaign for Decency
Hon. Secretary: Andrew Hillier
London SW1E 6QP, England*

What the CHRIS [Children have Rights In Society] website tells us about Greville Janner is mind-blowing! Here is the story:

In 1991, Frank Beck accused Janner of pedophilic behavior with a teenager who was in his (Beck's) care as warden of the Leicester Children's Home. But it was Beck who was arrested and charged with the sexual and physical abuse of children in his care over a thirteen-year period. At his trial Beck stated that: "One child has been bugged and abused for two solid years by Greville Janner".

Beck was referring to Paul Winston, who was just thirteen years old when he and Janner first met. Though Winston was able to describe Janner's home, the hotel rooms they had shared, and Janner's habits and person in detail, the Director of Public Prosecutions, Alan Green, also a Jew, let it be known that "for lack of evidence," Janner would not be prosecuted. Ironically, Green himself was arrested for kerb-crawling [cruising red-light districts for solicitation of prostitutes] in Kings Cross a little while later. Furthermore, Green had come to the attention of the police previously for this same misdemeanour and was quietly given a formal warning. The scandal prompted his resignation from public office and the

suicide of his wife.

In court, Paul Winston, who was, at the time of Beck's trial, a married man with children, stood up for Beck, as did several other witnesses, paying credit to his achievements and behavior, and confirming his testimony against Janner. Winston testified he was invited to Janner's home near Golders Green as a 13-year old, whilst Janner's wife was away, and this led to his sharing Janner's bed ..." Thereafter Winston testified that, over the next two years, he was regularly sodomised by Janner.



Beck discovered what had been going on after Winston was put into his care, at which point he informed his superiors at Leicester Social Services. At one point, Janner visited the care home with a new bicycle for Paul but Beck denied him entry and would not allow the gift to be passed on. This was confirmed by another witness at the trial.

Even though Winston testified that Beck had counseled him over his relationship with the MP, and had brought the affair to an end, and had also had a beneficial effect on his life, Beck was nevertheless found guilty and sentenced to twenty-four years in prison, with five life sentences to run concurrently for his "crimes"!

What is going on seems to be "Jew power", the same kind of Jew power we saw at the Nuremberg Tribunals in 1946. Janner was never brought to court, nor was he ever called upon to testify. In 1994 at the age of only 52, after a game of badminton, according to prison officials, Frank Beck died suddenly of a 'heart attack' shortly before his appeal was due to begin. He was, by all accounts, a fit man at the time of his death. He never stopped protesting his innocence and Janner's guilt. Of Beck's two main solicitors, who believed in his innocence, one has since been killed in a road accident, and the other has been subjected to police harassment on a major scale.

Source – [Carolyn Yeager](#)



Icelanders Overthrow Government and Rewrite Constitution After Banking Fraud

No Word from Western Media

Rebecca Savastio

Can you imagine participating in a protest outside the White House and forcing the entire U.S. to government to resign? Can you imagine a group of randomly chosen private citizens rewriting the U.S. constitution to include measures banning corporate fraud? It seems incomprehensible in the U.S., but Icelanders did just that. Icelanders forced their entire government to resign after a banking fraud scandal, overthrowing the ruling party and creating a citizen's group tasked with writing a new constitution that offered a solution to prevent corporate greed from destroying the country. The constitution of Iceland was scrapped and is being rewritten by private citizens; using a crowd-sourcing technique via social media channels such as Facebook and Twitter. These events have been going on since 2008, yet there's been no word from the U.S. mainstream media about any of them. In fact, all of the events that unfolded were recorded by international journalists, overseas news bureaus, citizen journalists and bloggers. This has created current accusations of an intentional cover up of the story by mainstream U.S. news sources.

An "iReport" on CNN, written by a private citizen in May 2012, has questioned the reasons why this revolution has not been widely covered in the U.S., suggesting that perhaps the mainstream media is controlled by large corporate interests and thus has been unwilling to report on Iceland's activities. That report is currently making its way around social

media. CNN today placed a statement on its website saying: "We've noticed this iReport is being shared widely on Facebook and Twitter. Please note that this article was posted in May 2012. CNN has not yet verified the claims and we're working to track down the original writer." It is interesting to note that CNN's European version, CNN Europe, already covered the story of the protests and the government's resignation, leading many to question why CNN would now need to "look into" the claims.

Besides CNN Europe's own coverage of the scandal, the events in Iceland were widely covered by international media and are easily verified by a simple search on Google which leads to a variety of reputable international news sources that ran numerous stories on the Icelandic revolution. A whole documentary has been made on the governmental overthrow called *Pots, Pans and Other Solutions*, and now, the conversation is focused on whether or not the citizens' actions actually worked to make Iceland a more equitable nation.

To understand the enormity of what happened in Iceland, it's best to draw parallels between the initial banking fraud that caused Iceland's economy to collapse and the banking fraud in the U.S. that caused the mortgage crisis six years ago. In Iceland, unscrupulous bankers had inflated the value of Iceland's banks internationally which in turn caused

the “bubble” to eventually burst in 2008 and saw most of Iceland’s banks going bankrupt.

A similar situation happened in the U.S. just one year before the collapse in Iceland, with the mortgage crisis of 2007. Mortgage lenders in the U.S. knowingly lent money to prospective homeowners who could not afford to purchase a home. This, in turn, led to falsely inflated home values and a vicious cycle of too much lending. Just as in Iceland, the bubble burst and many U.S. banks were about to declare bankruptcy. In Iceland, the citizens took to the streets by the thousands, banging pots and pans in what is known as the “pots and pans revolution,” leading to the arrest and prosecution of many unscrupulous bankers responsible for the economic collapse. Icelandic citizens also refused to pay for the sins of the bankers and rejected any measures of taxation to bail them out. In the U.S., the government bailed out the banks and arrested no one.



The pots and pans revolution in Iceland was not covered by mainstream U.S. media. In fact, any information about this revolution is found only on international newspapers, blogs and online documentaries, not on mainstream front-page articles as would be expected from news organizations covering a story of this magnitude. The New York Times published a small handful of piecemeal stories, blogs and opinion pieces, but mostly glossed over the main narrative by saying the 2008 financial collapse in Iceland caused “mayhem far beyond the country’s borders” rather than pointing out that Icelanders took to the streets with pots and pans and *forced their entire government to resign*. As the saying goes, “there are two sides to every story,” but a more accurate

articulation of this phrase would be “in any story, there are multiple sides, viewpoints, opinions and perspectives.” The story in Iceland is no exception. Socialist and Marxist blogs here in the U.S. say that there’s been a massive U.S. news conspiracy and cover up about the revolution in Iceland because the U.S. media is controlled by corporations, including banks, and the “powers that be” don’t want U.S. citizens getting any ideas to stage a revolution of their own. Some conservative Icelandic bloggers claim that while there was, indeed, a revolution, it did not lead to a successful or widely accepted new constitution. They say the situation in Iceland is worse than ever, and that international news reports of an effective democratic uprising leading to a better government are simply myths. Social media commenters are scratching their heads over why they were robbed of the story of Iceland’s pots and pans revolution.

As with most narratives, the truth may lie somewhere in the middle of all of these varying perspectives. One thing is clear, though: it’s nearly impossible to find one mainstream U.S. news report of the pots and pans revolution in Iceland, the resignation of Iceland’s entire government, and the jailing of the bankers responsible for the economic collapse there. Whether or not the revolution led to a more fair government or a workable and effective constitution is irrelevant to the fact that the U.S. media has essentially skipped over this story for the past five years.

Is it possible that mainstream media sources purposely covered up the Iceland story to appease their corporate sponsors? It doesn’t seem likely, and yet, what explanation could be given as to why this news never made it to the front pages of our most trusted media organizations here in the U.S.?

As Iceland struggles to regain its footing with a new government, U.S. citizens may or may not be able to look to Iceland as an example of perfect democracy in action. The real question, though, is why weren’t U.S. citizens given the information about the ousting of the Icelandic government and the jailing of the unscrupulous bankers? Are journalists in control of the mainstream media or is there some truth to accusations that big business may, in fact, be strong-arming reporters to keep quiet about world events that could inspire similar actions here in the U.S.?

Rebecca Savastio from rebel.org



Spectacular precedent-setting decision in Strasbourg

Karl-Otto Sattler - Strasbourg

Anyone who disputes the racial murders of 1915 against the Armenians in Turkey can invoke Freedom of Opinion [law], and in accordance with it [the law], not be legally subject to criminal conviction - a Swiss case with big consequences.

In a democracy, the state may not declare an objective and absolute truth of historiography and then enforce it by means of criminal law: With this reasoning the Human Rights Law Court on Tuesday classified the conviction of a Turkish politician - who had denied the thesis of the genocide of Armenians in the Ottoman Empire during the First World War - as a violation of the Freedom of Opinion [Law] enshrined in the European Council's Human Rights Charter. The landmark ruling of the Strassburg judges goes far beyond this single case - because the Armenian question is also disputed in other European countries - for example, France.

Disputed or Not?

At several conferences in Switzerland during 2005 the Turkish politician Dogu Perincek had criticized the characterizing as genocide of the massacres and deportations of Armenians occurring in the Ottoman Empire in 1915 - calling it international lies - though he did not dispute their occurrence. Because of these statements Perincek was, in the final instance - 2007, required to pay a conditional fine for racial

discrimination. The [Swiss] Federal Court found [at the time] concerning the assessment of events during the First World War, that consensus prevailed in the sciences and in public opinion. A court had previously declared that the genocide of the Armenians was a recognized historical fact. And this truth had found its way into the Swiss Criminal Code.

As to this situation concerning justice and politics in Switzerland the European Council judges would allow not the slightest latitude. Historiography is in its essence controversial and debatable, and hardly lends itself to objective and absolute truths or definitive conclusions, the Strasbourg decision says. Perincek's statements are part of the disputed historical, legal and political debate. It is free and open discussion - including that of sensitive questions as well - which differentiates a democratic, tolerant and pluralistic society from a totalitarian and dictatorial regime, the decision firmly states.

No Evaluation of the Events

The law court has noted in the court register moreover, concerning Switzerland and the other Council of Europe countries, that the state-declared categorization as genocide of the 1915 actions against the Armenians must not be coupled with the legal consequences of citizens who call into question these official points of view. Under Freedom of Opinion

comes also the protection of minority opinions, which are indeed well-suited to the animating of debates which are in the public interest.

The European Council judges recall one decision of the highest juridical level in France from the year 2012, whereby it is unconstitutional, as well contrary to Freedom of Opinion and Scientific Freedom [law], to suppress views that cast doubt on an officially

recognized genocide. **One must be mindful, urges the Human Rights Law Court, that such [officially held] positions do not result in censorship and thus dissuade citizens from voicing their critical opinions.** Consequently the Strasbourg judges have in turn declined to put forth any legal assessment of the events in the Ottoman Empire one hundred years ago. Source [Carolyn Yeager](#)

News from the Golden Dawn Website

Following the State murder of Giorgos Fountoulis and Manolis Kapelonis, [Golden Dawn](#) received wide ranging support from across Europe according to their website “From the unlawful prosecutions, to the cowardly assassinations, the presence of pockets of resistance throughout Europe gave us hope,” they said.

Supporters from Italy, France, Colombia, Argentina, Spain, Sweden, Serbia, Germany, Poland, Ukraine, Latvia and many more countries, marching on the streets distributing leaflets in front of the Greek embassy, demonstrated their solidarity towards the victims of ZOG. “It gives us more strength to carry on and complete the difficult task we've been blessed with.”

Golden Dawn were also impressed by the declaration of protest signed from two MEPs of the British National Party (BNP) and the National Rebirth Party of Poland (NOP). In the declaration, the MEPs denounce the pathetic and unlawful attempts of the State to prosecute us and express their solidarity towards the Greek People.

British 'heretics' gathered outside the Greek Embassy

in London, defying their extremely traitorous regime.

The 'Gustava Celmina centrs' (GCC) is a Latvian Nationalist movement founded in 1933. It was recently forced to change its leader, because he was accused for planning terrorist attacks by the State, The movement is now under investigation and banned from any political process, thus they understand what the Greek state wants to do with us. They gathered and protested in front of the Greek Embassy in Riga, accompanied by several other autonomous nationalists.



The two lads were also honored by the Russians. A collective of Social Nationalists marched in the heart of Moscow, where we saw this banner.

In the city of Fuerth, German Youths honored the comrades with a wake, raising Greek flags and banners likewise, in Aachen, German supporters held a minute of silence to show their respect. Their banner read: The solution for Greece = Golden Dawn!

Finally, in Dortmund, Greeks and German Nationalists held a simple ceremony honoring the memories of Giorgos and Manolis. Those gathered also chanted Golden Dawn's Hymn.



Obamacare Backdoor to [UN] **Genocide** The UN's Medical Surveillance Program J Bruce Campbell

We are in the hands of medical killers with an agenda to wipe us out – financially and physically. The government sector and the private sector of Big Medicine are now indistinguishable. They work in close cooperation, evidenced first and foremost by the policy of vaccination especially of children as well as adults. Vaccines, which all contain toxins with known and unknown effects on our bodies, are forms of biological and chemical warfare. **Vaccines are weapons of mass destruction disguised as preventive health measures.** Vaccines are huge profit-makers for their manufacturers, collectively known as Big Pharma. The obscene profits enjoyed by this drug cartel make possible all the genocidal depredations that are being conducted by Big Medicine and Big Government.

For example, New York's outgoing mayor, Bloomberg, has committed his version of the Samson Option: he has ordered all preschoolers to receive a flu vaccine before attending NYC schools. Research done by Rebecca Carley, MD, who is a member of the American Defense Party, reveals that with this additional mandate New York children receive from birth to age five a total of eighty-eight shots. As Bloomberg loses power in the city he is taking all the children with him. Only he gets to live and they're going to get very sick.

It is ironic that we're being told that vaccines will protect us from diseases while they in fact are designed to spread disease. Vaccines are the central components of the UN's Agenda 21 for depopulation. The biggest proponents of this depopulation program are Bill and Melinda Gates, whose vaccine programs around the world have killed and injured scores of thousands of [mostly] children. In India, for example, some 43,000 children contracted polio from the Gates Foundation's polio vaccination program. Bill Gates actually boasted that his vaccine program caused permanent infertility in the vaccinated children. Now Gates says that infertility vaccines can be spread through geo-engineering, or chemtrails. Navajos in Arizona told a Dr. Robbi that vaccines were the cause of widespread infertility on the reservation.

Dr. Carley reports that in 1972 the UN-WHO revealed the plan for depopulation in two memoranda:

(memo 1):

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2480894>

(memo 2):

<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2480896>

These memos technically outline the ability to create biological weapons in the form of vaccines that:

- 1 Totally disable the immune system;
 - 2 Load every cell of the victim's body with infection;
 - 3 Switch the immune system on causing the host to kill himself in a cytokine storm, causing him to drown in his own fluids.
- These points prove that the actual intent of vaccines is genocide and depopulation.

Extract taken from full version on rebel.org



The Current Vaccine Reality: Disinformed Consent

Shawn Siegel

We are living in the age of disinformed consent. Parents assume their doctors and their public health authorities are providing them with all relevant vaccine information, and nothing could be further from the truth.

Obviously, if vaccines can kill and cause serious and debilitating lifelong damage – which they can, and do – the vaccine administrator must provide that information to the client, in unambiguous fashion, regardless of the estimated size of the risk. It's an ethical mandate that must be fulfilled, but it never is. There is a fundamental reason: medical schools don't teach the history and nature of vaccine damage and death; nor do nursing and pharmacy schools. Yet doctors, nurses, and, these days, pharmacists, are the very ones who administer vaccines, and upon whom we rely for full information. Somewhere there is made a conscious decision to exclude the reality of serious vaccine damage from the curricula.

Most of the many doctors who have witnessed vaccine damage – thankfully, not all – lack the professional integrity to follow up with curiosity, let alone research. This is the most troubling of the array of vaccine contradictions; trained to observe, they nevertheless appear blind to even the possibility of causal relation.

There is a reason the CDC didn't announce to the American public in 1999 the direct correlation between the amount of mercury in vaccines and the incidence of speech and learning disorders and autism it found in its own in-house study: a conscious, intolerable decision.

There's a reason Dr. Viera Scheibner's cotwatch studies, which decades ago found a compelling link between vaccinations given to infants and the incidence of cot death – SIDS – is never mentioned by public health officials: a conscious decision.

There is a reason the CDC never mentions that it was the radical changes they made to the definition and diagnosis of polio, right after the vaccine was introduced, that eliminated most cases of the disease, not the vaccine: a conscious decision to manipulate the public in their vaccine decisions. Nor do they mention that once the vaccine was licensed, the CDC pulled all remaining diagnoses close to the vest, disallowing for automatic inclusion in annual polio statistics cases reported by private medical practices or local public health departments, and declaring that only they, the CDC, after ostensible thorough review and lab analysis, could officially validate a case.

There is a reason that, concomitant with the diagnostic and labeling changes made, a radical change was made as well to the definition of a polio epidemic, from 20 cases in 100,000 to 35, potentially cutting almost in half the likelihood that any subsequent outbreaks would be so labeled – a change that seems totally haphazard, except for the effect of painting polio as somehow and suddenly less severe, or less contagious, or more contained: a conscious decision, to boost the illusion of vaccine effectiveness.

There's a reason the mainstream media in general will give no meaningful column space to truthful information about vaccine pitfalls and dangers: the conscious decision of the publishers.

There is a reason the vaccine industry does not discuss the fact that for years adjuvants like those used in most vaccines have been injected into lab animals to trigger rheumatoid arthritis and other autoimmune diseases: a conscious decision to keep the public ignorant of the ethical dilemma of then recommending their use for injection into newborns, infants, and toddlers, as a macabre tradeoff for acute, temporary diseases. This same adjuvant effect in humans has been established by immunologists, as well.

There is a reason that every doctor or scientist who has ever spoken out publicly against vaccines has been branded a quack, regardless of their unblemished reputation up to that point: a conscious campaign to maintain the myth of vaccine safety, effectiveness and necessity.

There is a reason the AAP over the last three decades has been sliding down the hellhole of castigation of parents who refuse vaccines, initially espousing acceptance, to occasionally endorsing statements labeling such parents as irresponsible and a threat to the vaccinating masses; a reason they provide vaccine refusal documentation for parent's signature that speaks not a whit to the potentially catastrophic consequences of vaccinating, despite, again, being well aware of the record: a conscious decision.

There's a reason Dr. Paul Offit personally reviewed and approved for publication on the website of The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia an article on the value of the chicken pox vaccine that states the shot is perfectly safe, despite the post-marketing reports of catastrophic reactions on the vaccine insert, including anaphylactic shock, encephalitis and Guillain-Barre, and the availability of the VAERS record: a conscious decision.

There is a reason that nowhere in the mainstream have we seen mentioned the fact that when the team of doctors at the Royal Free Hospital treated the twelve Lancet kids for their bowel inflammations, their symptoms of autism were greatly alleviated – a dynamic and heartening bit of information that should have been trumpeted in headlines globally: a conscious decision, to support the claim that there is no connection between the novel bowel syndrome described by the team – now corroborated by pediatric gastroenterologist Dr. Arthur Krigsman – and autism.

About the author: Shawn Siegel has enough common sense to recognize a con game when he sees one, thus was compelled to begin researching after discovering that immediately following the release of the polio vaccine the CDC radically changed the definition of the disease. He now hosts a weekly radio/internet show, [The Vaccine Myth: An Issue of Trust](#), on the Logos Radio Network.

There is a reason the vaccine industry will not respond to the disclosure that among the 50,000 unvaccinated clients of Homefirst Health Clinic in Chicago, the staff is aware of only a few cases of autism, and virtually no asthma, allergies or diabetes – poignant statistics all, with obvious, staggering implications. In a general population of the same size we'd expect to see 250 or 300 cases of autism or more, and thousands of incidents of the other mentioned autoimmune disorders.

Likewise, there is a reason CDC doctors appear before a Congressional committee on autism ostensibly so ill-prepared to answer direct questions as to be farcical, and in such repeated fashion over the years that, in days of auld, they'd have been booted out for contempt and tarred and feathered by the thousands of parents who have watched helplessly as their kids took their obvious first steps down the road of developmental regression to ultimate diagnosis of autism, immediately following a round of vaccinations: an obvious, conscious directive to remain obtuse.

And there's a reason the CDC will never respond to pleas to compare the health of the fully vaccinated against the never vaccinated; that they will claim it unethical to conduct such a study as double-blind, because it will deny the protection of the vaccine to the control group, when the rationale is circular, since it's the very safety and effectiveness of vaccines at question, and when all that is really necessary is to analyze the available data on the million or more Americans who are not vaccinated at all, out of personal choice: a conscious decision to avoid ferreting out and revealing the truth.

The behavior of the vaccine industry – government, manufacturers, much of the medical establishment, and the mainstream media, devoid of investigative journalism when it comes to vaccines – is reprehensible. Their calling cards are statistical manipulation, deception and fear. Well aware of the catastrophic damage done by vaccines, they steadfastly deny the reality and suppress the information, while knowing it is essential to every parent's vaccine decisions. Conscious denial of critical information is disinformation.

What is Christian Identity?

William Finck

Christian Identity, also sometimes called Israel Identity, is the only true conservative Christianity. It is true because it seeks to maintain the understanding - in accordance with Scripture - that the New Covenant was made only with those same people with whom the Old Covenant was made: the House (family) of Israel and the House (family) of Judah. These Israelite people are traceable through time to the Keltic and Germanic tribes of today. None of these people are Jews. The Jews are descended from a mere remnant of the old Kingdom of Judah along with assorted Edomite and other Arab who were mixed into the Roman province of Judaea during the Hellenic period. There are - at last count - at least sixteen detailed essays on this website which demonstrate this, and which are replete with Biblical, archaeological and historical citations.

Christian Identity is the belief that the Covenants of God are real and consistent. It professes that the people of the Old Testament were every bit as much Christian as the people of the New Testament. They were simply looking forward to the first advent of the Christ, while we today await His Second Advent. As the famous Christian bishop Ignatius said nineteen hundred years ago, Christianity did not come from Judaism: rather, Judaism is a perversion of Christianity.

Christian Identity is the belief that there is no disparity between the Word of God, His Creation, His prophecy, and world history. It is also the understanding that while Scripture was inspired by God when it was transmitted, men have certainly mistreated it since that time, and so every passage and every doctrine must be fully investigated from all of the most ancient sources possible. As it reads in the King James Version: Study to show thyself approved.

The audio file attached to this page is perhaps one of the best we have to offer for introducing Christian Identity to the uninitiated. [[It can be downloaded at http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames](http://christogenea.org/content/william-finck-patriot-dames)] Please listen to it objectively, rather than regarding the slanders of the ADL and similar Jewish organizations - forever the enemies of Christ.

This paper is under development, and so are our websites - always. We pray that you consider the things written here, and also in all of our other papers. And if you are one of His called, May God favor your journey. You may also want to note What Christian Identity is Not at <http://christogenea.org/what-christian-identity-is-not>



Announcements

The Saxon Messenger can be contacted by email editor@saxonmessenger.org

The Saxon Messenger Website is at <http://saxonmessenger.org/> where this issue and future issues will be archived.

Clifton A Emahiser's Non-Universal Teaching Ministries can be found at <http://emahiser.christogenea.org/site/> including all writings produced by his ministry since its inception in February 1998

Christian Identity Radio

Christogenea 8 pm EST Friday Biblical Exegesis and Commentaries

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc>

Notes from Commentary on Revelation posted at <http://christreich.christogenea.org/revelation>

CHRISTOGENEA SATURDAYS 8 pm EST

<http://www.talkshoe.com/talkshoe/web/talkCast.jsp?masterId=67332&cmd=tc>

Programme notes at <http://christogenea.org/chrSaturdays>



If you have not yet connected to the Christogenea Community Conference

Voice/Chat Server go to <http://christogenea.net/connect>

Audios of all the above are available at <http://christogenea.org/audio/feed>

Christogenea 24/7 Internet Radio Streaming

The Radio pages can be found at <http://christogenea.org:8000/index.html>